

**Amendment 4 to the Connecting Kentuckiana 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan; FY 2020-2025
Transportation Improvement Program
Public Comments**

Project Name: SR 60 Intersection Improvement, Roundabout
KIPDA ID: 2964
Project Sponsor: INDOT

Comments: “Generally I approve of roundabouts – but the devil is in the details, so please make sure this design accommodates walkers and bikers.”

Project Name: SR 64 Added Travel Lane
KIPDA ID: 2967
Project Sponsor: INDOT

Comments: “13 million for a road expansion project here is a waste. Instead it should be to build safe ways to allow better walking and e-bike access for nearby neighborhoods to get where they need to without adding to the car traffic.”

“I strongly disapprove of this project – it is fiscally irresponsible and environmentally irresponsible. It will induce more car traffic and encourage development to spread to undeveloped land – instead of encouraging infill of existing developed land.”

Project Name: KY 1450
KIPDA ID: 2966
Project Sponsor: KYTC

Comments: “Disapprove of this. This is a waste of millions of dollars that could instead go to making this road slower, more reliable, and safer for those nearby. Improving sidewalks and making a multi-use path would be much better for residents.

“I do not support this project. It will simply induce MORE demand for travel and bring more cars and development here and further out. Do not waste our money to erode development in the core city and further develop green space, which we need to conserve.”

Project Name: TARC Rehab Administration Building
KIPDA ID: 2462
Project Sponsor: TARC

Comments: “Cannot comment on this until we know the reason for the removal, which is not visible in this map nor the amendment 4 information packet. Is it because TARC does not need this funding, or has found another solution? Or because KYTC has shifted goals?”

Project Name: TARC Purchase Two (2) 40' Buses
KIPDA ID: 2454
Project Sponsor: TARC

Comments: "Cannot comment on this until we know the reason for the removal, which is not visible in this map nor the amendment 4 information packet. Is it because TARC does not need this funding, or has found another solution? Or because KYTC has shifted goals?"

Project Name: KY 1450 Blue Lick Road
KIPDA ID: 2758
Project Sponsor: Bullitt County

Comments: "Disapprove. This is a lot of money to be spent on a road widening for cars that is not needed and negatively impact low income and minorities and others living nearby."

"Please do not widen this road. Widening this road will cause further development to spread outward – and will discourage investment in the city that already has infrastructure. We need to save green space and save undeveloped parcels from development."

Project Name: I-64 Sherman Minton Corridor Maintenance
KIPDA ID: 2533
Project Sponsor: INDOT

Comments: "Can this huge amount of funding include 1-2% on other modes (bikes, ebikes, scooters, walking) of getting across this vital west end bridge for those in west Louisville who can't afford cars? Would help with job and retail access for low income residents.

"This project should be revised to include multi modes – this is a LOT of money to be spending only on car travel. Do not approve of this project unless there is accommodation in the design for bikes and walking."

Project Name: Olmstead Parkways Multi-Use Path System
KIPDA ID: 2630
Project Sponsor: Louisville Metro

Comments: "Strongly support this idea to make this parkway, instead of the expressway it is! This multi-use path will improve job, retail, and recreation access for low-income residents all along the parkway who can't afford a car."

"Wonderful! Let's bump this one up to the top of the list."

"Strongly support this project – as long as there is a SEPERATED lane and space for cyclists and bicyclists."

Project Name: Olmstead Parkways Multi-Use Path System Section 3

KIPDA ID: 2624
Project Sponsor: Louisville Metro

Comments: “Strongly support this idea to make this parkway, instead of the expressway it is! This multi-use path will improve job, retail, and recreation access for low-income residents all along the parkway who can’t afford a car.”

TPC Response to Comments:

Geoffrey Hobin: “With regard to the questions about the two TARC projects that are being removed, we can certainly point out that the projects were removed for administrative reasons. They were both replaced by new projects that increase the number of buses to be ordered as well as the amount of funding that will be expended on facility maintenance. “