

**Amendment 8 to the Connecting Kentuckiana 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan; FY 2020-2025  
Transportation Improvement Program  
Public Comments**

**Project Name:** Stansifer Ave Streetscape Improvements  
**KIPDA ID:** 3019  
**Project Sponsor:** Clarksville

**Comments:** “Love to see projects like this, fully support. Please consider finding a way to make any bike lanes physically separated to increase their use and accessibility to all types of cyclists/micro mobility users on this critical east-west connection.”

“Stuff like this is the only thing a city can do to make people actually want to love in an area. With how slow Louisville moves, this could be a good start to a series of projects for SO-IN to establish itself as an inexpensive “cool” area.”

“This is the type of project that needs to be implemented en masse. 94% of this project funding goes to expressways that only make our community and environment worse. Spend more on people-centric projects and less on soulless expressway projects!”

“Protected bike lanes are a must! This is a great project.”

**Project Name:** I-65  
**KIPDA ID:** New  
**Project Sponsor:** Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC)

**Comments:** “I’m glad that resources are being devoted to maintaining our existing and useful highway infrastructure. However, this highlights the disparity between the resources allocated to highways, vs what’s spent on sidewalks/transit/micro mobility in urban cores.”

“This is badly needed for this area. The cost is high but considering how much tax dollars travels over this stretch daily, it makes sense. Even the local news has highlighted this stretch and the repair need.”

“This money should be used to rebuild our crumbling sidewalks, improving bicycles and pedestrian safety or adding crosswalks around the city. Additionally this money could bury all the power lines along Bardstown Road in the Highlands.”

“This is far too much money to be spent on highway infrastructure in a time when we need to swiftly transitioning to more sustainable modes of transport. Consider cancelling this project and redirecting the money to making city streets safer.”

"I agree with the other commenters. This is a ludicrous amount of money for such a small section of a single highway and will only perpetuate further maintenance costs and pollution."

"\$9 MILLION?!?!?!? No way! The citizens of Kentucky shouldn't be subsidizing an interstate system when the infrastructure for the people that live here is crumbling!"

"Why are we spending so much money on something that children and the elderly in our city can't use? We need better ways for seniors who are aging out of driving to feed themselves using the road network."

"\$10M per mile just for repaving is an unconscionable cost. Cut our losses and reduce the amount of expressway that needs to be maintained- it is draining resources at an alarming rate when we need to focus more on community projects."

"\$9 million is plenty to research and implement a longer term solution to the issue of deteriorating roads."

"How much money has already been poured (literally) into maintaining this section of I-65? It's been patched so many times only to crumble and sink over and over again. Paving seems like another waste of \$. Better solutions are needed."

"This project is extremely pricey and I believe the money should be spent elsewhere. This project will increase GHG emissions and should not be pursued at this time."

"\$9 million just to repave 6 miles of I-65 in Bullitt County. Maintaining so much infrastructure for cars is not sustainable and a waste of tax money that could otherwise be spent better on other projects. There should be no more highway expansion/lane widening/new highways in KY because of the increase to deferred and ongoing maintenance costs like this."