

# TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL COORDINATING COMMITTEE

1:00 p.m., Wednesday, January 11, 2023

KIPDA Burke Room I 1520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, Kentucky 40299

Please review the following notes:

- TTCC members and the public may attend the meeting at 11520 Commonwealth Drive, Louisville KY.
- TTCC members and the public may participate, observe, and comment online.
- All TTCC members will be provided a unique web-link to the Zoom (video conference) in advance of the meeting should they wish to participate online.
- All TTCC voting members participating online must activate their web cameras during the meeting per Kentucky Open Meetings and Open Records Statutes.
- The public may review the meeting materials and find the link to the video meeting at: <u>https://www.kipda.org/</u> <u>committees-and-councils/transportation-technical-coordinating-committee/meeting-information/</u>
- There will be a public comment period at the beginning of the TTCC meeting. The public may also submit comments in advance of the meeting by emailing: <u>KIPDA.trans@kipda.org</u>.

# AGENDA

- I. Call to Order, Welcome, Roll Call
- 2. November 2022 TTCC Meeting Minutes Review and approval (see enclosed). Action Requested.
- 3. *Transportation Policy Committee Report* Staff will review the activities of the November 2022 TPC Meetings.
- 4. Public Comment Period The TTCC Chair will facilitate a review of comments submitted prior to the TTCC meeting and entertain comments offered as part of Agenda Item #4.
- 5. 2023 TTCC Officers- The nominating committee will discuss their recommendations for the 2023 TTCC Officers. Action Requested
- 6. Amendment 9 to the Connecting Kentuckiana 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan & FY 2020-2025 Transportation Improvement Program – Staff will present information for the latest proposed amendment to the FY 2020-20205 TIP and CK2040 MTP. Action Requested
- Metropolitan Transportation Finance/Financial Plan As part of the 2050 MTP update, the MPO is required to financially evaluate all proposed projects to ensure the MTP is fiscally constrained. Staff will update the TTCC on this process Action Requested







- 8. Active Transportation Plan-Staff will present updates on the anticipated ATP planning process.
- 9. Air Quality Memorandum of Understanding Staff will discuss the next steps for updating the Air Quality MOU.
- 10. Safe Streets Update Staff will present the latest information and timetable for the Safe Streets for All grant.
- 11. MPO Dedicated Funding Programs Staff will present status updates for existing MPO dedicated projects and provide an update on the MPO dedicated call for projects.
- 12. Urbanized Areas Update-Staff will present the latest data from the 2020 Census.
- 13. Connecting Kentuckiana 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan Update Staff will review the status of the 2050 MTP update.
- 14. Other Business
- 15. Adjourn



# MEETING MINUTES TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL COORDINATING COMMITTEE (TTCC) I:00 p.m., Wednesday, November 09, 2022

In-Person and Via Video Conference

### Call to Order

Chair Keith Griffee called the meeting to order at 1:02 p.m. After roll call was taken, it was determined that there was a quorum present.

#### **Review and Approval of Minutes**

Aida Copic, TARC, made a motion to approve the minutes for the September TTCC meeting. Dirk Gowin, Louisville Metro Public Works & Assets, seconded the motion and it carried with a unanimous vote.

### Transportation Policy Committee (TPC) Report

Andy Rush, KIPDA staff, reported on the October TPC meeting. No action was required.

### Public Comment Period

There was one public comment read into the record received via email prior to the meeting in regard to Agenda Item 5, Metropolitan Planning Organization Performance Measures Update by Greg Burress, KIPDA staff.

#### Metropolitan Planning Organization Performance Measures Update

Spencer Williams, KIPDA staff, discussed the performance measures and baseline targets for the ongoing update to the CK2050 MTP. Dirk Gowin, Louisville Metro Public Works & Assets, made a motion to recommend approval by the TPC of the performance measures and baselines. Matt Meunier, City of Jeffersontown, seconded the motion. There was discussion. Aida Copic, TARC, would like more time to review the targets and baselines before TPC action The motion was amended by Dirk Gowin, Louisville Metro Public Works & Assets, to allow Aida Copic, TARC to review and provide comment before TPC action. It carried with a unanimous vote.

#### Active Transportation Plan

Alex Posorske, KIPDA staff, requested the formation of a working group for the Active Transportation Plan. There was discussion. Dirk Gowin, Louisville Metro Public Works & Assets, made a motion to recommend approval of the TTCC Working Group for the Active Transportation Plan. Matt Meunier, City of Jeffersontown, seconded the motion and it carried with a unanimous vote.

#### New TTCC Officers

Andy Rush, KIPDA staff, requested the formation of a nominating committee for the 2023 TTCC Officers. Dirk Gowin, Louisville Metro Public Works & Assets, made a motion to recommend TPC approval of the changes to the project evaluation process. Craig Butler, Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District, seconded the motion and it carried with a unanimous vote.

#### Safe Streets for All Grant

Alex Posorske, KIPDA staff, provided an update on the latest timeline for Safe Streets for All grants. There was discussion. Aida Copic, TARC, made a motion to recommend approval of a nominating committee. Jim Urban, Oldham County Planning Commission, seconded the motion and it carried with a unanimous vote.

#### Planning Assumptions

Alex Posorke, KIPDA staff, presented a variety of planning assumptions as part of the ongoing update to the CK2050 MTP. There was discussion. Dirk Gowin, Louisville Metro Public Works & Assets, made a motion to recommend TPC approval of the planning assumptions. Kenan Stratman, City of St. Matthews, seconded the motion and it carried with a unanimous vote.

#### **GIS HUB Website**

Zach Herzog, KIPDA staff, showcased the new GIS Data Hub site complete with online mapping applications and data. There was discussion. No action was required.

#### Safe Streets for All Grant

Alex Posorske, KIPDA staff, provided an update on the Safe Streets for All Grant. No action was required.

#### Update on Project Development and MPO Dedicated Call for Projects

Nick Vail, KIPDA staff, provided the latest timeline on on the call for projects and project development of the new TIP and MTP update. No action was required.

### KITE Award

Greg Burress, KIPDA staff, spoke about how nominations have closed for the 2022 KITE Award said the winners will be announced at the November 22<sup>nd</sup> TPC meeting.

#### **Other Business**

Andy Rush, KIPDA staff, discussed times, location, and date for the November TPC meeting, KIPDA Board of Directors, and lunch at the John Black Community Center on November 22<sup>nd</sup>.

Andy Rush, KIPDA introduced new Transportation Planner II Chris Nicolas who started at KIPDA on October 31st.

#### Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 2:23 p.m.

Andy Rush Recording Secretary

#### Members Present: Keith Griffee (Chair) Matt Meunier Barry Armstrong Larry Summers Kenan Stratman Curtis Hockenbury Brian Dixon Nick Creevy Robin Bolte Isidro Delgado Andy Rush Michelle King Michael King Dirk Gowin Miguel Zamora Jim Urban Aida Copic

**Bullitt County** City of Jeffersontown City of Mt. Washington City of New Albany City of St. Matthews City of Shepherdsville Clark County Floyd County Indiana Department of Transportation - Seymour District Kentucky Transportation Cabinet KIPDA Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District Louisville Metro Economic Development Louisville Metro Public Works & Assets Louisville Riverport Authority Oldham County Planning Commission TARC

Kevin Baity Town of Clarksville \*Bruce Bohne TRIMARC Members Absent:

\*AARP – Kentucky \*Bullitt County Chamber of Commerce City of Charlestown City of Jeffersonville Clark County Air Board \*Clark County Fire Chiefs Association Clark County Planning Commission \*Federal Aviation Administration – Memphis \*Federal Highway Administration - Indiana \*Federal Highway Administration – Kentucky \*Federal Transit Administration – Region 4 \*Greater Louisville Inc. Indiana Department of Environmental Management Indiana Department of Transportation – Public Transportation Indiana Department of Transportation - Urban & MPO Section \*Indiana Motor Truck Association Kentucky Division for Air Quality Kentucky Transportation Cabinet - Office of Transportation Delivery \*Kentucky Trucking Association Louisville Metro Planning & Design Services Louisville Regional Airport Authority \*Louisville Water Company \*Louisville/Jefferson County Metro Sewer District \*Oldham Chamber & Economic Development Oldham County \*One Southern Indiana Ports of Indiana - Jeffersonville \*River Hills Economic Development District \*Southern Indiana Transit Advisory Group TARC Accessibility Advisory Council \*University of Louisville

#### **Other Attendees**

AECOM Brian Meade Karlei Metcalf Indiana Department of Transportation - Seymour District Greg Burress **KIPDA** Randy Simon KIPDA Joseph Garcia **KIPDA** Zach Herzog **KIPDA** KIPDA Valerie Mohr Alex Posorske KIPDA KIPDA Jeremeih Shaw Randall Embry **KIPDA** Randy Simon **KIPDA** Nick Vail KIPDA Spencer Williams KIPDA Chris Nicolas KIPDA Larry Chaney Kentucky Transportation Cabinet - District 5 Craig Butler Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District Byron Gary Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District Elizabeth Farc WSP Inc. Diana Mitchen Burgess & Niple WSP Inc. **Douglas Smith** Karen Mohammadi Michael Baker

\* Denotes Advisory Members





Agenda Item #5

# MEMORANDUM

- TO: Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee
- FROM: Andy Rush
- DATE: January 4, 2023
- SUBJECT: 2023 TTCC Officers

The TTCC Bylaws state that the offices of TTCC Chair and TTCC Vice Chair are to be filled at the January meeting of the committee. A nominating committee was established at the TTCC meeting on November 9, 2022. That committee met on January 5<sup>th</sup>, 2023 to discuss the nomination of these officers for 2023.

Nominations will be accepted and new officers will be elected by the TTCC.

#### Action is requested





# Agenda Item #6

# MEMORANDUM

- TO: Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee
- FROM: Nick Vail
- DATE: January 3, 2023
- SUBJECT: Amendment 9 to the Connecting Kentuckiana 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) and FY 2020-2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

KIPDA staff is ready to present Amendment 9 to the committees for consideration. There was no air quality conformity analysis required. The public comment period will be open for 15 days from December 23<sup>rd</sup> through January 6 with a virtual open house from 5-6pm on January 4<sup>th</sup>. Greg Burress will send all public comments to the Transportation Policy Committee (TPC) members as part of their 15-day review.

# Action is requested from TTCC to recommend TPC approval of Amendment 9 to the Connecting Kentuckiana 2040 MTP and the FY 2020-2025 TIP.



11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 www.kipda.org



# Amendment 9

# Connecting Kentuckiana 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan & Fiscal Year 2020 - 2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

TPC Approval Scheduled for January 26, 2023





### Connecting Kentuckiana 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan and FY 2020-2025 Transportation Improvement Program

The Kentuckiana Regional Planning and Development Agency (KIPDA) is the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the five-county region covering Jefferson, Bullitt and Oldham Counties in Kentucky and Clark and Floyd Counties in Indiana. The MPO's responsibilities include producing a long-range transportation document, known as *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) and a short-range planning document, the Fiscal Year (FY) 2020-2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

Changes have been proposed to the MTP and TIP. The MTP, with the proposed changes, is fiscally reasonable and the TIP remains fiscally constrained. All proposed changes and projects were determined to be and confirmed by the IAC to be exempt-only for air quality. This packet includes the following document:

- A listing of all projects being added, removed and/or modified
- Schedule for Amendment 9
- Air Quality conformity documentation
- Meeting minutes from the Interagency Consultation (IAC) conference call

Providing comments for the proposed changes can be submitted by any of the following methods:

- Visiting <u>https://kipdatransportation.org/amendment-9/</u> and click on the Amendment 9 Map link
- Emailing <u>kipda.trans@kipda.org</u>
  - Mail to the following address TIP and MTP Amendment, KIPDA I 1520 Commonwealth Drive, Louisville, KY 40299
- Attend the virtual open house on January 4th from 5:00-6:00 pm via Zoom. Members of the public are encouraged to ask questions and leave comments. A link to the virtual public meeting can be found at: <u>https://kipdatransportation.org/amendment-9/</u>

Please contact Community and Committee Engagement Specialist Greg Burress at 502-562-6144 ext. 123 or via email at <u>greg.burress@kipda.org</u> for additional questions or information.



	Modify TIP Funding and Update Op	en to Public I	Date			
MTP Action:	Update Open to Public Date					
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Non-Exempt	Non-Exempt Model Non-Exempt Non-E		change to model impact.		
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	2899	State ID:	1900162	
County	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A	
Project Name:	I-64 Added Travel Lane	-	Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):		8,229,021 5,807,800	
Project Name.		5	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:		8,229,021 5,807,800	
Funding Source:	Various		Open to Public Date:		<mark>2027</mark> <del>2026</del>	
Description:	Added travel lanes project on I-64 fi 64 to State Street and improvement				s on I-265 from	
Purpose & Need:	The addition of the mainline through and auxiliary lanes on I-64 as well as additional ramp lanes at the US 150 and I 265 interchanges will provide improved densities, levels of service and travel times with significant reduction in driver delay west of I-265.					
	FY 2020 Preliminary Engineering phase with State funds: \$0 (Federal) + \$1,557,800 (Other) = \$1,557,800 (Total) FY 2022 Preliminary Engineering phase with NHPP funds: \$13,500,000 (Federal) + \$1,500,000 (Other) = \$15,000,000 (Total) FY 2023 Preliminary Engineering phase with NHPP funds: \$13,500,000 (Federal) + \$1,500,000 (Other) = \$15,000,000 (Total) FY 2023 Right of Way phase with IM funds: \$225,000 (Federal) + \$25,000 (Other) = \$250,000 (Total) *FY 2024 Right of Way phase with IM funds: \$225,000 (Federal) + \$25,000 (Other) = \$250,000 (Total) *FY 2024 Right of Way phase with IM funds: \$225,000 (Federal) + \$25,000 (Other) = \$250,000 (Total) *FY 2024 Construction phase with NHPP funds: \$27,000,000 (Federal) + \$3,000,000 (Other) = \$30,000,000 (Total) *FY 2025 Construction phase with NHPP funds:					

TIP Action:	Add project				
MTP Action:	Add project				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Exempt	Model Impact:	No change to r	nodel impact.	
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	NEW	State ID:	2200833
County	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	I-64 Hot-mix asphalt (HMA) (	Overlay	Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):	\$59	9,474,000
rroject Name.	I-64 Hot-mix asphalt (HMA) Overlay		Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:	\$5	,020,000
Funding Source:	National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)		Open to Public Date:		2027
Description:	Hot-mix asphalt (HMA) overlay minor structural on I-64 from 0.50 miles west of SR 135 to 1.01 miles west of SR 64				
Purpose & Need:	This project will improve the conditions of the pavement and extend its service life.				
FY 20-25 TIP Funding:	FY 2023 Preliminary Engineering phase with NHPP funds: \$4,500,000 (Federal) + \$500,000 (Other) = \$5,000,000 (Total) *FY 2024 Railroad phase with NHPP funds: \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1,000 (Other) = \$10,000 (Total) *FY 2025 Right of Way phase with NHPP funds: \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1,000 (Other) = \$10,000 (Total)				

TIP Action:	Add project	Add project			
MTP Action:	Add project				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Exempt	Model Impact:	No change to n	nodel impact.	
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	NEW	State ID:	2101799
County	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	I-65 & Veterans Parkway		Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):	\$22	2,900,000
Project Name.			Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:	\$2	,700,000
Funding Source:	National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)		Open to Public Date:		2029
Description:	Modify I-65 & Veterans Prkwy interchange by providing additional left turn capacity and adding pedestrian signal indications and push buttons at the signalized ramp terminal intersections.				
Purpose & Need:	The interchange is currently experiencing poor peak hour operating conditions that are expected to worsen. It is recommended that the interchange be modified to improve traffic operations, especially by providing additional left turn capacity.				
FY 20-25 TIP	FY 2023 Preliminary Engineering phase with NHPP funds: \$2,000,000 (Federal) + \$500,000 (Other) = \$2,500,000 (Total) *FY 2025 Right of Way phase with NHPP funds: \$180,000 (Federal) + \$20,000 (Other) = \$200,000 (Total)				

<b>TIP Action:</b>	Add project				
MTP Action:	Add project				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Exempt	Model Impact:	No change to r	nodel impact.	
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	NEW	State ID:	2200050
County	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	SR 60 & Perry Crossing Road Intersection		Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):	\$3	,207,022
Project Name.	Improvement		Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:	\$(	500,000
Funding Source:	National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)		Open to Public Date:	2028	
Description:	Intersection improvement at SR 60	and Perry Cr	rossing Road.		
Purpose & Need:	This project will address safety con	cerns at the i	ntersection of SR 60 and Perry Cro	ssing Road.	
FY 20-25 TIP	FY 2023 Preliminary Engineering phase with NHPP funds: \$400,000 (Federal) + \$100,000 (Other) = \$500,000 (Total)				
Funding:	*FY 2025 Right of Way phase with NHPP funds: \$80,000 (Federal) + \$20,000 (Other) = \$100,000 (Total)				

<b>TIP Action:</b>	Remove project				
MTP Action:	Remove project		1		
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Exempt	Model Impact:	No change to r	nodel impact.	
Project Sponsor:	Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC)	KIPDA ID:	2944	State ID:	5-20061.00
County	Jefferson	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Nemo	Project Name: I-65		Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):	\$50	5,000,000
Project Name:			Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:	\$50	5,000,000
Funding Source:	National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Open to		Open to Public Date:	2025	
Description:	Address pavement needs on I-65 between MP 131.24 and MP 135.672 and to replace bridge over Hill Street at MP 133.873; replace bridge over Brook Street at MP 134.753, and to replace bridge over Jacob and Broadway at MP 135.273				
Purpose & Need:	To address existing infrastructure deficiencies.				
FY 20-25 TIP Funding:	FY 2021 Design phase with NHPP funds: \$1,800,000 (Federal) + \$200,000 (Other) = \$2,000,000 (Total) FY 2023 Construction phase with NHPP funds: \$48,600,000 (Federal) + \$5,400,000 (Other) = \$54,000,000 (Total)				

TIP Action:	Add project				
MTP Action:	Add project				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Exempt	Model Impact:	No change to r	nodel impact.	
Project Sponsor:	Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC)	KIPDA ID:	NEW	State ID:	5-10064.00
County	Jefferson	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	I-65 Bridge at Hill, CSX RR & Burnett		Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):	\$25	5,168,000
Project Mairie:			Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:	\$25	5,168,000
Funding Source:	Bridge Replacement – On System	n (BRO)	Open to Public Date:		2025
Description:	Bridge project in Jefferson County on (056B00179N) I-65 at Hill, CSX RR & Burnett				
Purpose & Need:	Increase safety for all users. Maintain the existing transportation network in a state of good repair.				
FY 20-25 TIP Funding:	FY 2023 Design phase with BRO funds: \$1,830,400 (Federal) + \$457,600 (Other) = \$2,288,000 (Total) FY 2023 Construction phase with BRO funds:				
	\$18,304,000 (Federal) + \$4,576,000 (	Other) = \$2	22,880,000 (Total)		

TIP Action:	Add project				
MTP Action:	Add project				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Exempt	Model Impact:	No change to r	model impact.	
Project Sponsor:	Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC)	KIPDA ID:	NEW	State ID:	5-10066.00
County	Jefferson	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	I-65 Bridge at East Kentucky Street Brook Street	t & South	Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040): Total Cost Programmed		1,647,000
			in TIP to Date:	\$3	1,647,000
Funding Source:	Bridge Replacement – On System	n (BRO)	Open to Public Date:		2025
Description:	Bridge project in Jefferson County or delivery project)	n (056B0018	33N) I-65 at East Kentucky & South	Brook Street	(Potential CMG
Purpose & Need:	Increase safety for all users. Maintain	the existing	transportation network in a state	of good repaiı	
FY 20-25 TIP	FY 2023 Design phase with BRO fund \$2,301,600 (Federal) + \$575,400 (Oth		77,000 (Total)		
Funding:	FY 2023 Construction phase with BR \$23,016,000 (Federal) + \$5,574,000 (	28,590,000 (Total)			
TIP Action:	Add project				
MTP Action:	Add project				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Exempt	Model Impact:	No change to r	nodel impact.	
Project Sponsor:	Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC)	KIPDA ID:	NEW	State ID:	5-10075.00
County	Jefferson	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	I-264 Bridge at P&L Railwa		Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):	\$30	),679,000
Project Name.	1-204 bridge at 1 & Railwa	Ŷ	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:	\$30	),679,000
Funding Source:	Federal Bridge Program (FB	P)	Open to Public Date:	2025	
Description:	Bridge project in Jefferson County or	n (056B0025	0N) I-264 at P&L Railway		
Purpose & Need:	Increase safety for all users. Maintain	the existing	g transportation network in a state	of good repair	:
	FY 2023 Design phase with BRO funds: \$2,231,200 (Federal) + \$557,800 (Other) = \$2,789,000 (Total) FY 2023 Construction phase with FBP funds:				
FY 20-25 TIP Funding:					

<b>TIP Action:</b>	Add project				
MTP Action:	Add project				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Exempt	Model Impact:	No change to r	nodel impact.	
Project Sponsor:	Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC)	KIPDA ID:	NEW	State ID:	5-22098.00
County	Oldham	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Duciest News	<b>::</b> I-71		Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):	\$18	3,700,000
Project Name:			Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:	\$18	3,700,000
Funding Source:	National Highway System Funds for Pavement Management (NHPM)		Open to Public Date:	2025	
Description:	Address condition of I-71 from milepoint 14.49 to milepoint 22.3 (2022CCR)				
Purpose & Need:	Maintenance of the existing transportation network in a state of good repair.				
FY 20-25 TIP Funding:	FY 2023 Design phase with NHPM funds: \$1,360,000 (Federal) + \$340,000 (Other) = \$1,700,000 (Total) FY 2023 Construction phase with NHPM funds: \$13,600,000 (Federal) + \$3,400,000 (Other) = \$17,000,000 (Total)				



# AMENDMENT 9 SCHEDULE

Connecting Kentuckiana (CK) 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) Fiscal Year (FY) 2020 - 2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

# WHY ARE THERE AMENDMENTS TO THE MTP & TIP?

New projects that are not regionally significant and qualify as Group Projects, as well as many minor changes to existing projects, can be added through an administrative modification. Administrative modifications can be processed within 30 days.

New projects and project changes that do not fit the criteria above must be added to the MTP and/or TIP through an amendment. There are many reasons why a project must be amended. Adding a regionally significant project that does not fit KIPDA's Group Projects policy or changing the scope of a roadway project to add a travel lane are both examples of projects that must be amended. While every effort is made to expedite amendments, the process can take up to 6 months.

Project applications (new or modified) are due from sponsors

December 9, 2022

KIPDA staff completes project review

December 15, 2022

KEY STEPS & TIMING

Interagency Consultation Group (IAC) Coordination	December 16 - 22, 2022
Public comment period	December 23, 2022 - January 6, 2023
Comments sent to the Transportation Policy Committee (TPC)	January 9, 2023
Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee (TTCC) Recommendation	January 11, 2023
TPC Action	January 26, 2023

The MTP & TIP amendment process is NOT an opportunity to request MPO dedicated funds.

# ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

All new projects and changes to existing projects must be submitted through the Project Application form found on KIPDA's Transportation Planning Portal.

The Portal can be accessed at the following address: https://kipda.formstack.com/forms/kipda\_epif

# **AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY**

The Louisville, KY-IN transportation planning study area consists of Clark and Floyd counties and 0.1 square miles of Harrison County in Indiana, and Bullitt, Jefferson, and Oldham counties and approximately 4 square miles of Shelby County in Kentucky. Much of this area coincides with the local ozone nonattainment area. In the past, a portion of the planning study area also coincided with a local PM 2.5 nonattainment area, but that standard was revoked in April 2015. The Louisville, KY-IN maintenance area for the 1997 8-hour ozone standard consisted of Clark and Floyd counties, IN, and Bullitt, Jefferson, and Oldham counties, KY. It was designated as a basic nonattainment area in June 2004 and redesignated as an attainment area with a maintenance status in July 2007. The 1997 8-hour ozone standard was revoked for the local area in April 2015, and at that time, it was not necessary for the local area to determine conformity. (However, the local area was still eligible to receive Congestion Mitigation/Air Quality funding).

In June 2018, the former Louisville, KY-IN 1997 ozone maintenance area was designated as a marginal nonattainment area for the 2015 8-hour ozone standard. Since that time, the monitoring data has indicated that the design value is sufficiently low that the local area can be redesignated as attainment of the 2015 8-hour ozone standard, and the air quality agencies with responsibility for the local area have undertaken steps to do so. The redesignation State Implementation Plan has been submitted to Regions 4 and 5 of US EPA, and the Motor Vehicle Emission Budgets (MVEBs) have been found adequate by Region 5. They are still under review by Region 4.

KIPDA is amending *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040,* the metropolitan transportation plan (MTP) and the FY 2021 – FY 2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). This conformity analysis will support conformity determinations by the metropolitan planning organization and the U. S. Department of Transportation agencies for both documents. This analysis is intended to support determinations of conformity under the 2015 8-hour ozone standards.

# CONFORMITY UNDER THE 2015 8-HOUR OZONE STANDARD

When an area such as the Louisville area becomes nonattainment, the area must undertake a process known as conformity. This process provides a linkage between transportation planning and air quality planning. One of the key activities of conformity is to quantify the level of emissions of the air pollutant(s) and/or precursor(s) for certain analysis years and compare those levels to the motor vehicle emission budgets (MVEBs)—if they exist. The MVEBs limit the amount of a pollutant or precursor that can be emitted. If MVEBs do not exist, the area must rely on interim tests, such as comparing the emissions to the level of emissions in a base year, to determine conformity. The baseyear would be set by US EPA when the standard is promulgated.

When the local area was designated as nonattainment of the 2015 8-hour ozone standard, the air quality agencies with responsibility for the local area were charged with the additional responsibility to develop a set of actions that could be taken to reduce pollutant/precursor emissions. These actions were to be included in air quality plans known as State Implementation Plans (SIPs). Since the Louisville nonattainment area is a bi-state area, these sets of the actions to reduce precursor emissions were to be incorporated into the Indiana and Kentucky SIPs. It was during this process that MVEBs were established. Subsequent to the local area being designated as a nonattainment area but before the SIPs were completed, the data from the air quality monitors in the area indicated that the 2015 8-hour ozone standard had been met. With this data in hand, the air quality agencies were able to submit a SIP known as a redesignation request. The establishment of the MVEBs was one of the components of the redesignation request. Since the SIPs were redesignation requests for ozone, the MVEBs were established for the precursors of ozone -- volatile organic compounds and oxides of Nitrogen.

# CONSULTATION FOR CONNECTING KENTUCKIANA 2040

The first step in determining conformity of *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* was to consult with the interagency consultation (IAC) group concerning matters not explicitly determined by the conformity rule. Conformity under the 2015 8-hour ozone standard had not been previously determined. Nevertheless, many of the issues normally arising in conformity had undergone consultation previously when the local area was a nonattainment or maintenance area under the 1997 8-hour ozone standard. This was of importance because the portion of the analysis involving the use of the travel demand model had been done in 2021. The results of this analysis did not have to be updated because no changes to the travel model were needed to reflect any changes due to the projects in this amendment. Therefore, the results of the travel model analysis from 2021 could be used without their being changed.

Consultation for this amendment took two forms. At first, there was a discussion conducted using e-mails in which each e-mail was sent to all of the other members of the IAC. The items sent to the IAC in the original e-mail included the following:

(a)	important dates ir	the schedule for the amendment;
	December 9	Project applications due from sponsors
	December 22	IAC consultation concludes
	December 23	Public Review begins
	January 9	Public Comments sent to Transportation Policy

	Committee
January 11	Action by the Transportation Technical Coordinating
	Committee
January 26	Action by the Transportation Policy Committee
January 27	Documentation sent to review agencies for the federal
	conformity determination.

(b) a draft list of projects—sent to the IAC with consultation notice—included in accompanying documentation.

The second portion of the consultation was a video conference held on December 20 to discuss issues relative to amendment 9 of the MTP and the conformity analysis for a future MTP update. The discussion specifically involving amendment 9 focused on the following issue:

(c) the type of analysis to be used in determining conformity — Since none of the projects in the amendment required that a new analysis using the travel demand model, the possibility of determining conformity based on the previous regional emissions analysis was suggested. However, because there were new SIP budgets now available, it was concluded that a new regional emissions analysis would be necessary. Because the project changes in Amendment 9 could not be reflected in the travel demand model, the new analysis would use the travel data (vehicle-miles-traveled and speeds) from an analysis conducted in 2021 and a newer version of the MOVES emissions model. The emissions resulting from this analysis would be compared to the new SIP budgets to determine conformity or not.

# Other Issues affecting Amendment 9

201	2015 8-hour Ozone Standard			
Analysis Year	Conformity Test(s)			
2025	Less than the 2019 SIP Base Year Emissions			
2030	Less than the 2019 SIP Base Year Emissions			
2035	Budget test using the 2035 MVEBs for the			
	2015 8-hour standard			
2040	Budget test using the 2035 MVEBs for the			
	2015 8-hour standard			

(1) the proposed conformity test methodology/ies and analysis years—the set of analysis years and tests to be used are shown in table below.

(2) the pollutant(s)/precursor(s) of concern and the motor vehicle emissions budget(s), if applicable—see table 2 at the end of the report.

- (3) information concerning the inputs for the travel demand model and the approved emissions model—the main issue involving these models was that the version of MOVES now being used was MOVES 3.0.4 which should not provide significantly different values than MOVES 3.0.3, which was used in developing the SIP budgets; and
- (4) a listing of any transportation control measures (TCMs) in SIPs, if applicable—there are none.

# ESTABLISHED PRACTICE

In addition to the issues discussed during consultation, there were several issues which were not explicitly discussed or received little discussion during the consultation zoom meeting of March 4, but which had impacts on the analysis. Many of these issues had been discussed during previous consultations. These issues were handled in a manner consistent with the previous established practice. The more prominent issues are discussed below.

# Relationship of MTP and TIP for Conformity Purposes

The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is maintained as a subset of the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP). Therefore, the conformity determination for the MTP will serve as the conformity determination for the TIP.

# Conclusion: The IAC members are informed of this from time to time in order to clarify the conformity determination for the MTP also serves as the conformity determination for the TIP.

# Issues related to the KIPDA travel demand forecasting model

During recent changes to the MTP, there was a change of note to the KIPDA travel demand forecasting model.

During 2017 and 2018, KIPDA staff have updated and calibrated the travel demand forecasting model. This activity involved updating the inputs to the model and developing new values for the parameters of the model. The resulting model was considered calibrated when the model outputs matched observed data (e.g., HPMS VMT), within reason, for the baseyear. This update established 2015 as the baseyear (the year on which calibration was based) for the model.

Conclusion: The IAC members have been informed that the KIPDA travel demand forecasting model has been updated and calibrated and that 2015 is now the baseyear for the model.

# Vehicle Registration (Fleet Mix) Data

At various times in the past, new vehicle registration data has been provided for use in developing pollutant emissions. This vehicle registration data has been reviewed and accepted by the IAC. The data being used for the Indiana counties has been updated to 2017, and the data being used for the Kentucky counties is for 2018. These data represent the most recent information available for this issue.

# Conclusion: Based on a consensus of the IAC members, vehicle registration data for 2017 for the Indiana counties and for 2018 for the Kentucky counties is now being used in developing emission estimates.

# CONFORMITY OF CONNECTING KENTUCKIANA 2040

The MTP, *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040*, was examined to determine if it met the requirements of the conformity rule under the 2015 8-hour ozone standards. In general, the process leading to a conformity determination has two major components:

- a regional emissions (air quality) analysis to determine that air pollutant emissions do not exceed the budgets set in the SIPs, if applicable, or the emission levels for a given base year; and
- (2) a monitoring of the progress in implementation of the Transportation Control Measures (TCMs) contained in the SIPs.

In the past, consultation with the state and local air quality agencies and EPA had determined that there are no approved TCMs in the SIPs of Indiana and Kentucky. Therefore, it is possible to show conformity of *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* simply by determining that the air pollutant emissions do not exceed the budgets in the SIPs or the base year emissions.

# ANALYSIS PROCESS

The process of calculating the regional emissions for *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* involved three main procedures. The first procedure was a review of the projects to determine which projects needed to be included in the regional emissions analysis. The second procedure was to perform the calculations necessary to quantify the certain measures of travel behavior. The third procedure was to calculate the pollutant / precursor emissions. These activities are discussed below in greater detail.

# Project Review

The first procedure was to review the projects to determine which projects were exempt or non-exempt and which projects were "regionally significant." The combination of these two considerations was the basis for determining which projects were recommended for inclusion in the regional emissions analysis. During the amendment of *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040*, a group of projects had been proposed for the plan. These projects were reviewed by KIPDA staff, who prepared a list of the projects with information about the projects and a staff recommendation concerning the project's status relative to being exempt, non-exempt, etc. There is usually a straightforward explanation for why projects are included in or excluded from the analysis and why they are analyzed as they are. Most of the projects which were excluded were exempt projects as defined in the Code of Federal Regulations in 40 CFR 93.126 and 40 CFR 93.127.

During consultation, this list was reviewed and accepted by the IAC as described under the section entitled "CONSULTATION FOR *CONNECTING KENTUCKIANA 2040.*" (Please see above.) Those projects in *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* which were not changed were analyzed as they had been previously. The projects which were newly added to the MTP or had been changed in *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* were analyzed as indicated on the list provided to IAC. For amendment 9, all the projects were exempt except one. The non-exempt project was already in the MTP, and the only change to it was monetary meaning it did not change the results of the travel model analysis. Therefore, in the sections below which reference the calculation of travel-related information, the data provided relates to the analysis performed in 2021.

In the past, there were several projects which could not be analyzed using the travel model. In the past, most of these projects had been evaluated using spreadsheet methods factors. Since the MOVES emissions model was being used in the inventory mode, emission factors were not available for this analysis. However, experience had shown that the emission impacts for these projects were always small and positive (i.e., emission reducing). Therefore, it is reasonable to predict that the emission impacts of these projects—if they could be quantified—would decrease the emissions shown in the tables at the end of this document.

Also, there was one project affecting Bullitt County that could not be included in the travel model. Unlike the projects described in the paragraph above, this project could have the potential to increase emissions. Therefore, a special effort was made to include its impacts in the analysis of travel behavior impacts and, consequently, in the regional emissions analysis. This project is the relocated (southern) section of US 31E. This project, which had been discussed during consultation in the past, involves the relocation of a small (approximately 0.2 mile) section of US 31E from Nelson

County (outside of the nonattainment area) to Bullitt County (inside the ozone nonattainment area) during the reconstruction of that road. Estimates of the VMT for this project were developed using a spreadsheet approach. The VMT estimates were the product of the estimated traffic volumes for each of the analysis years and the length of the relocated section in Bullitt County. The VMT estimates for this project were then added to other Bullitt County VMT estimates of the same functional class. Consequently, the VMT estimates from this project were included with the other Bullitt County VMT, and the emissions in Bullitt County associated with this project were included in the overall emission estimates for Bullitt County.

# Calculation of Travel-Related Information

The analysis of the travel behavior impacts for the nonattainment area primarily involved using the KIPDA travel demand forecasting model to determine measures of travel such as vehicle-miles-traveled (VMT) and speed. The method for determining these measures was to input the appropriate roadway and transit information into the model and to run the model using the appropriate socioeconomic information for a given analysis year. This analysis is explained below in further detail in the sections concerning the KIPDA travel demand forecasting model and adjustment factors for travel model output.

# KIPDA Travel Demand Forecasting Model

The KIPDA travel demand forecasting model is a mathematical model which relates travel to the transportation system and basic socioeconomic information. The domain of the model is a study area which includes the Louisville (KY-IN) Metropolitan Planning Area. The Louisville (KY-IN) Metropolitan Planning Area consists of Clark and Floyd counties, and 0.1 square miles in Harrison County in Indiana, and Bullitt, Jefferson, and Oldham counties and approximately 4 square miles in Shelby County in Kentucky. This area is divided into 984 smaller units called traffic analysis zones.

As previously mentioned, the KIPDA regional travel demand forecasting model was updated and calibrated recently. This update established 2015 as the new base year for the model. The model update utilized the information incorporated into the travel model during previous updates. In particular, information from the 2000 KIPDA Household Travel Survey had been previously incorporated. Information from 2010 Census, the 2012-2016 American Community Survey, the 1990 and 1995 National Personal Transportation Surveys, and the 2001 and 2009 National Household Travel Surveys was incorporated to update the previous source data, particularly the 2000 KIPDA Household Travel Survey. During the update, the model parameters were adjusted such that the model output matched—within reason—three main calibration criteria based on measured data. These criteria were: (1) the total daily VMT for all highway facilities except local roads for the region; (2) the distribution of trip lengths (duration in time) for each of the main trip purposes used in the model; and (3) highway traffic volumes crossing the Ohio River screenline. The result of the update was a travel model which generally replicated travel in the Louisville area for 2015. The updated travel model was used in the regional emissions analysis.

The KIPDA travel demand forecasting model uses the standard four steps of modeling: trip generation, trip distribution, mode choice, and trip assignment. In addition, it considers travel by vehicles entering, leaving, and crossing the study area. These types of trips are known as external-internal, internal-external, and external-external, respectively. The internal ends of these trips are determined by the methods described below for internal-internal travel. The external ends are determined from the volume of traffic crossing the study area boundary at any of the 46 external stations.

Trip generation is the process of determining the number of unlinked trip ends--called productions and attractions--and their spatial distribution based on socioeconomic variables such as households and employment. Trip rates used to define these relationships were derived from the travel data collection efforts described above. This information was supplemented by use of the *National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report #365* and the Institute of Transportation Engineers' *Trip Generation Report*. The KIPDA travel demand model uses three internal-internal trip purposes and utilizes different trip rates for each. Internal-internal trips are those which have both ends inside the modeling domain. The three purposes are home-based work, home-based other, and non-home based.

Trip distribution is the process of linking the trip ends thereby creating trips which traverse the area. The KIPDA travel model uses a gravity model to link all trips except the external-external ones. The gravity model is based on the principle that productions are linked to attractions as a direct function of the number of attractions of a zone and as an inverse function of the travel time between zones. This inverse function of travel time is used to generate parameters called friction factors which, in turn, direct the gravity model. The friction factors used in the gravity model were developed as part of the calibration effort performed during the model update. In addition, information from a study which investigated the behavior of travelers crossing the Ohio River and traffic count information from years near 2015 were utilized to develop additional parameters called K-factors. The K-factors are used by the model to ensure that it is predicting the correct volume of traffic crossing the Ohio River.

Mode choice is the process used to separate the trips which use transit from those which use automobiles. It is also used to separate the auto drive-alone trips from auto shared-ride trips. In some previous KIPDA travel demand models, mode choice was based primarily on information provided by the *TARC Travel Forecasting Study* from some time ago. In that model, the user's benefit or utility was calculated for

each mode based on zonal socioeconomic characteristics and the cost and time of the trip using the various modes. A nested logit model was used to determine the probability of the trip being made by each of the modes. This probability was then multiplied by the number of trips between zones to determine the number of trips by each mode.

As previously stated, the conformity analysis for *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* utilizes transit information from the previous travel demand model. The results of the 2004 TARC on-board survey had been used to factor the data in the previous transit files. This was deemed acceptable for several reasons. The primary reason was that the transit network envisioned by *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* is essentially the same as the existing one. In addition, the number of total trips from the two models was similar. Therefore, the use of the factored transit trip information from previous travel models did not significantly change the proportion of trips allocated to transit. Finally, the proportion of trips utilizing transit is less than 2% of the total trips. So small differences in the number of transit trips should provide a negligible effect on overall travel.

Trip assignment is the process used to determine which links of the network a trip will use. There are several assignment schemes which may be used. Two of the more common schemes are All-or-Nothing (AON)--in which all trips between two zones follow the shortest time path--and Stochastic--in which trips between two zones may be assigned to several paths based on their impedances or travel times. It is not uncommon for travel models to use several assignment schemes in sequence to converge to a better assignment. A sequence commonly used involves using several AONs with the traffic volumes reported at the end of each scheme being a weighted average of the volumes from the most recent scheme and the volumes from the previous schemes. A capacity restraint provision is used to adjust travel times between assignment schemes. This sequence is called an equilibrium assignment. The KIPDA travel model uses an equilibrium assignment which converges when the change in system-wide travel time over successive iterations is estimated to be within 0.0001 or less.

Tolls are being used as a means of providing for a portion of the cost of the Louisville Southern Indiana Ohio River Bridges project. To reflect the effect of the tolls in the KIPDA travel model, time penalties have been used in the model on the bridges where tolls are being collected. As mentioned above, the toll structure was recently changed. To reflect this in the MTP update, the time penalties used in the KIPDA travel model were likewise changed to reflect the effect of the new toll structure.

The output from the KIPDA travel model is in the form of a series of links with each link having certain associated data such as number of lanes, capacity, facility type, area type, functional class, and volume. This data allows for the calculation of other link information such as vehicle-miles-traveled (VMT). The VMT can be calculated as the product of the volume of traffic using a link times the distance (length) of the link.

# Adjustment Factors for Travel Model Output

The VMT and speeds from the travel demand model were adjusted before being used in the calculation of regional emissions. The purpose of these adjustments was to reconcile the model output with travel estimates from other sources, such as the Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) estimates of VMT. To perform this adjustment, factors were developed for the baseyear of the model using HPMS or other estimates and applied to model output for other years.

The development of the VMT adjustment factors involved comparing the VMT outputs of the travel demand model to the HPMS VMT estimates for 2015. Factors were developed to adjust the model output to account for variation between the model and HPMS within each of the counties. To do this, the VMT from the 2015 model run was tabulated by county and functional classification. The VMT estimates derived from the model were then compared to the HPMS VMT estimates for 2015 to develop adjustment factors to be applied to the model output for subsequent years. The 8-hour ozone analysis is based on a level of traffic and the accompanying emissions expected on a typical summer weekday. For that analysis, the adjustment factors were increased by 2.9% to reflect the higher volume of traffic that can be expected on a typical summer weekday relative to the annual average daily traffic. The adjustment factors for VMT were developed on a functional classification basis for each county.

The development of the speed adjustment factors involved a similar process. The outputs of the travel demand model were compared to estimates of speed based on the equations of the Highway Economic Reporting System (HERS).

The HERS equations were used to estimate speeds on 6239 sections for five functional classifications of urban roadways and 2278 sections for five functional classifications of rural roadways. The speeds from these roadway sections were used to determine the average speed for each of five rural and urban functional classes. The speeds used in the travel model were also averaged for each of the five rural and urban functional classes for which HERS estimates had been developed. The speed adjustment factor for each of these functional classes was calculated as the ratio of the average speed using the HERS equations to the average speed using the travel model data.

There were not many HPMS minor collector and local roadway sections with data that allowed for the calculation of adjustment factors. Since the model contained the minor collector roadways in the area and these roadways were similar to the major collector roadways in the area, the adjustment factor for the rural major collectors was used for the rural minor collector roadways, and the adjustment factor for the urban major collectors was used for the urban minor collector roadways.

The procedures described above produced speed adjustment factors for all functional classes except rural and urban local roads and ramps. (Ramps are not officially a separate functional class, but the speed behavior of traffic on ramps is not expected to be like that of any other functional class. Therefore, the ramps were treated as a separate "functional class". There was not sufficient data to estimate speeds for the roadways of these classes. For rural and urban local roads and ramps, the speeds in the travel model were used without adjustment (i.e., the speed adjustment factor for rural and urban local roads and for ramps = 1).

# Calculation of Pollutant/Precursor Emissions

The calculation of the pollutant/precursor emissions for the nonattainment area involved using the adjusted output data from the KIPDA travel demand forecasting model as input to the MOVES model. KIPDA staff provided adjusted travel model output data in the form of vehicle-miles-traveled (VMT), VMT by speed bin, and VMT fractions by speed bin by county and by MOBILE 6 facility type to the staff of the Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District (LMAPCD). LMAPCD staff utilized this data along with other necessary inputs to run the MOVES model and develop emission estimates for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and oxides of Nitrogen (NOx). They then provided these estimates to KIPDA staff. This analysis is explained below in further detail in the section below.

# **MOVES Emissions Model**

As previously mentioned, the Louisville region is a nonattainment area for the pollutant ozone and must therefore control the precursors of ozone, VOCs, and NOx. The emission estimates for VOCs and NOx were determined using the MOVES 3.0.3 and 3.0.4 emissions models. The staff of the Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District (LMAPCD) produced the emissions for all of the counties in the nonattainment area. The methodology used in calculating these emission estimates is discussed below.

There are a number of factors affecting the emission estimates developed from the MOVES model. In the past, these factors included the presence of inspection/ maintenance (I/M) programs in some of the counties. During that time period, the VMT generated in Clark, Floyd, and Jefferson (KY) counties came from some vehicles subject to an I/M program and from some vehicles not subject to an I/M program. The I/M program in Clark and Floyd counties was discontinued at the end of 2006. The I/M program in Jefferson County (KY) was discontinued in 2003. Therefore, these programs are no longer a factor in estimating emissions. One of the other factors is the fuel used by the vehicles in the various counties. The fuels which are used in Clark, Floyd, and Jefferson counties include reduced Reid vapor pressure gasoline (RVP) and reformulated gasoline (RFG). While RFG is used in some portions of Bullitt and Oldham counties, unregulated gasoline is used in the other portions of those counties as well as the areas adjacent to the nonattainment area. Vehicles from these other areas can be expected to travel in the Clark, Floyd, and Jefferson (KY) counties also. In the past, the emission factors (from the MOBILE 6 model) for Clark, Floyd, and Jefferson (KY) counties used in the air quality analysis varied by county because they represent a VMT-weighted composite based on an estimate of travel in each county by vehicles from the various portions of the region. For this analysis, the MOVES model was used in what is known as the inventory mode. Using the inventory mode, it is possible to define the fuel characteristics and the presence of an I/M program for each county, but it is not possible to represent the effect of travel in a county by vehicles from other counties. Therefore, the use of composite emission factors was not possible. Other than that, the assumptions used in the analysis were consistent with those of the appropriate air quality agency for each of the counties. For Clark and Floyd counties, the assumptions of the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) were used. Some assumptions of LMAPCD were also used for Clark and Floyd counties. For Jefferson County (KY), the assumptions of the LMAPCD were used. These assumptions had been previously reviewed and accepted by the IAC partners.

The assumptions used in developing the emissions for Clark, Floyd, and Jefferson (KY) counties were the same as those that were used in developing the ozone budget update (for VOCs and NOx) in 2003 with a few exceptions where newer data was incorporated. The changes which affected the VOC and NOx emissions included:

- (1) improved consistency and completeness of gasoline data provided with the new MOVES model,
- (2) the incorporation of newer vehicle registration data (for 2017) for Clark and Floyd counties (provided by INDOT),
- (3) the development and use of newer vehicle registration data (for 2018) for Jefferson County (KY), and
- (4) improvements in internal model calculations to account for emission controls, driving profiles and engine characteristics.

The emissions for Bullitt and Oldham counties were also developed by LMAPCD. Most of the inputs to the MOVES model were defaults and/or data used that was consistent with previous SIPs. As mentioned above, RFG is used in some portions (the "original" portions) of Bullitt and Oldham counties, and unregulated gasoline is used in the other portions (the "new" portions) of those counties as well as the areas adjacent to the nonattainment area. The "original" portions and "new" portions refer to whether a portion of these counties had originally designated as a nonattainment/ maintenance status for the 1-hour ozone standard or had only been designated under the 8-hour ozone standard. Neither portion of either county had an I/M program. So it was not necessary to have I/M input information for MOVES. However, it was possible that the gasoline formulation in the different portions of these counties could be different.

It was determined—based on data provided by US EPA for the MOVES model—that the gasoline formulation for Bullitt and Oldham counties is essentially the same as that for Jefferson County with respect to the use of RFG. Since the use of the MOVES model in the inventory mode does not allow for the characteristics of different blends of gasoline within the same county, the gasoline formulations of Bullitt and Oldham counties was modeled the same as for Jefferson County.

The assumptions used for Bullitt and Oldham counties were consistent with those for the 2003 ozone budget update with the following exceptions:

- (1) improved consistency and completeness of gasoline data provided with the new MOVES model,
- (2) the characterization of gasolines described in the previous paragraph
- (3) new 2018 vehicle registration data for Bullitt and Oldham counties, and
- (4) improvements in internal model calculations to account for emission controls, driving profiles and engine characteristics.

LMAPCD developed emission estimates of VOCs and NOx using the MOVES model. To review, the following steps were undertaken.

- (1) LMAPCD staff received (from KIPDA staff) the adjusted travel model output in the form of VMT, VMT by speed bin, and VMT fractions by speed bin, all by county and by MOBILE facility type by analysis year.
- (2) LMAPCD reformatted the data from KIPDA to prepare it as input to the MOVES model. Other necessary data was also prepared.
- (3) The MOVES model was run in inventory mode to determine emission estimates of each precursor for each county for each analysis year.
- (4) LMAPCD staff provided the emission estimates to KIPDA staff.

# **RESULTS OF THE ANALYSIS**

The transportation plan, *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040,* has been examined to determine if it is in conformity with the SIPs of Indiana and Kentucky and fulfills the criteria in the federal conformity rule (found in 40 CFR 93). The examination has been based on an air quality analysis to determine that air pollutant emissions of the appropriate areas did not exceed the VOC and NOx motor vehicle emission budgets.

As previously mentioned, the other criterion for determining conformity would have been the progress in implementation of the Transportation Control Measures (TCMs) contained in the SIPs. However, since previous consultation had determined that there were no approved TCMs, that criterion did not affect the determination of conformity. The results of the regional emissions analyses for ozone precursors are discussed below.

# 8-hour Ozone Analysis

The eight-hour ozone redesignation SIPs of Indiana and Kentucky contain emission budgets for the precursors of ozone, volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and oxides of Nitrogen (NOx). The regional emissions analysis was conducted to provide estimates of the levels of emissions of VOCs and NOx for the various analysis years. These emission levels were then compared to the budgets in the SIPs to determine if the conformity tests were passed.

The results of the regional emissions analysis are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. Table 1 shows the summer weekday vehicle-miles-traveled from the analysis. Table 2 shows that for 2025 and 2030, the summer weekday VOC and NOx emission levels for the 2015 8-hour nonattainment area are less than the 2019 base year emissions in the 2015 8-hour ozone redesignation SIP. Table 2 also shows that for 2035 and 2040, the summer weekday VOC and NOx emission levels for the 2015 8-hour nonattainment area are less than the emission budgets established in the 2015 8-hour ozone redesignation SIP.

# Conclusions – 8-hour Ozone

The regional emissions analysis of *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* indicates that the Metropolitan Transportation Plan is consistent with the goals and emission budgets established in the State Implementation Plans of Indiana and Kentucky. The cumulative effect of the results shown in Table 2 indicates that *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* has met the requirements of conformity under the 2015 8-hour ozone standards. In summary, it can be concluded that *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* conforms to the SIPs and meets the requirements of the federal conformity rule.

# TABLE 1

SUMMER WEEKDAY VEHICLE-MILES-TRAVELED (VMT) ESTIMATED FOR					
	THE 8-HOUR OZONE NONATTAINMENT AREA				
(in 1000's of vmt/day)					
YEAR	INDIANA	KENTUCKY	TOTAL		
2025	7894	27297	35191		
2030	8425	28719	37144		
2035	8953	30053	39006		
2040	9440	31183	40623		

# TABLE 2

SUMMER WEEKDAY EMISSIONS FOR THE 8-HOUR NONATTAINMENT AREA (kg/day)						
EMISSION LEVELS FOR VARIOUS YEARS						
YEAR	Area	VOCs	NOx	PASS		
2025		7110	18828	YES		
2030	Regional	5034	14658	YES		
2035		4416	13669	YES		
2040		4239	13904	YES		

NOTE: The criteria for conformity are as follows:

2025 and 2030 Regional emission levels for VOCs must be below the redesignation SIP base year emissions of 13.65 tons/day or 12,383 kg/day.

2025 and 2030 Regional emission levels for NOx must be below the redesignation SIP base year emissions of 33.03 tons/day or 29,964 kg/day.

2035 and 2040 Regional emission levels for VOCs must be below the redesignation SIP emission budget of 5.51 tons/day or 4,999 kg/day.

2035 and 2040 Regional emission levels for NOx must be below the redesignation SIP emission budget of 17.18 tons/day or 15,585 kg/day.



# Connecting Kentuckiana 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan and FY 2020-2025 Transportation Improvement Program Amendment 9 Interagency Consultation Group Conference Call Meeting Minutes December 20, 2022 11:00 AM EST

#### **Participants:**

EPA – Sarah LaRocca & Anthony Maietta FHWA – Erica Tait FTA – Aviance Webb INDOT – Jay Mitchell KYDAQ – Lauren Hedge, Anna Bowman, & Kevin Davis LMAPCD – Michelle King, Byron Gary, & Craig Butler TARC – Burcum Keeton KIPDA – Andy Rush, Alex Posorske, Chris Nicolas, Jeremeih Shaw, Randy Simon, & Spencer Williams

#### Welcome/Roll Call:

A total of 18 participants, representing eight local, state, regional, and federal agencies participated in the IAC video conference for KIPDA's *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* Metropolitan Transportation Plan Update and Amendment 9 of KIPDA's *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* Metropolitan Transportation Plan and the FY 2020-2025 Transportation Improvement Program. The meeting began shortly after 11:00 AM EST on December 20, 2022.

Note: It should be noted that the video conference was for both the 2050 MTP update and Amendment 9 of the 2040 MTP and the FY 2020-2025 TIP. Only those points specifically applicable for Amendment 9 are included in these minutes.

#### **Schedule Discussion:**

KIPDA staff discussed key dates (shown below) of the anticipated schedule for the amendment. It was mentioned that there will be multiple activities (including the travel demand modeling and MOVES modeling) as part of the conformity analysis. Also, there will be a public meeting (in-person or video conference) during the public review period.

Key Dates for the schedule for Amendment 9

Project applications due	December 9
KIPDA staff completes project review	December 15
IAC video conference	December 20
Air Quality conformity analysis completed	December 19
Public Comment period	December 22 – January 6
Comments sent to the Transportation Policy Committee	January 9
Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee recommendation	January 11
Transportation Policy Committee action	January 26
Federal review starts	January 27

#### **Conformity Analysis Discussion:**

Prior to the video conference, KIPDA Staff sent documentation of the nine (9) projects that are included in Amendment 9. Only one of the projects was discussed. That project was KIPDA ID 2899. The project involved adding travel lanes to I-64 in Floyd County. It was the only project in Amendment 9 that was a not an exempt project. It had been previously included in the MTP, and the only changes to the project were monetary. Therefore, the change included in Amendment 9 would not result in any change to the results in the travel-related data (vehicle-miles-traveled and speeds) from the travel model portion of the regional emissions analysis. Nevertheless, the project was not an exempt project; there were new SIP budgets available; and those budgets had not been used in a previous conformity determination. Therefore, it was determined that Amendment 9 must undergo a new regional emissions analysis to be found to conform to the new SIP.

#### Other information provided during the video conference:

Emissions Modeling: The emissions model being used for Amendment 9 is MOVES3. MOVES 3.0.3 is being used for the 2019, 2025, 2030, and 2035 analysis years. The emission estimates for these years were developed earlier this year during the development of the new ozone SIP. MOVES 3.0.4 is being used for the 2040 analysis year. The emission estimates for that year were developed recently.

#### Other Information relevant to Amendment 9 previously provided to the IAC:

2015 8-hour Ozone Standard				
Analysis Year	Conformity Test(s)			
2025	Less than the 2019 SIP Base Year Emissions			
2030	Less than the 2019 SIP Base Year Emissions			
2035	Budget test using the 2035 MVEBs for the 2015 8-hour standard			
2040	Budget test using the 2035 MVEBs for the 2015 8-hour standard			

The analysis years and conformity tests for Amendment 9 will be:

#### Other Discussion:

KIPDA staff offered the opportunity for any other business or questions to be brought before the IAC. There was no other business discussed. The conference call adjourned at approximately 11:50 AM EST.



# KIPDA

### Agenda Item #7

# **MEMORANDUM**

- TO: Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee
- FROM: Alex Posorske
- DATE: January 4, 2023
- SUBJECT: MTP Finance/Financial Plan

Federal regulations require that the long-range Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) be fiscally reasonable, with estimates of costs and revenue sources that are reasonably expected to be spent/be available during the course of the MTP. In preparation for the MTP update, KIPDA staff has updated the MTP financial plan through 2050 and requests TPC approval of the updated financial plan.

The financial plan itself can be reviewed at https://kipdatransportation.org/mtp2050finance/. (Note that the link will not be live until after 1/6/2023)

The MOA between KIPDA, INDOT, KYTC, and TARC lays out the process for generating and approving the financial plan. The most relevant points include:

- Using previous annual elements from the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), KIPDA will identify an average annual dollar amount by state based on what has been programmed in the TIP for any given annual element.
- KIPDA will straight-line project the average annual dollar amount to the horizon year of the MTP to identify, by state, the amount of federal formula funds that, for planning purposes only, may be used in developing the MTP Financial Plan.
  - In order to reflect Year of Expenditure of anticipated federal funds in the Financial Plan, 0 KIPDA will modify the straight-line projections by adjusting each year of the MTP estimated available funds by 5% (compounded annually).
- KIPDA, working with KYTC, INDOT, TARC and other agency partners, will identify planning level project costs for each project or line item in the KIPDA MTP.
  - o In order to reflect Year of Expenditure for project costs, KIPDA or the assumed Service Services project sponsor will adjust the identified project costs at a rate of 4% compounded annually. The Year of Expenditure rate for project cost may be adjusted following concurrence from KYTC, INDOT, TARC, and final approval by the TPC.

11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 www.kipda.org







- KIPDA will provide first drafts for consideration that include:
  - Draft of anticipated federal funds available for the MTP Financial Plan. Through cooperation and collaboration, each state and TARC may, with presentation of sound reasoning, propose adjusting the estimated federal funds.
  - Draft of estimated project costs identified for the MTP Financial Plan. Through cooperation and collaboration, each state and TARC may, with presentation of sound reasoning, propose adjusting the identified project costs.
- The MTP Financial Plan is considered reasonable when the total estimated federal funds by state and the total identified project costs, also by state, are within 10% of each other. The defined range for a reasonable MTP Financial Plan may be modified following collaboration and cooperation between KYTC, INDOT, TARC, and other agency partners, with final approval by the TPC.
- The TPC will approve the MTP Financial Plan.





Agenda Item #8

KIPDA

### MEMORANDUM

TO: Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee

FROM: Alex Posorske

DATE: January 4, 2023

SUBJECT: KIPDA Region Active Transportation Plan

With the KIPDA MPO Complete Streets policy formalized, staff plans to move into the second phase of regional complete streets efforts, the regional Active Transportation Plan (ATP) in 2023.

As envisioned by staff and the original Complete Streets working group, the ATP is intended to serve as a resource to local governments and agencies in the KIPDA region that can identify strengths and gaps in existing bicycle and pedestrian networks, provide best practice resources for local agencies, and assist in the prioritization of future bicycle and pedestrian projects. Similar plans are already in existence or being developed in many of our peer regions and are increasingly seen as an important best practice by the US Department of Transportation (USDOT).

To ensure that the ATP best serves the needs of KIPDA region jurisdictions, staff will convene an ATP working group to guide the development of this plan in 2023. It is anticipated that the working group would meet at least twice, with a Q1 2023 meeting to brief everyone on the planning process and gather input about specific needs and a follow up meeting later in the year to review and comment on the draft plan before it is finalized. For working group members who would like to be more engaged, there will be additional opportunities for feedback and direction as well.

The first meeting will be held in late January or February with a specific date chosen in the next two weeks. TTCC members who volunteered to serve on the working group will receive an email in the next week with potential meeting dates. Current working group members are:

- Aida Copic, TARC
- Dirk Gowin, Louisville Metro
- Matt Meunier, Jefferstown
- Chad Reischl, Jeffersonville

11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 www.kipda.org







11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 www.kipda.org





# KIPDA

# Agenda Item #9

# MEMORANDUM

- TO: Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee
- FROM: Randy Simon Transportation Planner
- DATE: January 4, 2023
- SUBJECT: Conformity Consultation Memorandum of Understanding

When the Metropolitan Transportation Plan and Transportation Improvement Program are updated or amended, they often need to undergo an air quality analysis. An integral part of this analysis is consultation between KIPDA staff and our local, state, and federal air quality and transportation partners. This consultation is conducted in a manner consistent with KIPDA's Conformity Consultation Memorandum of Understanding (MOU).

This MOU was updated in 2022 and is now under review by the local, state, and federal partners. The review is expected to be finished by the end of January.







#### Agenda Item #10

# MEMORANDUM

- TO: Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee
- FROM: Alex Posorske
- DATE: January 4, 2023

# SUBJECT: KIPDA Region Safe Streets and Roads for All Application Update

KIPDA staff submitted the region-wide application for a Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) action plan planning grant in September 2022 and USDOT confirmed receipt of application with all required sections in October. Awards are expected to be announced in January. See below for a timeline of next steps, a list of participating jurisdictions, and a review of the SS4A grant opportunity.

# 1. Timeline of Next Steps

- January 2023: Finalize RFP text and answer outstanding questions from the initial meeting
  - KIPDA would like to have an RFP ready to go so that if the regional effort is awarded a grant a consulting/engineering team can be lined up ASAP
- Late January 2023: USDOT scheduled decision window
- February May 2023 (if funding is awarded): KIPDA issues RFP for consulting/engineering team
- May 2023 (if funding is awarded): Target date for kickoff meeting for SS4A regional action plan planning process
- May 2024 (if funding is awarded): Approximate completion date of SS4A action plan (assumed project time 9-15 months)
- Summer/Fall 2024 (if funding is awarded): Consideration of regional or jurisdiction-specific applications for SS4A implementation funding







# 2. Participating Jurisdictions

The following jurisdictions are active participants that have pledged to contribute to the local match. Louisville Metro will not be a formal co-applicant but will partner in the application and has pledged a contribution to the local match proportional to population.

- Indiana
  - o Clark County
  - Floyd County
  - o Charlestown
  - o Clarksville
  - o Jeffersonville
- Kentucky
  - o Bullitt County
  - o Henry County
  - o Oldham County
  - o Shelby County
  - Spencer County
  - o Trimble County
  - o Jeffersontown
  - o Mt Washington
  - o Shepherdsville
  - o St. Matthews

#### 3. Background/review of SS4A grant opportunity

Safe Streets for All (SS4A) is a new competitive grant program established by the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL) – the recently passed federal infrastructure bill. SS4A grants are intended to improve roadway safety and support efforts to significantly reduce or eliminate transportation-related fatalities and serious injuries involving all roadway users – drivers; pedestrians; bicyclists; public transportation, personal conveyance, and micromobility users; and commercial vehicle operators

Awarded grants will be divided into two primary areas - action plan grants and implementation grants.

• <u>Action plan grants</u> will support a locality or region's efforts to develop, complete, or supplement a comprehensive safety action plan that establishes a well-defined strategy to

11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 www.kipda.org





prevent roadway fatalities and serious injuries. There is an expected minimum of \$200,000 for all awarded action plan grants and an expected maximum of \$1,000,000 for an action plan grant awarded to a local government and an expected maximum of \$5,000,000 for an action plan awarded to an MPO or a joint application comprised of a multijurisdictional group of entities that is regional in scope (e.g., a multijurisdictional group of counties, a council of governments and cities within the same region, etc.). USDOT encourages action plan grant recipients to apply for implementation grants in the later years of the program.

• <u>Implementation grants</u> support the implementation of projects and strategies identified in an action plan to address a roadway safety problem. Projects and strategies may be infrastructure, behavioral, and/or operational activities. Applicants must have an existing Action Plan to apply for Implementation Grants or have an existing plan that is substantially similar and meets the eligibility requirements. Implementation grant awards are expected to range from \$5,000,000 to \$30,000,000 (for a local government) or \$50,000,000 (for an MPO or joint regional application).

The KIPDA region is not eligible for an implementation grant, but KIPDA staff recommended a regionwide action plan grant application for the following reasons:

- The region will be eligible for more SS4A funding in the next five years. Completing an action plan now will put the region in a strong position to win funding for implementation of projects in a relatively short time horizon. With \$5 billion available over five years, this could be an important opportunity to leverage federal funding to implement comprehensive safety improvements throughout the regional transportation network.
- The region will be better positioned for additional federal funding. Safety is increasingly one of the top priorities of USDOT. A regional transportation safety action plan will likely not only position the region for future SS4A grants but will position the region to be more competitive for a range of additional funding opportunities.
- **SS4A** is an important opportunity to advance safety throughout the region. Travel doesn't stop at the jurisdictional line, it's regional in nature. But the region does not have a comprehensive region-wide priority list of projects to ensure the safest transportation network possible for residents on both sides of the Ohio. SS4A is a great opportunity to do that and set in motion a generational round of safety improvements.

For more information email KIPDA Transportation Planner Alex Posorske at <u>alex.posorske@kipda.org</u>.



11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 www.kipda.org





Agenda Item #11

# MEMORANDUM

- TO: Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee
- FROM: Nick Vail
- DATE: January 3, 2023
- SUBJECT: MPO Dedicated Funding Programs

As the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the Louisville, KY-IN Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA), the Transportation Policy Committee (TPC) has the authority to award and manage Federal funds from six programs in Indiana and three programs in Kentucky. On a quarterly basis, KIPDA staff provides an update on the status of projects funded by these programs, presents any cost increase and phase shift requests, and highlights any project phases for which funds were obligated in the previous three months. This quarter KIPDA staff held a project review meeting with Indiana project sponsors. There are no cost increase or phase shift requests.



11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 www.kipda.org





Agenda Item #12

# MEMORANDUM

- TO: Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee
- FROM: Chris Nicolas
- DATE: January 03, 2023
- SUBJECT: 2020 Census Urbanized Areas update

KIPDA staff will present an update on the 2020 Census Urbanized Areas including qualifying criteria and the data released on December 29, 2022. The updated urbanized boundary maps are pending release and will be discussed at a later date when available.

# No Action is requested from TTCC

11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 www.kipda.org





Agenda Item #13

### MEMORANDUM

	TO:	Transportation <sup>7</sup>	Technical Coordinating	Committee
--	-----	-----------------------------	------------------------	-----------

FROM: Alex Posorske

DATE: January 4, 2023

SUBJECT: MTP Process Update

Staff is in the final stage of preparing the update to the region's long-range Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP), *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050*. New project submission/existing project confirmation closed on 10/31/2022 and since then staff has been focused on project validation and verification, model updates and analysis, and other required items.

The goal is to finish the draft MTP update in time for TPC review in February. A March review is also well within the required time frame for the update if any delays in the remaining analyses occur in the next several weeks.

If the MTP update draft is finalized in time for the February TPC review, the timeline for the approval process would be approximately:

- February 16, 2023: MTP update sent to TPC members
- February 23, 2023: TPC approval of MTP update
- February 24, 2023: 30-day public comment period opens
- March 27, 2023: 15-day period for TPC response to public comment opens
- April 27, 2023: TPC final approval
- May-June 2023: Federal review/approval

If the MTP update draft requires several additional weeks, the above timeline would be moved back one month.

