

TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL COORDINATING COMMITTEE 1:00 p.m., Tuesday, November 10, 2020

Please note the November meeting is on the second Tuesday rather than the second Wednesday of the month.

Video Meeting

The Committee members and public will be able to participate, observe and comment from the safety of their homes (access to KIPDA offices is not permitted at this time). Please review the following notes:

- Each **TTCC MEMBER** will be provided via email a unique web-link to the Zoom (video conference).
- The **PUBLIC** may review the meeting materials and find the web-link to the video meeting at: <u>https://www.kipda.org/committees-and-councils/transportation-technical-coordinating-committee/meeting-information/</u>
- There will be a public comment period at the beginning of the TTCC video meeting. The public may also submit comments in advance of the meeting by emailing: KIPDA.trans@kipda.org

AGENDA

- 1) Call to Order, Welcome, Roll Call
- 2) September 2020 TTCC Meeting Minutes Review and approval (see enclosed). Action Requested.
- 3) *Transportation Policy Committee Report* Staff will report on the activities of the September 2020 TPC meeting.
- 4) *Public Comment Period* The TTCC Chair will facilitate a review of comments submitted prior to the TTCC meeting and entertain comments offered as part of Agenda Item 4.
- 5) *Project Management Guidebook* Staff will seek a recommendation to adopt proposed changes to the Project Management Guidebook (see enclosed). **Action Requested.**
- Quarterly Review of MPO Dedicated Funding Programs Staff will review proposed changes to the MPO dedicated funds and seek a recommendation for their approval (see enclosed). Action is Requested

11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 Phone: 502.266.6084 Fax: 502.266.5047 TDD: 800.648.6056



- 7) Public Involvement for Amendment 2 to the Connecting Kentuckiana 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan, the FY 2020 - 2025 Transportation Improvement Program, and the draft Coordinated Human Services Transportation Plan – Staff will provide a status report on ongoing public involvement activities (see enclosed).
- 8) Draft Coordinated Human Services Transportation Plan Staff will seek a recommendation to approve the proposed draft (see enclosed). Action Requested.
- Amendment 2 to the Connecting Kentuckiana 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan and the FY 2020 - 2025 Transportation Improvement Program, and the KIPDA Performance Management Plan – Staff will seek a recommendation to approve the amendments (see enclosed). Action Requested.
- 10) *KIPDA Active Transportation Plan* Staff provide an overview of upcoming activities related to the completion of a KIPDA Active Transportation Plan. (see enclosed).
- 11) Kentucky Transportation Cabinet's Strategic Highway Investment Formula for Tomorrow (SHIFT) Staff will provide an overview of the MPO efforts relative to SHIFT (see enclosed).
- 12) 2021 TTCC Officers Per the TTCC Bylaws, the TTCC Chair will appoint a Nominating Committee whose intent will be to identify candidates for TTCC Chair and Vice Chair for 2021.
- 13) Other Business

14) Adjourn

11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 Phone: 502.266.6084 Fax: 502.266.5047 TDD: 800.648.6056



MEETING MINUTES TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL COORDINATING COMMITTEE (TTCC) 1:00 p.m., Wednesday, September 9, 2020

Via Video Conference

Call to Order

Vice Chair Keith Griffee called the meeting to order at 1:03 p.m. After introductions were made, it was determined that there was a quorum present.

Review and Approval of Minutes

Dirk Gowin, Louisville Metro Public Works & Assets, made a motion to approve the minutes for the July 8, 2020 TTCC meeting. Matt Meunier, City of Jeffersontown, seconded the motion and it carried with a unanimous vote.

Transportation Policy Committee (TPC) Report

Amanda Spencer, KIPDA staff, reported on the July TPC meeting. There was discussion. No action was required.

Public Comment Period

There were no public comments.

Project Management Guidebook

Nick Vail, KIPDA staff, presented proposed amendments for TTCC consideration. **Dirk Gowin, Louisville Metro Public Works & Assets, made a motion to recommend approval of the proposed amendments to the TPC. Tonya Higdon, KYTC, seconded the motion and it carried with a unanimous vote.**

Coordinated Human Services Transportation Plan (CHSTP) Update

Elizabeth Farc, KIPDA staff, provided an updated on the CHSTP. No action was required.

<u>Amendment 2 to the Connecting Kentuckiana 2040 Metropolitan Transportation</u> <u>Plan (MTP) and FY 2020-2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)</u>

David Burton, KIPDA staff, reviewed ongoing amendment activities for the documents. No action was required.

COVID-19 Transportation Impacts

Andy Rush, KIPDA staff, updated the TTCC on congestion and crash information during the pandemic. There was discussion. No action was required.

Other Business

There was no other business.

<u>Adjournment</u>

The meeting was adjourned at 1:52 p.m.

Amanda Spencer Recording Secretary

Members Present:

Keith Griffee (Vice Chair) Matt Meunier Barry Armstrong Kenan Stratman *Erica Tait Tonya Higdon Tom Hall Eric Perez Amanda Spencer Michelle King Michael King Emily Liu Dirk Gowin Brittany Montgomery *Vince Robison

Members Absent:

*AARP – Kentucky *Bullitt County Chamber of Commerce City of Charlestown City of Jeffersonville City of New Albany City of Shepherdsville Clark County Clark County Air Board *Clark County Fire Chiefs Association Clark County Planning Commission *Federal Aviation Administration – Memphis *Federal Highway Administration – Kentucky *Federal Transit Administration - Region 4 Floyd County *Greater Louisville Inc. Indiana Department of Environmental Management Indiana Department of Transportation - Public Transportation Indiana Department of Transportation – Seymour District Indiana Department of Transportation - Urban & MPO Section *Indiana Motor Truck Association Kentucky Division for Air Quality *Kentucky Trucking Association Louisville & Jefferson County Riverport Authority Louisville Regional Airport Authority *Louisville Water Company *Louisville/Jefferson County Metro Sewer District *Oldham Chamber & Economic Development Oldham County Oldham County Planning Commission *One Southern Indiana Ports of Indiana - Jeffersonville *Procarent *River Hills Economic Development District *Southern Indiana Transit Advisory Group TARC TARC Accessibility Advisory Council *University of Louisville

Other Attendees

Other Attendees	
Lori Puchino	City of Mt. Washington
Greg Burress	KIPDA
David Burton	KIPDA
Elizabeth Farc	KIPDA
Adam Forseth	KIPDA
Andy Rush	KIPDA

Bullitt County City of Jeffersontown City of Mt. Washington City of St. Matthews Federal Highway Administration – Kentucky Kentucky Transportation Cabinet Kentucky Transportation Cabinet – District 5 Kentucky Transportation Cabinet – Office of Transportation Delivery KIPDA Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District Louisville Metro Planning & Design Services Louisville Metro Public Works & Assets Town of Clarksville TRIMARC Nick Vail Craig Butler Bradley Coomes Amanda Deatherage

* Denotes Advisory Members

KIPDA Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District Louisville Metro Public Works & Assets



MEMORANDUM

TO: Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee

FROM: Nick Vail

DATE: October 14, 2020

SUBJECT: Project Management Guidebook Updates

On September 24, 2020, the Transportation Policy Committee (TPC) approved the new Project Management Guidebook (PMG). The PMG explains how KIPDA manages the MPO Dedicated Funding Programs.

Following TPC approval of the PMG, KIPDA staff realized that the following two clarifications are necessary. KIPDA staff presented these two clarifications to Kentucky project sponsors at a recent project review meeting and when asked for concerns, none were raised.

- The first update is in regard to cost increase opportunities for Kentucky sponsors with STBG-MPO projects. On page 9 (see attachment) staff recommends revising the language to clarify that there will be up to four opportunities to submit cost increases per year.
- The second update is in regard to phase shifts for STBG-MPO projects in Kentucky. No revision to the PMG is necessary, however, staff has clarified with project sponsors that projects with previous phase shifts will have a clean slate. This is due to the fact the rules for phase shifts have changed so dramatically.

The revised Project Management Guidebook is attached.

Action is requested for TTCC to recommend TPC approval of the revisions to the Project Management Guidebook.

11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 Phone: 502.266.6084 Fax: 502.266.5047 TDD: 800.648.6056



Project Management Guidebook

Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO)

Dedicated Funding Programs

Approved by the Transportation Policy Committee (TPC) on September 24, 2020

Table of Contents

Introduction
Background3
Goals
What's changed?3
Overview4
Programs4
Process Overview
Decision-making6
Calls for Projects
Announcement7
Eligibility7
Applying for Funds7
Funding Awards
runung Awarus
Managing Costs
Managing Costs
Managing Costs 8 Indiana 8 Kentucky 9 Bi-State Process 9 Shifting Funds Between Project Phases 10 Managing Schedules 10 Indiana 10 Indiana 10
Managing Costs 8 Indiana 8 Kentucky 9 Bi-State Process 9 Shifting Funds Between Project Phases 10 Managing Schedules 10 Indiana 10 Indiana 10 Indiana 10 Indiana 10 Kentucky 11
Managing Costs8Indiana8Kentucky9Bi-State Process9Shifting Funds Between Project Phases10Managing Schedules10Indiana10Kentucky11Project Progress12
Managing Costs8Indiana8Kentucky9Bi-State Process9Shifting Funds Between Project Phases10Managing Schedules10Indiana10Kentucky11Project Progress12Tracking12

Introduction

Background

In August 2016, KIPDA's Transportation Policy Committee (TPC) approved a Project Management Process (now titled Project Management Guidebook) for the Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG-MPO) Program to clarify requirements and procedures and to increase transparency and accountability. It was a new process for planning, programming and prioritizing STBG-MPO funds for which the TPC has sole authority to award.

In February 2020, a Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee (TTCC) working group was formed to examine the PMG rules and procedures in order to identify improvements that would further the original goals while reducing the administrative burden faced by project sponsors. This new Project Management Guidebook (2020) is the product of the TTCC Working Group's efforts and replaces the original Project Management Process (2016). This guidance will be used to develop recommendations to TPC but shall not limit TPC's ability to make decisions in the best interest of the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO).

Goals

The goals of the PMG are as follows:

- Support the goals of the <u>Connecting Kentuckiana 2040</u> Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP)
- Support the performance targets as defined by the <u>KIPDA Performance Management Plan</u>
- Ensure that projects advance in a timely and efficient manner so the community may benefit
- Establish a process that provides clear and understandable rules for project sponsors to follow
- Reduce the administrative burden to ensure it is not an impediment to project delivery

What's changed?

While there are many things that have changed from the original Project Management Process (2016), there are a few prominent changes, outlined here:

- The processes and procedures included in this guidebook apply to all of KIPDA's MPO Dedicated Funding Programs; variations by program are outlined. This includes:
 - Indiana programs: Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ-MPO), Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP-MPO), Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG-MPO) and Transportation Alternatives (TA-MPO)
 - Kentucky programs: Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG-MPO) and Transportation Alternatives (TA-MPO)
- The administrative burden on project sponsors has been reduced and KIPDA is transitioning to online submittal of forms, applications, etc.

- Funds available for planning studies were increased from 2% to 5% of the annual KY STBG-MPO program.Cost increases are now only available for projects that have previously been awarded funds through an MPO dedicated funding program.
- The 20% cost increase threshold, requiring sponsors to submit additional paperwork for those cost increase requests, has been eliminated.
- The rules for managing project schedules (i.e. delays and phase shifts) have been restructured to maintain accountability, while acknowledging the external factors for which sponsors have little to no control over.
- The reporting and meeting requirements for Kentucky project sponsors were streamlined.

Overview

Programs

KIPDA manages annual sub-allocations for four federal funding programs in Indiana and two in Kentucky. These are known as the MPO Dedicated Funding Programs. The table below indicates which programs KIPDA receives an annual sub-allocation of funding for.

Program	Indiana	Kentucky
Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ-MPO)	Y	N
Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP-MPO)	Y	N
Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG-MPO)	Υ	Υ
Transportation Alternatives (TA-MPO)	Y	Υ

The purpose of each of these Federal Funding Programs is outlined here:

- Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ-MPO): This is a funding source-focused on reducing vehicle emissions in designated areas of the United States. Federal funding is available to government agencies for projects that will contribute greatly to air quality improvements and decreases in traffic congestion without adding vehicle capacity on roadways. Federal CMAQ funds must be spent in non-attainment or maintenance areas as determined by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).
- <u>Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP-MPO)</u>: This is a Federal-aid program with the purpose to achieve a significant reduction in traffic fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads, including non-State-owned roads and roads on tribal land. The HSIP requires a datadriven, strategic approach to improving highway safety on all public roads with a focus on performance.

- <u>Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG-MPO)</u>: This is a Federal-aid program that provides flexible funding that may be used by States and localities for projects to preserve and improve the conditions and performance on any Federal-aid highway, bridge and tunnel projects on any public road, pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure, and transit capital projects, including intercity bus terminals.
- <u>Transportation Alternatives (TA-MPO)</u>: This program provides funding for a variety of smallerscale transportation projects such as pedestrian and bicycle facilities, recreational trails, safe routes to school projects, community improvements such as historic preservation and vegetation management, and environmental mitigation related to storm water and habitat connectivity.

Process Overview

The following is an overview of the project management process. The details of each activity will be covered in subsequent sections of this guidebook.

KIPDA announces a Call for Projects, specific to each state and the applicable funding programs, every two to three years. Local public agencies (i.e. project sponsors) must submit an MPO Dedicated Funding Program Application. If the project the sponsor is applying for is new to KIPDA, then the sponsor must also submit a Project Application. If sponsors request more funds than are available, a TTCC Working Group will be formed to review and evaluate the project applications. The TTCC Working Group will ultimately make a funding recommendation to be considered by the TTCC and then the TPC. After TPC awards the MPO dedicated funds to a specific project, KIPDA staff will work with the sponsor to add the project to the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and if not already included in the MTP. Once that is accomplished then the sponsor can work with their respective state department of transportation to proceed with federal authorization and ultimately obligate the funds for a particular phase of the project. More details about the specifics can be found in the section about <u>Calls for Projects</u>.

A sponsor may realize that additional funds (i.e. cost increase) are needed for a particular phase of the project. Periodically there are opportunities for sponsors to apply for a cost increase. If funds are available, then project sponsors must submit a Cost Increase Application. If sponsors request more funds than are available, a TTCC Working Group will be formed to make funding recommendations to TTCC and ultimately TPC. There are many nuances as to whether funds will be available and when sponsors can apply. More details about the specifics can be found in the section about <u>ManagingCosts</u>.

There are also occasions when a particular phase of a project is delayed, and the sponsor may wish to shift the phase to a different fiscal year. Only Kentucky project sponsors can shift a phase of a project due to INDOT rules. KIPDA staff will alert Kentucky sponsors when phase shift requests can be submitted. In some cases, sponsors must submit a Phase Shift Application so that a TTCC Working Group may consider recommending that TPC grant an exception to the phase shift rules. More details about the specifics can be found in the section about <u>Managing Schedules</u>.

Decision-making

As previously mentioned, there are occasions when a TTCC Working Group must be formed. It could be related to a new Call for Projects, phase shift exception request or an instance where there are not enough funds available to cover every cost increase request. In each of these instances KIPDA staff will convene a TTCC Working Group comprised of representatives from the following agencies:

- Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)
- Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC)
- Kentuckiana Regional Planning and Development Agency (KIPDA)
- Louisville Metro Government
- Transit Authority of River City (TARC)
- Two rotating TTCC member agencies with voting status from Indiana
- Two rotating TTCC member agencies with voting status from Kentucky

If a rotating TTCC member agency prefers not to participate, then the next member agency on the rotating list of agencies will be asked to participate. It is not required that all nine TTCC Working Group members participate, but it is recommended.

The TTCC Working Group members must reach a consensus on any recommendations. Members have discretion as to how they reach their decision, but the following factors should be considered when applicable:

- Project's MTP ranking
- Project's potential contribution to achieving KIPDA's performance targets
- Ability of project sponsor to provide necessary non-federal match
- Project sponsor's history of advancing projects in a timely and efficient manner
- Information provided via any applications
- Relationship of the project to the Environmental Justice areas
- Justification for cost increase or phase shift
- Amount of a cost increase relative to the original cost estimate
- Amount of a cost increase relative to the available balance

Once the TTCC Working Group has reached consensus, a KIPDA staff member will draft a recommendation report. The TTCC Working Group recommendation will then be presented to the TTCC for their consideration and recommendation to TPC. The TTCC has discretion to modify the TTCC Working Group's recommendation. The TPC also has discretion to modify the recommendation from TTCC. Ultimately it is up to TPC to make any final decisions. Once TPC approval has occurred, KIPDA staff will follow up with project sponsors on the next steps needed to incorporate any project changes in the TIP and MTP.

Calls for Projects

Announcement

Calls for Projects (i.e. Call) do not occur annually. Instead KIPDA prefers to wait until at least two fiscal years' worth of funding is available prior to announcing a Call. When a Call is announced, KIPDA staff will provide a schedule of activities including the submittal deadline(s) for potential project sponsors. Ample time will be provided so that sponsors can adequately prepare documentation and complete the appropriate application(s).

Eligibility

All state and local public agencies in the Louisville/Jefferson County KY-IN Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA) are eligible to compete for available funds. However, all Calls are state specific and only sponsors who are located or operate in Indiana can compete for Indiana funding programs and those who are located in Kentucky can compete for Kentucky funding programs.

Project eligibility depends on the specific MPO dedicated funding program. Each of the four programs listed in the <u>Overview</u> section of this guidebook have their own federally defined project eligibility. The STBG-MPO program is the most flexible in terms of project eligibility, while the CMAQ-MPO, HSIP-MPO and TA-MPO programs are all very specific in the types of projects that are eligible.

One type of eligible project that is a bit different from the rest is planning studies. Planning studies are only eligible through the STBG-MPO program. Up to 5% of the annual sub-allocation of STBG-MPO funds may be awarded to planning studies. Planning studies must be obligated in the year they are programmed, and they are not eligible for cost increases.

Finally, there is a cap on how much federal funding Kentucky project sponsors are eligible to receive for a single phase of a project through the STBG-MPO program. KIPDA annually receives approximately \$20M through the program. The most a single phase can receive is 50% of the adjusted annual allocation of STBG-MPO funds. More information about the adjusted annual allocation of STBG-MPO can be found in the <u>Managing Costs</u> section. The reason the 50% rule does not apply to the programs in Indiana and the TA-MPO program in Kentucky is that the amount KIPDA receives annually is significantly lower which already makes it difficult to fully fund a single phase of a project.

Applying for Funds

Projects that are new to KIPDA's planning documents (i.e. MTP and TIP) must first submit a Project Application which is found on <u>KIPDA's Transportation Planning Portal</u>. Every transportation project in the current MTP and TIP must have submitted a Project Application at some point in time. The Project Application provides KIPDA staff with all of the relevant details about a project including the scope, total cost and anticipated open to public (OTP) date. Additional questions asked in the Project Application help KIPDA staff determine a project's potential contribution to the regional performance targets as well

as its ranking in the MTP. Be sure not to include any project phases in the TIP funding section of the application unless the funds have been secured/committed (e.g., sponsor is certain funds are committed by funding agency). Existing MTP and/or TIP projects do not need to submit a Project Application unless there has been a change to the scope, OTP date or some other important change such as a new State ID.

Once a sponsor has submitted a Project Application, made changes to a Project Application, or verified that existing Project Application data is accurate, an MPO Dedicated Funding Application can be submitted. This application is also found in the <u>Transportation Planning Portal</u>. Sponsors must also upload documentation reflecting the agency's ability to provide the required local match and the project's cost estimate.

Staff will review the Project Application and MPO Dedicated Funding Application for completeness and will follow-up with sponsors if any additional information or clarifications are required.

Funding Awards

If there are more federal funds available than requested all eligible applications will be sent to TPC for final approval. While it is possible, it is highly unlikely as Calls are typically very competitive in terms of the number of applications submitted and funds requested. If the total request for funding is greater than what is available. If that is the case, then the process will follow what was explained in the section about <u>Decision-making</u> found in the <u>Overview</u> section. In short, a TTCC Working Group will make a funding recommendation that is then sent to TTCC for consideration. TTCC will then either accept the recommendation or modify the recommendation for TPC to consider. Once TPC takes action, then the next step is to incorporate the newly awarded projects into the MTP, and TIP as needed. Depending on the scope and total project cost some projects can be processed through an administrative modification to the TIP. All other projects must be added to the MTP and TIP through an amendment which typically takes 3-4 months. Once the projects have been added to the planning documents, project sponsors can work with their respective state department of transportation to seek federal authorization and ultimately obligate federal funds for a particular phase.

Managing Costs

There are opportunities for sponsors, with projects funded through one of the MPO dedicated programs, to request a cost increase for a particular phase. Whether funds are available depends on the particular funding program and the state in which the project is located. Cost increases are only available to projects that were awarded MPO Dedicated Program funds previously.

Indiana

Although KIPDA receives annual sub-allocations for four funding programs, the total amount of funding received through the programs is approximately \$4-5M. Due to the limited availability of funds coupled

with the typical cost of a transportation project no funds are set aside for cost increases through any of the four programs. The result is that cost increase opportunities are random and may not occur every year. Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and INDOT rules provide some flexibility to shift funds among four MPO dedicated funding programs which increases the probability that there may be funds available for cost increases. Typically, the availability of funds for cost increases depends on four questions:

- During the last Call for Projects, were sponsors awarded 100% of the estimated funds available?
- Was the actual amount of funds sub-allocated by INDOT greater than the estimated amount?
- Was the actual cost for a particular project phase less than the amount awarded to a sponsor?
- Were any projects and/or a phase of a project cancelled or delayed?

The answers to these four questions will determine whether there are opportunities for Indiana sponsors to request cost increases in a particular fiscal year. If there are funds available only existing MPO dedicated projects can request cost increases. All available funds are comingled, so it does not matter which of the four dedicated programs a particular project was originally awarded funds from.

Kentucky

KIPDA manages annual sub-allocations for two funding programs, each with their own unique circumstances.

In the STBG-MPO program there is a cost increase reserve that is set aside annually for anticipated cost increases. The amount set aside each year is equal to 20% of the annual sub-allocated amount. Therefore, the adjusted annual allocation of STBG-MPO funds is 80% of the annual sub-allocated amount. Kentucky sponsors with existing STBG-MPO projects can expect up to four two opportunities annually to apply for cost increases. The first opportunity will be at the beginning of the fiscal year and there will be another towards the end of the fiscal year if there are funds remaining. Only current STBG-MPO projects are eligible to request funds from the STBG-MPO cost increase reserve. Any funds remaining at the end of the fiscal year will be added to the cost increase reserve set aside for the next fiscal year.

In the TA-MPO program there is no cost increase reserve for the same reasons that no funds are set aside in Indiana. The sub-allocated amount that is made available to KIPDA annually is approximately \$1M. Cost increase opportunities are unpredictable. Whether an opportunity will arise is based on the same four questions outlined above for Indiana cost increases. If there are funds available only existing TA-MPO projects can request cost increases.

Bi-State Process

KIPDA will follow the same process in both states when cost increase opportunities arise. An announcement will be made regarding how much funding is available for cost increases and an application deadline will be specified. Planning studies and programs are not eligible for cost increases.

Other than the total amount available, there is no limit on how much funding a sponsor may request. All requests must be submitted through the Cost Increase Application found in the <u>Transportation Planning</u> <u>Portal</u>.

If there are more federal funds available than requested all eligible applications will be sent to TPC for final approval. The only exception is if KIPDA staff determines that the request is extremely unusual or excessive. If there are not enough funds available to cover every request, then the process will require the formation of a TTCC Working Group. More information about this process is explained in the section about <u>Decision-making</u> found in the <u>Overview</u> section of this guidebook.

Shifting Funds Between Project Phases

During the life of a project, sponsors may realize that one phase requires more funding than originally anticipated, while another phase of the same project requires less funding. If this is the case project sponsors may shift funds between phases of the same project by simply emailing KIPDA staff and attaching an updated engineer's cost estimate. Upon review and approval KIPDA staff will instruct the sponsor to submit the change via the Project Application found in the <u>Transportation Planning Portal</u>.

Managing Schedules

TPC strongly encourages project sponsors to set reasonable schedules that can be maintained to minimize delays and expedite project delivery. For example, if the Construction phase of Project X is scheduled for FY 2021 then the sponsor will be expected to seek federal authorization to obligate the funds in FY 2021. However, there will inevitably be issues that arise which force a sponsor to delay a phase of a project. Due to state specific rules from INDOT and KYTC there are differences as to whether KIPDA staff can accommodate a project delay.

Indiana

INDOT does not allow KIPDA to carryover MPO dedicated program funds from one fiscal year to the next. Therefore, Indiana project sponsors cannot request that funds associated with a particular phase of a project be shifted back to another fiscal year. If for some reason a project sponsor does not obligate MPO dedicated funds in the fiscal year they are programmed then the sponsor will have to pay for the phase with 100% local funds, consider cancelling the project or swap MPO dedicated funds with another project sponsor. Sponsors should alert KIPDA staff as early as possible if there is any chance that the MPO dedicated funds will not be obligated within the fiscal year in which they are programmed. KIPDA staff will attempt to reprogram those funds to another project(s) that needs a cost increase.

Kentucky

KYTC does allow KIPDA to carryover MPO dedicated program funds from one fiscal year to the next. If a sponsor would like to advance a phase of a project to an earlier fiscal year, please contact KIPDA staff. If for some reason a sponsor needs to shift a project phase back due to a delay the following rules apply:

A phase shift is defined as any number of years as long as the phase is being shifted to a fiscal year within the current TIP. The initial design phase of a project must be obligated in the year in which it was programmed in the TIP.

- While a project is under design the sponsor can shift the right of way, utilities, and construction phase twice. If the sponsor needs to shift a third time it must request an exception.
- If a phase of a project (R, U, C) requires a fourth shift (after initial design obligation and before obligation of the next phase) all future programmed MPO dedicated funds will be removed from the TIP and the project will have to re-compete through the next Call for Projects. Sponsors should be aware that if they do not move into the next phase after design within ten years, they may be asked to pay the Federal funds back.
- There is no limitation on the programming of additional design funds (design funds needed after the initial design obligation) as long as the above rules are met.
- Sponsors only need to submit a Phase Shift Application when an exception is being requested. Otherwise the sponsor simply needs to notify KIPDA staff about the particular phase shift and then submit a change to the Project Application found in <u>Transportation Planning Portal</u>.
- Once the sponsor obligates the next phase (i.e. right of way or utilities) of the project after design, then the remaining phase(s) can be shifted an unlimited number of times as long as the fiscal year that the phase is being shifted to is still within the current TIP years.
- If a sponsor shifts a phase out of the current TIP it will have to re-compete for MPO dedicated funds through the next Call for Projects.

	Allowable Number of Shifts (of any remaining phases)					
SHIFT =	After Initial DesignAfter Obligation ofObligation and BeforeAny Phase BeyondNext Phase is ObligatedDesign (R, U, or C)					
any number of years	2shifts+1exception	unlimited				

Project Progress

Tracking

KIPDA staff maintains a project tracking sheet for all projects awarded MPO dedicated program funds. The tracking sheet reflects how much federal funding each phase of a project has programmed in the current TIP. It also indicates how much federal funding has been obligated towards a particular phase of a project. In addition to tracking the progress of projects, the sheet also indicates how much funding KIPDA anticipates receiving in future fiscal years. Sponsors can also find information about whether there will be funding available for cost increases. This is a living, working document that is constantly updated. It can be found on <u>KIPDA's TIP webpage</u> under the MPO Dedicated Funding Programssection.

Reporting

Each project sponsor with an MPO dedicated project must submit progress reports. These reports request the month and year in which the sponsor anticipates obligating funds for a particular phase of a project. When funds have been obligated the sponsor should indicate as much in the progress report.

In Indiana project sponsors are required to submit progress reports quarterly, whereas Kentucky sponsors will only submit reports semi-annually. KIPDA staff will prompt sponsors in advance of the deadline for progress reports.

Project Review Meetings

KIPDA staff will host project review meetings to discuss in greater detail the progress that sponsors have made on each active MPO dedicated project. During the meeting sponsors will make note of any significant project delays, upcoming funding obligations and projects that are now open to the public.

In Indiana project sponsors are required to meet quarterly, whereas Kentucky sponsors will meet semiannually. KIPDA staff will coordinate these meetings.

Program Review

Sponsors that have recurring/ongoing programs funded with MPO dedicated funds will be asked by KIPDA staff to provide a written progress summary and/or formal presentation to the TTCC and/or TPC on an annual basis. The funding for programs is typically obligated at the beginning of the fiscal year so no progress reports are required.



MEMORANDUM

TO: Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee

FROM: Nick Vail

DATE: November 2, 2020

SUBJECT: Quarterly Review of MPO Dedicated Funding Programs

As the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the Louisville, KY-IN Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA), the Transportation Policy Committee (TPC) has the authority to award and manage Federal funds from four programs in Indiana and two programs in Kentucky. KIPDA staff coordinates with project sponsors to ensure MPO dedicated projects are being implemented in a timely manner.

With the recent adoption of the new Project Management Guidebook (PMG) many of the rules and requirements have changed. One such change is that sponsors in Kentucky will only submit progress reports semi-annual, while sponsors in Indiana will continue to submit quarterly progress reports as the Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT) requires. Another major change is that the typically phase shifts and cost increases can be reviewed and recommended for approval at the staff level. This removes an administrative burden for many sponsors as well as the TTCC members that usually participate on our working groups. Staff will still present any cost increases or phase shifts for TPC approval.

This quarter KIPDA staff will present a summary of the progress reports submitted by project sponsors as well as the cost increases and phase shifts that are being recommended by staff. Please see the attachments for more information.

Action is requested to recommend that TPC approve all cost increases and phase shifts requested by project sponsors.

11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 Phone: 502.266.6084 Fax: 502.266.5047 TDD: 800.648.6056



Indiana MPO Dedicated Projects Progress Report Summary

Project Sponsor		Funding Source	Project Name	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
			itigation and Air Quality (CN						
		0							
				Program	Program	Program			
APCD	370	CMAQ	KAIRE						
			Charleston Road Complete	R	U				
Floyd County	2128	CMAQ	Streets	N	0				
			TARC Cross River	Program	Program				
TARC	2408	CMAQ	Connectors						
		Highway Safe	ty Improvement Program (H	15IP) - IVII 	O Projec	ts			
				PE		R	U	с	
Clark County	2549	HSIP	CR 403 and Stacy Road				-	-	
Flourd Country	2521		Dhunk Knob Dd. Cuardrail	PE		С			
Floyd County	2531	HSIP	Blunk Knob Rd. Guardrail						
				PE		с			
Floyd County	2532	HSIP	Farnsley Knob Guardrail			-			
		Surface Tra	nsportation Block Grant (ST	BG) - MPC) Projects				
			Blackstone Mill Road			-			
Clarksville	2389	STBG	Phase 2			R		C	
Clarksville	2305	5100							
					R			С	
Clarksville	2393	STBG	Riverside Drive						
							6		
Floyd County	1558	STBG	Bridge 51	PE	R		С		
They a country	1000	5120							
			Every Commute Counts	Program	Program	Program	Program	Program	Program
KIPDA	56	STBG	(Ticket to ride)						
				R				U	С
New Albany	309	STBG	Mount Tabor (Phase 1& 2)	С				U	L
			E. Main St. State St. to 5th	PE		С			
New Albany	3292	STBG	St.						
	<u>г г</u>	Transp	ortation Alternatives (TA) -	MPO Pro	jects				
			Montgomery Ave. /						
			Jeffersonville 9th St.		R		С		
Clarksville	2541	STBG	Multimodal						

Phase Legend

D = Design

PE = Preliminary Engineering

Progress Legend

Behind Schedule

Obligated

On/Ahead Schedule

R = Right of Way

U = Utilities

C = Construction

Project Sponsor	KIPDA ID	Funding Source	Project Name	2020 Phase
	Surface Tr	ansportation Bloc	k Grant (STBG-MPO) - MPO Projects	
Bullitt County	NEW	STBG-MPO	КҮ 44	
Jeffersontown	2084	STBG-MPO	Bluegrass Commerce Park Bicycle/Pedestrian Trail Phase II	С
Jeffersontown	2774	STBG-MPO	Galene Drive/Sprowl Road Collector Extension	
Jeffersontown	2082	STBG-MPO	Good Samaritan Bicycle & Pedestrian Trail Connector	С
Jeffersontown	2619	STBG-MPO	Ruckriegel Parkway Sidewalk Improvement	С
Jeffersontown	1582	STBG-MPO	Watterson Trail Phase I	С
				D
Jeffersontown	1583	STBG-MPO	Watterson Trail Phase II	R
				U C
KIPDA	162	STBG-MPO	Every Commute Counts	Program
КҮТС	188	STBG-MPO	English Station Rd.	С
күтс	2508	STBG-MPO	KY 146 Sidewalks	С
күтс	1879	STBG-MPO	KY 864	
күтс	2214	STBG-MPO	KY 1931	R
КҮТС	213	STBG-MPO	KY 1932 Chenoweth Ln.	D R
				U
КҮТС	1271	STBG-MPO	US 42	С
Louisvlle Metro	1662	STBG-MPO	AB Sawyer Greenway Shared Use Path	U C
Louisville Metro	1353	STBG-MPO	Baxter/Bardstown Premium Transportation Corridor - Section 1	
Louisville Metro	337	STBG-MPO	Bicycle & Pedestrian Education, Encouragement, Enforcement & Evaluation	Program
Louisvlle Metro	2239	STBG-MPO	Cannons Lane	U
Louisville Metro	223	STBG-MPO	Cooper Chapel Rd. Phase 3	U
Louisvlle Metro	2232	STBG-MPO	Dixie Highway Additional Design Funds	С

Project Sponsor	Project Sponsor KIPDA ID Funding Source		Project Name	2020 Phase
Louisvlle Metro	2064	STBG-MPO	East Market Street (US-31E) Streetscape	
Louisville Metro	NEW	STBG-MPO	Hikes Lane Rehabilitation	

Project Sponsor	KIPDA ID	Funding Source	Project Name	2020 Phase	
				D	
Louisville Metro	384	STBG-MPO	Hubbards Ln.	R	
	504	5156 111 6		U	
				C	
Louisville Metro	224	STBG-MPO	I-65	D	
				U	
Louisville Metro	369	STBG-MPO	Kentuckiana Air Education		
Louisvlle Metro	2086	STBG-MPO	Louisville Loop Shared Use Path - Jefferson Memorial Forest - Pond Creek	D	
Louisvlle Metro	2087	STBG-MPO	Louisville Loop Shared Use Path - Jefferson Memorial Forest - Dodge Gap	D	
Louisvlle Metro	2092	STBG-MPO	Louisville Loop Shared Use Path - Jefferson	D	
	_		Memorial Forest - Medora	D	
Louisville Metro	2388	STBG-MPO	Main Street / Story Avenue Intersection	R	
			Northeast Louisville Loop MET, Section 1	U	
Louisville Metro	2268	STBG-MPO	Beckley Woods to Beckley Station	C	
			Northeast Louisville Loop MET, Section 2	C	
Louisville Metro	2269	STBG-MPO	Beckley Station to Bircham Rd.		
			Northeast Louisville Loop MET, Section 4		
Louisville Metro 2271		STBG-MPO	Beckley Creek Park to Eastwood Cutoff	R	
Louisville Metro	1109	STBG-MPO	Ohio River Levee Trail Phase III		
Louisville Metro	2622	STBG-MPO	Olmsted Parkways Multi-Use Path System - Section 1		
Louisville Metro	2623	STBG-MPO	Olmsted Parkways Multi-Use Path System - Section 2	R	
Louisville Metro	2624	STBG-MPO	Olmsted Parkways Multi-Use Path System - Section 3	R	
Louisville Metro	2627	STBG-MPO	Olmsted Parkways Multi-Use Path System - Section 6	D	
Louisville Metro	2628	STBG-MPO	Olmsted Parkways Multi-Use Path System - Section 7	D	
Louisville Metro	2629	STBG-MPO	Olmsted Parkways Multi-Use Path System - Section 8	D	
Louisville Metro	2630	STBG-MPO	Olmsted Parkways Multi-Lise Path System -		
Louisvlle Metro	1809	STBG-MPO	One-Way Street Conversion to Two-Way Phase 1	С	
Louisville Metro	163	STBG-MPO	River Road	С	
Louisville Metro	1423	STBG-MPO	River Rd. Bicycle & Pedestrian Improvements	D	

Project Sponsor	KIPDA ID	Funding Source	Project Name	2020 Phase
				D
Louisville Metro	1338	STBG-MPO	River Road Extension	R
				U
Louisville Metro	2594	STBG-MPO	Stony Brook Drive Sidewalk Connector	R
Mount Washington	2479	STBG-MPO	Historic Multi-Use Trail Segment C	D
			-	R
				D
Oldham County	1808	STBG-MPO	Buckner Connector	R
				U
Oldham County	2615	STBG-MPO	Kenwood Road	C
Oldham County	414	STBG-MPO	KY 22 Corridor Study	
			· ·	D
Oldham County	1877	STBG-MPO	КҮ 329	U
Oldham County	321	STBG-MPO	LaGrange Underpass West of LaGrange	U
Oldham County	1606	STBG-MPO	Old Floydsburg Rd. Safety Improvements	С
Oldham County	327	STBG-MPO	Oldham County Bicycle & Pedestrian Trail	D
Oldham County	2175	STBG-MPO	Oldham County Bicycle & Pedestrian Trail - Old LaGrange Rd.	D
Oldham County	2236	STBG-MPO	Spring Hill Trace Sidewalk	U C
Oldham County	1826	STBG-MPO	The Park & Ride at Apple Patch	С
Prospect	NEW	STBG-MPO	US 42 Safety Improvement Project	
TARC	1500	STBG-MPO	Bus Stop and Access Improvements	С
U of L	2150	STBG-MPO	Campus Improvements	
U of L	2225	STBG-MPO	University of Louisville Pedestrian Improvements - Lighting	С
U of L	2585	STBG-MPO	University of Louisville Pedestrian Improvements - ADA Curb Cuts & Ramps	С

Project Sponsor	KIPDA ID	Funding Source	Project Name	2020 Phase
	Trans	sportation Alterna	tives (TA-MPO) - MPO Projects	
Jeffersontown	2385	TA-MPO	Patti Lane Sidewalk Safety Improvement	С
Louisville Metro	NEW	TA-MPO	TA-MPO Bernheim Lane Sidewalk and Road Reconfiguration	
Louisville Metro	NEW	TA-MPO	Blanton Lane Sidewalk	
Louisville Metro	NEW	TA-MPO	Crums Lane Sidewalk Phase 1	
Louisville Metro	NEW	TA-MPO	Gagel Avenue Sidewalk	с
Louisville Metro	2104	TA-MPO	Hill Street Sidewalk Rehabilitation	С
Louisville Metro	2539	TA-MPO	Louisville Loop Shared Use Path - McNeely Lake Segment	D C
Louisville Metro	NEW	TA-MPO	Newburg Road Sidewalk	
Louisville Metro	2540	TA-MPO	River Road Multi-Modal Improvements	D C
Middletown	2228	TA-MPO	Wetherby Avenue	С
Middletown	2229	TA-MPO	Bliss Avenue	С
Mount Washington	2479	TA-MPO	Historic Multi-Use Trail Segment C	R

Phase Legend

D = Design

PE = Preliminary Engineering

R = Right of Way

U = Utilities

C = Construction

Progress Legend

On/Ahead Schedule Behind Schedule Obligated

MPO Dedicated Projects Cost Increase Requests

•						
Project Sponsor	Project Name	KIPDA ID	State ID	Funding Source	Phase	Increase
КҮТС	KY 1932	213	5-531.00	STBG-MPO	Utilities	\$80,000
Louisville Metro	Cannons Lane	2239	5-3212.00	STBG-MPO	Design	\$5,000
Louisville Metro	Hubbards Lane	384	5-479.00	STBG-MPO	Design	\$47,025
New Albany	East Main Street	2392	1700730	STBG-MPO	Preliminary Engineering	\$22,314
New Albany	Mount Tabor Road	309	0710808, 2001111	STBG-MPO	Preliminary Engineering	\$49,882
Oldham County	Buckner Connector	1808	5-742.00	STBG-MPO	Right of Way	\$53,000

Kentucky MPO Dedicated Projects Phase Shift Requests

Project Sponsor	Project Name	KIPDA ID	State ID	Funding Source	Phase	Shift				
Jeffersontown	Bluegrass Commerce Park Bicycle/Pedestrian Trail Project Phase II	2084	5-543.00	STBG-MPO	Construction	FY20 to FY22				
Jeffersontown	Good Samaritan Bicycle and Pedestrian Trail Connector	2082	5-486.00	STBG-MPO	Construction	FY20 to FY22				
Jeffersontown	Patti Lane Sidewalk Safety Improvement Project	2385	TBD	TA-MPO	Construction	FY20 to FY22				
Jeffersontown	Ruckriegel Parkway Sidewalk Improvement	2619	TBD	STBG-MPO	Construction	FY20 to FY22				
Jeffersontown	Watterson Trail Phase I	1582	5-3031.00	STBG-MPO	Construction	FY20 to FY22				
					Right of Way	FY20 to FY22				
Jeffersontown	Watterson Trail Phase II	1583	5-518.00	STBG-MPO	Utilities	FY20 to FY22				
					Construction	FY20 to FY23				
күтс	English Station Road	188	5-353.00	STBG-MPO	Construction	FY20 to FY21				
КҮТС	КҮ 1931	2214	5-536.00	STBG-MPO	Right of Way	FY20 to FY21				
КҮТС	US 42	1271	5-411.01	STBG-MPO	Construction	FY20 to FY21				
	A.D. Counter Shared Lice Dath	1662	F F20.00		Utilities	FY20 to FY21				
Louisville Metro	A.B. Sawyer Shared Use Path	1662	5-529.00	STBG-MPO	Construction	FY20 to FY21				
Louisville Metro	Cannons Lane	2239	5-3212.00	STBG-MPO	Utilities	FY20 to FY21				
	Cooper Charal Board Phase 2	222			Utilities	FY20 to FY21				
Louisville Metro	Cooper Chapel Road Phase 3	223	5-404.01	STBG-MPO	Construction	FY22 to FY23				

Project Sponsor	Project Name	KIPDA ID	State ID	Funding Source	Phase	Shift
		204	F 470.00		Utilities	FY20 to FY21
Louisville Metro	Hubbards Lane	384	5-479.00	STBG-MPO	Construction	FY20 to FY21
Louisville Metro	1-65	224	5-378.10	STBG-MPO	Design	FY20 to FY21
	-03	224	5-578.10	31BG-IMPO	Utilities	FY20 to FY21
Louisville Metro	Louisville Loop Shared Use Path -	2539	5-3034.00	ΤΔ-ΜΡΟ	Design	FY20 to FY21
	McNeely Lake Park Segment	2333	5-5054.00	TA-MPO	Construction	FY21 to FY22
Louisville Metro	Main Street/Story Avenue	2388	5-758.00	STBG-MPO	Right of Way	FY20 to FY21
	Intersection	2300	5750.00		Construction	FY21 to FY22
					Right of Way	FY20 to FY21
Louisville Metro	Northeast Louisville Loop MET Section 4	2271	5-3030.40	STBG-MPO	Utilities	FY20 to FY22
					Construction	FY21 to FY22
Louisville Metro	Ohio River Levee Trail - Phase III	1109	5-505.00	STBG-MPO	Utilities	FY21 to FY22
		1109	5-505.00	3180-1010	Construction	FY21 to FY22
Louisville Metro	Olmsted Parkways Multi-Use	2623	5-3709.00	STBG-MPO	Right of Way	FY20 to FY25
	Path System Section 2	2023	5-57 05.00	31 BG-IWIFO	Utilities	FY20 to FY25
Louisville Metro	Olmsted Parkways Multi-Use	2624	5-3709.00	STBG-MPO	Right of Way	FY20 to FY25
	Path System Section 3	2024	3-3703.00	51 DG-IVIPO	Utilities	FY20 to FY25

Kentucky MPO Dedicated Projects Phase Shift Requests

Dual and C	Durain at 21		Ch-1 15	Funding C	Dh	CI-:
Project Sponsor	Project Name	KIPDA ID	State ID	Funding Source	Phase	Shift
Louisville Metro	Olmsted Parkways Multi-Use Path System Section 6	2627	TBD	STBG-MPO	Design	FY20 to FY22
Louisville Metro	Olmsted Parkways Multi-Use Path System Section 7	2628	TBD	STBG-MPO	Design	FY20 to FY22
Louisville Metro	Olmsted Parkways Multi-Use Path System Section 8	2629	TBD	STBG-MPO	Design	FY20 to FY22
Louisville Metro	Olmsted Parkways Multi-Use Path System Section 9	2629	TBD	STBG-MPO	Design	FY20 to FY25
Louisville Metro	One-Way Street Conversion to Two-Way Phase 1	163	5-91.02	STBG-MPO	Construction	FY20 to FY22
Louisville Metro	River Road Bicycle & Pedestrian Improvements	1423	5-499.00	STBG-MPO	Design	FY20 to FY22
					Design	FY20 to FY21
Louisville Metro	River Road Extension	1338	5-91.08	STBG-MPO	Right of Way	FY20 to FY22
					Construction	FY22 to FY24
Louisville Metro	River Road Multi-Modal Improvements - 3rd Street to 7th Street	2540	5-3217.00	TA-MPO	Construction	FY20 to FY21
Louisville Metro	Stony Brook Drive Sidewalk Connector	2594	TBD	STBG-MPO	Right of Way	FY20 to FY21
Middletown	Bliss Avenue	2228	5-3221.00	TA-MPO	Construction	FY20 to FY21
Middletown	Wetherby Avenue	2229	5-3222.20	TA-MPO	Construction	FY20 to FY21
					Design	FY20 to FY21
				STBG-MPO	Right of Way	FY20 to FY21
Mount Washington	Historic Memorial Multi-Use Trail	2479	5-3216.00		Construction	FY21 to FY22
				τα-Μαο	Right of Way	FY20 to FY21

Kentucky MPO Dedicated Projects Phase Shift Requests

Project Sponsor	Project Name	KIPDA ID	State ID	Funding Source	Phase	Shift
				TA-WIF O	Construction	FY21 to FY22
					Right of Way	FY20 to FY22
Oldham County	Buckner Connector	1808	5-754.00	STBG-MPO	Utilities	FY20 to FY21
					Construction	FY20 to FY21
Oldham County	кү 329	1877	5-542.00	STBG-MPO	Utilities	FY20 to FY21
Oluliani County	KT 525	1877 5-542.00	5-542.00	STBG-WFO	Construction	FY21 to FY22
Oldham County	LaGrange Underpass West of	321	5-434.00	STBG-MPO	Utilities	FY20 to FY21
olulian county	LaGrange	521	5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 -		Construction	FY22 to FY23
Oldham County	Spring Hill Trace Sidewalk	2236	E 7E7 00	STBG-MPO	Utilities	FY20 to FY21
Oldham County	Spring Hill Trace Sidewalk	2230	5-757.00	STBG-IMPO	Construction	FY20 to FY21
University of Louisville	University of Louisville Pedestrian Improvements (Ramps)	2225	5-3218.00	STBG-MPO	Construction	FY20 to FY21
University of Louisville	University of Louisville Pedestrian Improvements (Lighting)	2585	5-3220.00	STBG-MPO	Construction	FY20 to FY21



MEMORANDUM

TO: Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee

FROM: Greg Burress

DATE: November 2, 2020

SUBJECT: Public Involvement - Amendment 2 and Draft CHSTP

As of the drafting of the memo, a public comment period is ongoing for Proposed Amendment 2 to the TIP and MTP, and for the Draft Coordinated Human Services Transportation Plan (CHSTP). The public comment period began on October 24, 2020 and will end on November 7, 2020.

Staff took the following actions to raise public awareness and solicit input:

- Advertised the public comment window and virtual public meeting in 9 area newspapers between October 24, 2020 and October 29, 2020, on KIPDA's website, and via email to the 2,114 persons on the Division of Transportation's email list.
- Created a dedicated webpage with all relevant information and all avenues for providing input.
- Created an <u>interactive map</u> for the viewing and commenting on the 28 new or revised projects proposed for Amendment 2.
- Crafted a social media campaign to be executed throughout the public comment window.
- Hosted a virtual public meeting via Zoom Webinar on October 27, 2020 from 5pm to 7pm.

As of the close of business on November 2, KIPDA has received 9 comments on Amendment 2 and no comments on the Draft CHSTP. All comments received during the public comment window will be sent to the TPC for review at least 15 days in advance of the November 24, 2020 TPC meeting, during which TPC will be asked to take action on Proposed Amendment 2 and the Draft CHSTP.

11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 Phone: 502.266.6084 Fax: 502.266.5047 TDD: 800.648.6056



MEMORANDUM

TO: Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee

FROM: Elizabeth Farc

DATE: November 2, 2020

SUBJECT: Coordinated Human Services Transportation Plan

Federal legislation requires the region's Coordinated Human Services Transportation Plan to be updated in concert with the Metropolitan Transportation Plan update cycle. KIPDA staff has completed the 2020 update to the plan. The Coordinated Plan analyzes gaps in transportation service for older adults and individuals with disabilities and provides guidance for the use of funds from Federal Transit Administration's Section 5310 Elderly Individuals and Individuals with Disabilities Program.

The process included soliciting input from transportation service providers and the public, as well as the TTCC and TPC. The final draft was made available to TTCC and TPC members for review in September. A public comment period was held from October 24 to November 7, 2020, including a virtual public meeting on October 27th.

The final draft of the Coordinated Human Service Transportation Plan is available <u>here</u>. Action will be requested to recommend Transportation Policy Committee approval of the Coordinated Human Services Transportation Plan.

11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 Phone: 502.266.6084 Fax: 502.266.5047 TDD: 800.648.6056





MEMORANDUM

TO: Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee

FROM: David Burton and Nick Vail

DATE: November 2, 2020

SUBJECT: Amendment 2 to the Connecting Kentuckiana 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP), FY 2020 – FY 2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and the KIPDA Performance Management Plan

KIPDA staff is ready to present Amendment 2 to the committees for consideration. Sponsors were given until August 31st to submit project changes. In addition to the project changes that are being proposed, staff is also updating the Federally-required transit asset management performance measures and targets set by the Transit Authority of River City (TARC). Staff have already completed the air quality conformity analysis and the public comment period. All public comments were sent to the Transportation Policy Committee (TPC) for their consideration.

Three actions are requested for TTCC to recommend TPC:

- 1) Approval of Amendment 2 to the Connecting Kentuckiana 2040 MTP,
- 2) Approval of Amendment 2 to the FY 2020 2025 TIP, and
- **3)** Approval of the transit asset management targets found in the KIPDA Performance Management Plan.

11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 Phone: 502.266.6084 Fax: 502.266.5047 TDD: 800.648.6056



Connecting Kentuckiana 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan and FY 2020 – FY 2025 Transportation Improvement Program

Kentucky Member Counties	The Kentuckiana Regional Planning and Development Agency (KIPDA) is the metropolitan planning organization (MPO) for the five-county region covering Jefferson, Bullitt and Oldham counties in Kentucky and Clark and Floyd counties in Indiana. The MPO's
Bullitt	responsibilities include producing a long-range transportation document, Connecting
Henry	Kentuckiana 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) as well as a short-range planning document, the Fiscal Year (FY) 2020 – 2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).
Jefferson	
Oldham	Changes have been proposed to the MTP and TIP. The MTP, with the proposed changes, is financially reasonable and the TIP is still fiscally constrained. This packet includes the
Shelby	following documents:
6	 A listing of all the projects being added, removed, or modified
Spencer	 Revisions to the Performance Management Plan (changes highlighted in yellow)
Trimble	- Air quality conformation documentation
	 Meeting minutes from the Interagency Consultation (IAC) conference call
Indiana	Please review the proposed changes and submit comments in one of four ways:
Member	- Visit <u>http://kipdatransportation.org/amendment2/</u> and click on the Amendment 2
Counties	<i>Map</i> link
	 Email your comments to <u>kipda.trans@kipda.org</u>
Clark	 Mail your comments to us at
Floyd	 TIP & MTP Amendment, KIPDA, 11520 Commonwealth Drive, Louisville, KY 40299
	 Lastly, you can ask questions or provide comments in-person during a virtual open house to be held on October 27, 2020 from 5:00 p.m. – 7:00 p.m. A link to the virtual meeting can be found at the bottom of the following webpage <u>http://kipdatransportation.org/amendment2/</u>

Equal Opportunity Employer If you have questions or additional information is needed, call Greg Burress at 502-266-6144 ext. 123.



11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 502-266-6084 Fax: 502-266-5047 KY TDD 1-800-648-6056 www.kipda.org

Amendment 2 Connecting Kentuckiana 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan FY 2020 - FY 2025 Transportation Improvement Program

November 24, 2020

Г

	Add project				
MTP Action:	Update estimated cost				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Exempt	Model Impact:	No change	to model	
Project Sponsor:	Air Pollution Control District (APCD)	KIPDA ID:	369	State ID:	TBD
County	Bullitt / Jefferson / Oldham	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	Kentuckiana Air Education		Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):		
			-		
Funding Source:	Surface Transportation Block Grant (ST	BG) - MPO	Open to Public Date:	N/A (ong	going program)
Description:	Information/outreach campaign to educ choices.	ate public ab	out air quality issues and encourage t	he public to ma	ike air-friendly
Purpose & Need:	Reduce ozone levels in Louisville ozone r air quality and influence positive behavio		area. Raise public awareness of conne	ections betwee	n transportation
		51.			
	FY 2021 Operations phase with the follow \$200,000 (Federal) + \$50,000 (Other) = \$	wing STBG-M			
	FY 2021 Operations phase with the follow	wing STBG-M \$250,000 (Tot wing STBG-M	al) IPO funds:		
FY 20-25 TIP Funding:	FY 2021 Operations phase with the follow \$200,000 (Federal) + \$50,000 (Other) = \$ FY 2022 Operations phase with the follow	wing STBG-M 5250,000 (Tot wing STBG-M 5250,000 (Tot wing STBG-M	ral) IPO funds: ral) IPO funds:		
	FY 2021 Operations phase with the follow \$200,000 (Federal) + \$50,000 (Other) = \$ FY 2022 Operations phase with the follow \$200,000 (Federal) + \$50,000 (Other) = \$ FY 2023 Operations phase with the follow	wing STBG-M 5250,000 (Tot 5250,000 (Tot 5250,000 (Tot 5250,000 (Tot 5250,000 (Tot 5250,000 (Tot	cal) IPO funds: cal) IPO funds: cal) VIPO funds:		

Amendment 2 Connecting Kentuckiana 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan FY 2020 - FY 2025 Transportation Improvement Program

November 24, 2020

TIP Action:	None				
MTP Action:	Remove project				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Exempt	Model Impact:	No change	e to model	
Project Sponsor:	Bullitt County	KIPDA ID:	2765	State ID:	N/A
County	Bullitt	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	I- 65 Barrier Wall MP 116 to MP 118		Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):	\$4,800,000	
			Total Cost Programmed N/. in TIP to Date:		N/A
Funding Source:	N/A		Open to Public Date:	2026	
Description:	Sound barrier wall on I-65 from MP 110	5 to MP 118 po	st northbound side.		
Purpose & Need:	To provide relief of interstate noise to	esidents that b	bound the northbound lanes of I-65 f	rom MP 116 to M	IP 118.
FY 20-25 TIP	None				
Funding:					
-	Add project				
Funding: TIP Action: MTP Action:	Add project Add project				
TIP Action:		Model Impact:	Project will be added to 2030, 203 project replaces KIPDA IDs 4		
TIP Action: MTP Action: Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Add project Non-Exempt		•		
TIP Action: MTP Action: Exempt/Non- Exempt: Project Sponsor:	Add project	Impact:	project replaces KIPDA IDs 4	93 and 1926 in th State ID:	e analysis. TBD
TIP Action: MTP Action: Exempt/Non- Exempt: Project Sponsor: County	Add project Non-Exempt Bullitt County Bullitt	Impact: KIPDA ID:	project replaces KIPDA IDs 4 NEW	93 and 1926 in th State ID: Group ID:	e analysis.
TIP Action: MTP Action: Exempt/Non- Exempt: Project Sponsor:	Add project Non-Exempt Bullitt County	Impact: KIPDA ID:	project replaces KIPDA IDs 4 NEW N/A Total Estimated Cost in MTP	93 and 1926 in th State ID: Group ID: \$43,3	e analysis. TBD N/A
TIP Action: MTP Action: Exempt/Non- Exempt: Project Sponsor: County	Add project Non-Exempt Bullitt County Bullitt	Impact: KIPDA ID: Parent ID:	project replaces KIPDA IDs 4 NEW N/A Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040): Total Cost Programmed	93 and 1926 in th State ID: Group ID: \$43,3 \$1,0	e analysis. TBD N/A 300,000
TIP Action: MTP Action: Exempt/Non- Exempt: Project Sponsor: County Project Name:	Add project Non-Exempt Bullitt County Bullitt KY 44 Surface Transportation Block Grant (S Widen KY 44 from 2 to 4 lanes from US County line.	Impact: KIPDA ID: Parent ID: TBG) - MPO 31 E to Kings C	Project replaces KIPDA IDs 4 NEW N/A Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040): Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date: Open to Public Date: Church Road and a 3 lane section from	93 and 1926 in th State ID: Group ID: \$43,3 \$1,0 2 m Kings Church Ro	e analysis. <u>TBD</u> <u>N/A</u> 300,000 00,000 030 030 pad to Spence
TIP Action: MTP Action: Exempt/Non- Exempt: Project Sponsor: County Project Name: Funding Source:	Add project Non-Exempt Bullitt County Bullitt KY 44 Surface Transportation Block Grant (S Widen KY 44 from 2 to 4 lanes from US	Impact: KIPDA ID: Parent ID: TBG) - MPO 31 E to Kings C surface transpo ve congestion,	project replaces KIPDA IDs 4 NEW N/A Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040): Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date: Open to Public Date: Church Road and a 3 lane section from prtation infrastructure in order to acc and enhance safety throughout the	93 and 1926 in th State ID: Group ID: \$43,3 \$1,0 2 m Kings Church Ro commodate the gr corridor, such as t	e analysis. TBD N/A 300,000 00,000 030 030 pad to Spence rowth of

TIP Action:	Remove project from first four years of F	Y 2020 - 202	5 TIP		
MTP Action:	None				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Exempt	Model Impact:	No change	to model	
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	2519	State ID:	1800706
County	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676
Project Name:	US 150 Bridge Painting Over I-64 E	B/WB	Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):		N/A
Project Name.			Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:	\$	400,170
Funding Source:	Surface Transportation Block Garnt (ST	BG) - State	Open to Public Date:		2024
Description:	Bridge painting on US 150 located 08.81	miles east of	IN 335 over I-64 EB/WB.		
Purpose & Need:	Bridge painting				
FY 20-25 TIP Funding:	FY 2021 Preliminary Engineering phase v \$160,000 (Federal) + \$40,000 (Other) = \$ *FY 2024 Construction phase with the fo \$160,136 (Federal) + \$40,034 (Other) = \$	200,000 (Tot	G-ST funds:		

TIP Action:	Add project		-		
MTP Action:	None				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Non-Exempt	Model Impact:	No change to model. Neither the c chang		the OTP Date are
Project Sponsor:	Jeffersontown	KIPDA ID:	2774	State ID:	TBD
County	Jefferson	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	Galene Drive/Sprowl Road Collector	Extension	Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):	\$3,	250,500
Project Name.		Extension	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:	\$3	375,000
Funding Source:	Surface Transportation Block Grant (ST	rbg) - Mpo	Open to Public Date:		2028
Description:	Improve capacity along Galene Drive fro Watterson Trail. Potential improvement Taylorsville Road to Bluebird Lane and S sidewalk and bicycle facilities, turning m Realign Galene Drive and Sprowl Road to Extend Sprowl Road across Taylorsville F intersection. The project includes widen will include turning movements and sign	s include reali helby Street, v ovements and o eliminate th Road and conr ing the collect	gning Galene Drive and Sprowl Road, widening Galene Drive, Sprowl Road, d signalization. e right turn/left turn movement as it nect up with Shelby Street and widen for roadway, curb and gutters, sidewa	, extending Spro and Shelby Stre approaches Tay Shelby Street to	wl Road across et, curb and gutter, lorsville Road. • Watterson Trail-
Purpose & Need:	The project will increase connectivity in roadway to relieve the congestion at the development opportunities and connect	at the Taylors	ville Road/Watterson Trail Intersectio		
FY 20-25 TIP Funding:	FY 2021 Planning phase with the followi \$300,000 (Federal) + \$75,000 (Other) = 5	-			

November 24, 2020

TIP Action:	Add project		-		
MTP Action:	Revise project description				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Non-Exempt	Model Impact:	No changes to model. Changes are change to the description that does represented in	impact the way	
Project Sponsor:	күтс	KIPDA ID:	390	State ID:	5-80000.00
County	Jefferson, Shelby	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	I-64		Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040): Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:	\$1	4,240,000 5,750,000 ,000,000
Funding Source:	State		Open to Public Date:		2029
Description:	Eastwood Fisherville Connector to I-64 (KY 148 to US 60 (Shelbyville Road) with a Gilliland Road. New interchange and connector road fro would be in the vicinity of Gilliland Road	a new interch	ange on the I-64 corridor. Interchange	e would be in tl	ne vicinity of
Purpose & Need:	CHAF Purpose: Eastwood Fisherville Con Jefferson County between I-265 (Gene S CHAF Need: This project is needed becar interstate system and local roadway net 265 (Gene Snyder Freeway) in Jefferson contributed to ever increasing traffic vol	nyder Freewa use in light of work is neede County to KY	ay) in Jefferson County to KY 1848 (Bu existing and anticipated growth, loca ed due to their being a distance of 9 m 1848 (Buck Creek Road) in Shelby Cou	ick Creek Road) I and regional a niles between a	in Shelby County. ccess via the ccess to I-64 from
FY 20-25 TIP Funding:	FY 2021 Preliminary Engineering phase v \$0 (Federal) + \$750,000 (Other) = \$750,0 FY 2023 Design phase with the following \$0 (Federal) + \$5,000,000 (Other) = \$5,0 *FY 2024 Right of Way phase with the for \$0 (Federal) + \$8,000,000 (Other) = \$8,0 *FY 2025 Utilities phase with the followi \$0 (Federal) + \$2,000,000 (Other) = \$2,0	000 (Total) State funds: 00,000 (Total Illowing State 00,000 (Total ng State fund	l) e funds: l) ls:		

MTP Action:	Jpdate open to public date				
Exempt/Non-	spudie open to public dute				
Exempt:	Exempt	Model Impact:	No changes	s to model	
Project Sponsor:	КҮТС	KIPDA ID:	2596	State ID:	5-10016.00
County	Jefferson	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	I-64 Bridge Painting		Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):	\$3	0,000,000
roject Name.			Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$3	0,000,000
Funding Source:	National Highway Performance Progra Surface Transportation Block Grant (STI	• •	Open to Public Date:		2027 2022
Description:	(YTC Highway Plan (June, 2018): Bridge p 056B00300N, 056B00301N, 056B00302N CHAF: TBD.	-			300299N,
Purpose & Need:	Maintain the existing transportation netw	work in a stat	e of good repair.		
\$ FY 20-25 TIP Funding:	FY 2024 Construction phase with NHPP 4,000,000 (Federal) + \$1,000,000 (Other FY 2025 Construction phase with NHPP 512,000,000 (Federal) + \$3,000,000 (Other CV 2021 Construction phase with STRC S	r) = \$5,000,0 funds: er) = \$15,000			
- +	-Y 2021 Construction phase with STBG-S 57,800,000 (Federal) + \$10,800,000 (Oth),000 (Total)		
	Y 2021 Construction phase with NHPP fu \$11,400,000 (Federal) + \$0 (Other) = \$11		tal)		

November 24, 2020

TIP Action:	Add project				
MTP Action:	Update open to public (OTP) date and add	state ID			
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Non-Exempt	Model Impact:	No change:	s to model	
Project Sponsor:	күтс к	(IPDA ID:	2788	State ID:	5-483.2
County	Oldham P	arent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Droiget Nome	-71		Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):	\$7:	1,300,000
Project Name:	1-71		Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:	\$5	,500,000
Funding Source:	National Highway Performance Program	(NHPP)	Open to Public Date:		<mark>2027</mark> 2030
Description:	KYTC Highway Plan (June, 2018): Widen I-7 ID: IP20160193.	1 from fou	r to six lanes from KY 393 (MP 18.0) t	o KY 53 (MP 22	.4). (16CCN) CHA
Purpose & Need:	CHAF Purpose: The purpose of the I-71 wid issues that currently characterize the existin will serve throug CHAF Need: The needs being addressed by volumes have resulted in traffic congestion approximately 56,600.	ng corridor the propos	and provide increased efficiency and sed I-71 project are based on the follo	d safety for the owing facts: Inc	traveling public.
FY 20-25 TIP	FY 2023 Design phase with the following NH	HPP funds:			

November 24, 2020

TIP Action:	Add project				
MTP Action:	Add project				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Non-Exempt	Model Impact:	Add an additional lane in each direc KY 53 interchange to the Henry Cour scena	nty line in the 20	•
Project Sponsor:	КҮТС	KIPDA ID:	NEW	State ID:	5-552.00
County	Oldham	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Deciest Name:	-71		Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):	\$64	1,000,000
Project Name:	1-71		Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:	\$5	,600,000
Funding Source:	National Highway Performance Progra	m (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:		2029
Description:	Improve safety and reduce congestion of KIPDA Note: The project limits are from MP MP 24.727 to MP 28.00, outside the MP/	MP 22.033 to	MP 24.727 (Oldham/Henry County L		
Purpose & Need:	This project is necessary because of a hig large amount of truck traffic on I-71 from along this section of I-71 is 30% in Oldhau the March 2014 I-71 Study of 17.4%. The 0.47% cited in the study. The critical cras percentage in 2013 was 25% with a 2038 and truck generators near MP 22.0. Defic	n KY 53 in Ole m Co. and 17 percent of f h rate factor truck perce	dham County to KY 153 in Henry Cour 7.5% in Henry Co., which exceeds the fatal crashes of 1.4% in Oldham Co. ex (CCRF) on this section in Henry Co. w nt growth rate of 1.4%/yr projected b	nty. The percent Interstate avera acceds the Inters as 1.033 in 2013 y the study. The	t of injury crashes ge as referenced in state average of 3. The truck
FY 20-25 TIP Funding:	FY 2023 Design phase with the following \$4,480,000 (Federal) + \$1,120,000 (Othe				

November 24, 2020

TIP Action:	Remove project				
MTP Action:	Remove project				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Non-Exempt	Model Impact:	Remove from 2035	and 2040 scena	ios
Project Sponsor:	КҮТС	KIPDA ID:	493	State ID:	5-347.5
County	Bullitt	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	кү 44	-	Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040): Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:		860,000 860,000
Funding Source:	State		Open to Public Date:		2032
Description:	CHAF: Mt. Washington-Taylorsville Road	d; Reconstruct	KY 44 from Mt. Washington Bypass	East 2.0 miles (0	4CCN).
Purpose & Need:	CHAF Purpose: The purpose of this proje 31E/150 (Bardstown Road) to KY 1319 (CHAF Need: KY 44's intersection with US reveals 252 crashes along the subject se collisions and 42KY 44's intersection wit data reveals 252 crashes along the subject collisions and 42 single vehicle collisions	Kings Church R 5 31E has a cur ection of KY 44 h US 31E has a ect section of R 5. Of the 29 cra	Road). rent overall LOS of C and a projected over the last ten years, including 12 a current overall LOS of C and a proje (Y 44 over the last ten years, includin ishes at the intersection of KY 44 and	d 2033 overall LC 2 rear end collisi acted 2033 overa ng 122 rear end d 1 US 31E (Bardst	DS of F. Crash data ons, 50 angle Ill LOS of F. Crash collisions, 50 angle
FY 20-25 TIP	rear end collisions. The significance of co access for emergency vehicles. The KY 4 particularly at the intersections with East destined for Bullitt East High School and needed. FY 2020 Construction phase with the fol	4 vertical align st Sanders Land I Old Mill Elem	e and Kings Church Road. Relieving c entary School, especially during the	ance at the east ongestion and d	ay providing poor end of the projec elays for traffic

TIP Action:	Remove project				
MTP Action:	Remove project				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Non-Exempt	Model Impact:	Remove from 2030, 20	35, and 2040 sc	enarios
Project Sponsor:	КҮТС	KIPDA ID:	1926	State ID:	5-347.56
County	Bullitt	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	кү 44	•	Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):	\$1:	1,719,000
Floject Name.	KI 44		Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:	\$8	5,760,000
Funding Source:	State		Open to Public Date:		2028
Description:	CHAF: KY 44 Section 2 from Parkland Tu ID: IP20150246. Additional Considerations: Add center		olors Drive eastward to Kings Church	Road (KY 1319)	. (2008BOPC) CHAF
Purpose & Need:	CHAF Purpose: Improve capacity, reliev Drive to KY 1319 (Kings Church Road). CHAF Need: This project is needed beck intersections with East Sanders Lane ar (Kings Church Road). Existing delays es High School/Old Mill Elementary Schoo	ause the vertic nd Kings Churcl specially during	al alignment provides inadequate sig h Road on KY 44 from Parkland Trace g the AM peak periods also occur due	ht distances, pa /Winning Color:	rticularly at the s Drive to KY 1319
FY 20-25 TIP Funding:	None				

November 24, 2020

. .

MTP Action:	Add project				
	Add project				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Non-Exempt	Model Impact:	Change KY 44 to a 5-lane section from the 2030, 2035, an	-	-
Project Sponsor:	КҮТС	KIPDA ID:	NEW	State ID:	5-80103.00
County	Bullitt	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
D			Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):	\$28	3,200,000
Project Name:	KY 44		Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:	\$28	3,200,000
Funding Source:	State		Open to Public Date:		2027
Description:	Reconstruct KY 44 from Bogard Lane to A continuous center turn lane.	rmstrong La	ne (2020CCN). Improvements may inc	lude additional	l travel lanes and a
Purpose & Need:	The project is intended to reduce congest consider five lane widening and bike/ped adequately accommodate existing or futu crash rate due to the volume of traffic.	accommod	ations. This project is needed because	the capacity of	FKY 44 does not

TIP Action:	Add project				
MTP Action:	Add project				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Non-Exempt	Model Impact:	Reflect KY 155 as a 3-lane roadway f KY 148 intersection in the 2030, 203 will be considered to be a continuiu it is known where the extender	5, and 2040 sce s center turn lan	narios. The 3rd land e in the model unti
Project Sponsor:	КҮТС	KIPDA ID:	NEW	State ID:	5-8954.00
County	Jefferson	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	KY 155		Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):	\$17	,890,000
Floject Name.	KT 155		Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:	\$17	,890,000
Funding Source:	State Surface Transportation Block Grant (S	STBG) - State	Open to Public Date:		2027
Description:	Construct a 2+1 road on KY 155 (Taylor lane that serves as an alternating passi MPO area on KY 55/KY 155 in Spencer	ng lane. (16CC	N)(18CCN)(2020CCR) KIPDA Note: Th		
Purpose & Need:	This project would seek to improve frei Parkway (Central Kentucky) and City of increase at a rate significantly higher th corridor by providing safer passing opp	Louisville. Th an average. F	e current 2-lane roadway has limited Project also seeks to reduce the numb	capacity and AD er of high-speed	T is projected to
	FY 2021 Design phase with the followir \$800,000 (Federal) + \$200,000 (Other) FY 2023 Right of Way phase with the fo	= \$1,000,000 ((Total)		

	Add project				
MTP Action:	None				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Exempt	Model Impact:	No changes	to model	
Project Sponsor:	кутс	KIPDA ID:	2371	State ID:	5-808.00
County	Jefferson	Parent ID:	1633	Group ID:	N/A
Droigst Name			Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):	\$2	,730,000
Project Name:	KY 155		Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$2	,730,000
Funding Source:	Surface Transportation Block Grant (ST	BG) - State	Open to Public Date:		2024 2021
Description:	Safety project for reconstruction of Taylo Creek. (2016BOP). Project length is 0.6 m		and South Pope Lick Road intersection	n and bridge ov	ver Pope Lick
Purpose & Need:	Improve intersection safety and maintain 155/South Pope Lick Road intersection in significantly with recent developments in making it difficult for vehicles to turn on The intersection is not signalized and tra	n eastern Jeff n the area inc	erson County.This project is needed b cluding the new 4,000 acre Parklands o	ecause traffic I of Floyds Fork r outh Pope Lick	nas increased ecreational area
	at this intersection are common and sigh entrance to the park on the south side o	nt distance is	limited. The South Pope Lick intersect	tion doubles as	r. Traffic back-ups a signature

	Add project				
MTP Action:	Update estimated cost				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Non-Exempt	Model Impact:	No changes to model. Changes a	re limited to cha	anges in funding
Project Sponsor:	күтс	KIPDA ID:	147	State ID:	5-234.00
County	Oldham	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	кү 393	-	Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040): Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:	\$11 \$19	9,870,000 ,990,000 9,870,000 4, 220,000
Funding Source:	Surface Transportation Block Grant (STI	BG) - State	Open to Public Date:		2022
	KY 393 reconstruction from 140 feet sout	th of railroad	crossing (CSX) extending northwest	towards KY 146	ending at Station
Description:	12+00 (Design under 5-230.00). (Constru-				
Description: Purpose & Need:	12+00 (Design under 5-230.00). (Constru	ction Seq.#2) oject is to imp ncluding cons d improveme s with I-71, ar Y 393 at KY 1 problems asso I complex ing needed beca system conn	prove traffic flow and correct safety of truction of an underpass to replace t ints will accommodate the predicted and improve traffic service and safetyf 46. ociated with existing design deficience gress and egress, emergency service of use of the route's importance in the ectivity and travel conditions for sch	the at-grade cros increase in traff for the large Old cies, sight distan demands, travel local and region	ssing of the CSX ic volumes, reduce ham County schoo ce, grades and safety, travel time al transportation

MTP Action:	Modify TIP funding and revise descri	ption			
WIF ACTOR	Update estimated cost and revise de	scription			
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Non-Exempt	Model Impact:	No changes to model. The revised mo	model.	
Project Sponsor:	КҮТС	KIPDA ID:	1819	State ID:	5-8203.00
County	Jefferson	Parent ID:	257	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	KY 1819		Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040): Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:	\$2 , \$7,	840,000 700,000 840,000 260,000
Funding Source:	State		Open to Public Date:		2025
Description:	modern roadway standards and imp KIPDA ID 257 overrides this project a				vei iviouei iiiio -
	2020 scenario, which is a widening to assumed to occur when KIPDA ID 18: MTP).		65 to Watterson Trail. No additional	changes to Billto	7 beginning in th wn Rd. are-
Purpose & Need:	assumed to occur when KIPDA ID 18:	h of Colonnades ulders (three fee town Road with t the Ruckriegel Pa in 2006 and 201 h of Colonnades ulders (three fee	65 to Watterson Trail. No additional . KYTC needs to clarify (should consid Place to south of Easum Road. (04C0 t or less) exists along the length of th traffic volumes expected to increase arkway intersection (which is expected to. Place to south of Easum Road. (04C0 t or less) exists along the length of th	changes to Billto der removing KIP CN)(06CCN)(08CC he corridor. Histo by 7.5% per year ed to increase by CN)(06CCN)(08CC he corridor. Histo	7 beginning in the own Rd. are DA ID 257 from (10CCR)(12CC) ric traffic volume along the length 8.0% per year). CR)(10CCR)(12CC) ric traffic volume

TIP Action:	N/A		24, 2020		
MTP Action:	Modify open to public date				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Non-Exempt Model Impact:		Remove from 2	2020 scenario	
Project Sponsor:	КҮТС	KIPDA ID:	257	State ID:	5-8203.00
County	Jefferson	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	KY 1819		Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040): Total Cost Programmed	\$2 \$7	,840,000 ,700,000 ,840,000
			in TIP to Date:	\$7	,260,000
Funding Source:	State		Open to Public Date:		2025 2020
Description:	Widen KY 1819 (Billtown Road) from 2 to 1819 (Watterson Trail). Project length is		lane will be a center turn lane) from	I-265 (Gene Sny	/der Freeway) to KY
Purpose & Need:	The purpose of this project is to improve Mobility within designated freight corrid shoulders that are under three feet. Hist volumes expected to increase by 7.5% p Parkway intersection which is expected to speed limit, particularly in the north end There are several intersections where, a one or more approaches with a poor lew of the westbound left turn exceeds the a queue lengths during peak periods excee entire corridor operates at LOS E in 2006 Lane and Ruckriegel Parkway operate at	lors, and 5) N coric traffic vo er year along to increase by I of the study s of 2006, the rel of service. available stora ed the availab 5 and 2010. A	Iodal access and choice. The corridor H plumes have shown strong growth alo the length of Billtown Road; with the y 8.0% per year. A speed study showe area. ere were poor levels of service. In 2010 At the intersection of Gellhaus Lane a age. At the intersection of Ruckriegel oble storage for the westbound left and Il sections except the portion of Billto	nas limited right ng Billtown Roa exception of th d that most driv 0, all intersection nd Billtown Roa Parkway and Bi d the northbour wn Road betwe	t-of-way and narrow ad with traffic le Ruckriegel vers exceed the ons have at least ad, the queue length lltown Road, the ad right turn.The sen Shady Acres
FY 20-25 TIP Funding:	None				

TIP Action:	Add project for illustrative purposes on	у			
MTP Action:	Update open to public (OTP) date and cl	hange state ID)		
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Non-Exempt	Model Impact:	Remove project fr	om 2025 scena	rio
Project Sponsor:	Louisville Metro	KIPDA ID:	2153	State ID:	5-80108.00 8801.00
County	Jefferson	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Broject Name			Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):	\$5,670,000	
Project Name:	Rangeland Road		Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:		,090,000 ,590,000
Funding Source:	State		Open to Public Date:		2027 2025
Description:	Widen Rangeland Road from 2 to 3 lane	s from Poplar	Level Road to Shepherdsville Road, f	or 1.23 miles.	
Purpose & Need:	Reduce congestion and improve safety of	on Rangeland	Road for 1.23 miles.		
FY 20-25 TIP Funding:	*FY 2024 Right of Way phase with the fo \$0 (Federal) + \$1,250,000 (Other) = \$1,2	-			

TIP Action: MTP Action:	into any ini ranang, revise acsemption ar	nd update op	en to public (OTP) date		
WITE ALLIUIT.	Revise description and update open to p				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Non-Exempt Model Impact:		Remove project fro	om 2025 scenai	rio
Project Sponsor:	кутс	KIPDA ID:	2598	State ID:	5-8952.00
County	Jefferson	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	US 60		Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):	\$2	2,200,000
			Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:	\$2	2,200,000
Funding Source:	Surface Transportation Block Grant (ST State	BG) - State	Open to Public Date:		2029 2024
Description:	Widen US 60 to three lanes from Eastwo \$330,000) .	od Cutoff (M	P 14.7) to Rockcrest Way (MP 15.1). (16CCN) (Locals	will do design for
Purpose & Need:	Improve safety and mobility. The Critical Rate Factor (CRF) along this s annual growth rate in this area ranging	-		-	
	FY 2021 Design phase with the following \$264,000 (Federal) + \$66,000 (Other) = \$				
FY 20-25 TIP Funding:	FY 2023 Right of Way phase with the foll \$328,000 (Federal) + \$82,000 (Other) = \$ *FY 2024 Utilities phase with the followin \$368,000 (Federal) + \$92,000 (Other) = \$ FY 2020 Design phase with the following \$0 (Federal) + \$330,000 (Other) = \$330,00 FY 2020 Right of Way phase with the foll \$0 (Federal) + \$410,000 (Other) = \$410,00 FY 2020 Utilities phase with the following \$0 (Federal) + \$460,000 (Other) = \$460,000 FY 2021 Construction phase with the foll	6410,000 (Tot ng STBG-MPC 6460,000 (Tot State funds: 1000 (Total) 000 (Total) g State funds 100 (Total)	ST funds: cal) D funds: cal)		

TIP Action:					
	Add project				
MTP Action:	None				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Exempt	Model No chan		es to model	
Project Sponsor:	Louisville Metro	KIPDA ID:	1353	State ID:	TBD
County	Jefferson	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	Baxter/Bardstown Premium Transportati	on Corridor	Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):	\$11,600,000	
roject name.	- Section 1		Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:	\$2	,750,000
Funding Source:	Surface Transportation Block Grant (STE	BG) - MPO	Open to Public Date:		2030
Description:	The Baxter/Bardstown Premium Transpor service on a key corridor by adding traffic bring intelligent signal upgrades, which w premium transit and overall mobility; 3) i pedestrian facilities, intersection safety in streetscape design elements.	c signal bus p vill include u ncorporate c	rioritization, new bus stops, and incre pgraded traffic signals and communic complete streets roadway improveme	easing bus serv ation equipme nts by includin	ice frequency; 2) nt to support g bicycle and
Purpose & Need:	The Baxter/Bardstown Premium Transpor Metro's most heavily travelled corridors. plan, as both a "Major Corridor" and a "P intensive Bardstown/Baxter Safety Study, Bardstown Road succeed as a commercia road space with high-demand for each po Louisville's Highlands Neighborhoods, new The improvements outlined in this design	It is highly-p remium Trar , completed Il destination ortion of the eds investme	rioritized in Move Louisville, Louisville nsit Corridor." A large sub-area of this by Louisville Metro's Office of Advanc resulting in major mobility challenge cross-section. The vibrant commercia	e Metro's 20-ye Section was th ed Planning. Ba s. These two co I corridor, cons	ar transportation e focus of the axter Avenue and prridors have limite
	project, which received \$16.9 million in fe interchange from a traditional marketplac account for various demands across its le transit improvements and more complete activity while the area outside of the Wat transit accommodations and connections few to no safe bicycle facilities. Taken tog Corridor of the future continues to succes	ederal funds. ce corridor to ngth; howev e multi-moda terson has p s. Both sectio gether, these	ct are comparable to those seen in the Baxter Avenue and Bardstown Road o a suburban marketplace corridor, Se rer, each two sub-areas, despite is uni al connections. The area inside of the oor access management, crash-induc ns have room for improvement conce issues need to be addressed to ensur	e "Transformin transition arou ection 1 of this ted by its need Watterson has ing typical cros erning pedestri re that the Baxt	ne years to come. g Dixie Highway" and the I-264 project will need to for significant mas high pedestrian s-sections, and poo an connections and cer/Bardstown

TIP Action:	Add project				
MTP Action:	Add project				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Exempt	Model Impact:	No changes	to model	
Project Sponsor:	Louisville Metro	KIPDA ID:	NEW	State ID:	TBD
County	Jefferson	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	Blanton Lane Sidewalk		Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040): Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:		,417,500 ,417,500
Funding Source:	Transportation Alternatives (TA)	- MPO	Open to Public Date:		2025
Description:	Construct a continuous 6-foot sidewalk This project will add approximately 5,10 gutter and will include a retaining wall.		-	•	
Purpose & Need:	There are no pedestrian connections on minor arterial (St. Andrews Church Road		. This major collector connects a prin	cipal artarial (D	
	primarily residential corridor to the com along with a crossing at the P&L Railroad	mercial corri	alks will provide safe and accessible p	edestrian conn	ections from this

TIP Action:	Add project				
MTP Action:	Add project				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Exempt	Model Impact:	No changes to model		
Project Sponsor:	Louisville Metro	KIPDA ID:	NEW	State ID:	TBD
County	Jefferson	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	Gagel Avenue Sidewalk		Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):	\$1	,765,000
Project Name:	Gager Avenue Sidewark		Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:	\$1	,765,000
Funding Source:	Transportation Alternatives (TA) -	MPO	Open to Public Date:		2025
Description:	Construct a continuous 6-foot sidewalk or crossing at the P&L Railroad and an exter over Gagel Avenue at London Drive, ther south side. This project will add approxin	nsion of the l n construct a	box culvert on the east side of the rail continuous 6-foot sidewalk from Long	road tracks. Co	nstruct a crosswalk
Purpose & Need:	There are no pedestrian connections on	Cagal Avanu			
	minor arterial (Manslick Road). New side residential corridor to the commercial co at the P&L Railroad.	walks will pro	ovide safe and accessible pedestrian o	connections fro	m this primarily

TIP Action:	None				
MTP Action:	Add project				
Exempt/Non-	Exempt	Model	No change	es to model	
Exempt:	Exempt	Impact:			
Project Sponsor:	Louisville Metro	KIPDA ID:	NEW	State ID:	TBD
County	Jefferson	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	Louisville CBD Streetlight Rehabili	itation	Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040): Total Cost Programmed	\$1	,250,000
			in TIP to Date:		N/A
Funding Source:	TBD		Open to Public Date:		2022
Description:	Within the Louisville Central Business Di Government. This project is for the reha Many of the street lights within the CBD identify those street lights requiring rep	bilitation inclue are nearing t	uding updating to LED lighting or rep he end of their useful life and require	lacement of the	se street lights.
Purpose & Need:	Updating street lights will increase safet	y for pedestri	ans and assists in providing a State o	f Good Repair fo	or Metro streets.
Purpose & Need: FY 20-25 TIP Funding:	Updating street lights will increase safet	y for pedestri	ans and assists in providing a State o	f Good Repair fo	or Metro streets.
FY 20-25 TIP		y for pedestri	ans and assists in providing a State o	f Good Repair fo	or Metro streets.
FY 20-25 TIP Funding:	N/A	y for pedestri	ans and assists in providing a State o	f Good Repair fo	or Metro streets.
FY 20-25 TIP Funding: TIP Action:	N/A Add project	y for pedestri Model Impact:	ans and assists in providing a State o		or Metro streets.
FY 20-25 TIP Funding: TIP Action: MTP Action: Exempt/Non-	N/A Add project None	Model			5-3709.00
FY 20-25 TIP Funding: TIP Action: MTP Action: Exempt/Non- Exempt:	N/A Add project None Exempt	Model Impact:	No change	s to model	
FY 20-25 TIP Funding: TIP Action: MTP Action: Exempt/Non- Exempt: Project Sponsor: County	Add project None Exempt Louisville Metro Jefferson	Model Impact: KIPDA ID: Parent ID:	No change	s to model	5-3709.00
FY 20-25 TIP Funding: TIP Action: MTP Action: Exempt/Non- Exempt: Project Sponsor:	Add project Add project None Exempt Louisville Metro	Model Impact: KIPDA ID: Parent ID:	No change 2622 1273 Total Estimated Cost in MTP	s to model State ID: Group ID:	5-3709.00 N/A
FY 20-25 TIP Funding: TIP Action: MTP Action: Exempt/Non- Exempt: Project Sponsor: County	N/A Add project None Exempt Louisville Metro Jefferson Olmsted Parkways Multi-Use Path Syste Surface Transportation Block Grant (ST	Model Impact: KIPDA ID: Parent ID: em Section 1 EBG) - MPO	No change 2622 1273 Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040): Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date: Open to Public Date:	es to model State ID: Group ID: \$2	5-3709.00 N/A N/A ,750,000 2023
FY 20-25 TIP Funding: TIP Action: MTP Action: Exempt/Non- Exempt: Project Sponsor: County Project Name:	N/A Add project None Exempt Louisville Metro Jefferson Olmsted Parkways Multi-Use Path Syste	Model Impact: KIPDA ID: Parent ID: em Section 1 EBG) - MPO	No change 2622 1273 Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040): Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date: Open to Public Date:	es to model State ID: Group ID: \$2	5-3709.00 N/A N/A ,750,000 2023
FY 20-25 TIP Funding: TIP Action: MTP Action: Exempt/Non- Exempt: Project Sponsor: County Project Name: Funding Source:	N/A Add project None Exempt Louisville Metro Jefferson Olmsted Parkways Multi-Use Path Syste Surface Transportation Block Grant (ST Construction of a 2.0 mile shared use path	Model Impact: KIPDA ID: Parent ID: em Section 1 FBG) - MPO oth system alo destrian acces	No change 2622 1273 Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040): Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date: Open to Public Date: ng Southwestern and Algonquin Park ss opportunities along parkways that	s to model State ID: Group ID: \$2 kway between V	5-3709.00 N/A N/A ,750,000 2023 Vest Broadway and

TIP Action:	Update open to public (OTP) date and mo	Jully HF Tull	ling		
MTP Action:	Update open to public (OTP) date				
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Non-Exempt	Model Impact:	Remove project fr	om 2020 scenar	io
Project Sponsor:	Louisville Metro	KIPDA ID:	1809	State ID:	5-470.00
County	Jefferson	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	One-Way Street Conversion to Two-Wa	av Phase 1	Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):	\$4	,390,000
riojeer nume.	one way street conversion to two wa	iy muse i	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:	\$4	,390,000
Funding Source:	Surface Transportation Block Grant (STE	BG) - MPO	Open to Public Date:		2021
			-		2020
Description:	Design and construction for the conversio Jefferson Street (Floyd to Baxter Avenue) Connector); Chestnut Street (Jackson to C Street (Gray to Main Street); and Campbe	on of the follo ; Liberty Stre Chestnut Con	owing one-way streets in downtown et (Jackson to Baxter); Muhammad A nector); 8th Street (Kentucky to Mair	li Blvd. (Jacksor	o-way traffic flow to Chestnut
	Design and construction for the conversion Jefferson Street (Floyd to Baxter Avenue) Connector); Chestnut Street (Jackson to C	on of the follo ; Liberty Stre Chestnut Con ell Street (Che rs of traffic, b e for higher tr as certain bu erous. They to he area, they	owing one-way streets in downtown et (Jackson to Baxter); Muhammad A nector); 8th Street (Kentucky to Main estnut to Main Street). out can often introduce safety concer ravel speeds than two-way streets ar usinesses have a formal policy agains end to have slower travel speeds tha provide better access to both busine	li Blvd. (Jacksor n); 7th Street (O ns for motorists ind in some cases it locating on on n one-way stree	p-way traffic flow to Chestnut ak to Main); Shel b, bicyclists and hinder e-way streets.

TIP Action:	Change project sponsor, update open to public (OTP) date and add TIP funding					
MTP Action:	Change project sponsor and update oper	n to public (C	DTP) date			
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Non-Exempt	Model Impact:	No change:	s to model		
Project Sponsor:	Oldham County KYTC	KIPDA ID:	414	State ID:	TBD	
County	Oldham	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A	
Droiget Name	КҮ 22	Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040):		\$1	2,140,000	
Project Name:	KT 22		Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:	\$	281,250 \$0	
Funding Source:	Surface Transportation Block Grant (ST	BG) - MPO	Open to Public Date:	2030 2028		
Description:	Improve safety and reduce congestion or and bike/ped accommodations.	n KY 22 from	Haunz Lane to KY 329. Includes consi	deration of a th	nree lane widening	
Purpose & Need:	The purpose of this project is to improve This project is needed because the crash deficiencies exist, and projected growth r deficiencies include horizontal curves and will contribute to congestion issues in the	rate is high (results in ina d numerous	particularly at the end of the project dequate capacity on KY 22 from Haur	near KY 329), m 12 Lane to KY 32	nultiple roadway 9. Roadway	
FY 20-25 TIP Funding:	FY 2021 Planning phase with the followin \$225,000 (Federal) + \$56,250 (Other) = \$	-				

TIP Action:	Remove project from the first four year	s of the FY 202		d update open to	public (OTP) date
MTP Action:	Update open to public (OTP) date		, , , ,		
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Non-Exempt	Model Impact:	No change	es to model	
Project Sponsor:	Oldham County	KIPDA ID:	2615	State ID:	TBD
County	Oldham	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	Kenwood Road		Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040): Total Cost Programmed		279,688 106,250
			in TIP to Date:	\$3,	,279,688
Funding Source:	Surface Transportation Block Grant (S	TBG) - MPO	Open to Public Date:		2030 2026
Description:	Construct a new urban roadway section three-lanes with a continuous, center le 11 feet with a proposed posted speed o	ft-turn lane, c			-
Purpose & Need:	The purpose of this project is to improv connectivity between KY 329 B and KY 1 congestion at the existing intersection b traffic while also providing greater acce	.46. The devel between KY 32	opment of a new roadway connecto 9 B and KY 146 and increase travel a	r between these	facilities will reduce
	*FY 2024 Design phase with the followin \$325,000 (Federal) + \$81,250 (Other) = FY 2020 Design phase with the following \$0 (Federal) + \$468,750 (Other) = \$468,	\$406,250 (Tot g Local funds:	al)		
FY 20-25 TIP Funding:	FY 2021 Right of Way phase with the fol \$0 (Federal) + \$143,750 (Other) = \$143,	-	unds:		
	FY 2022 Utilities phase with the followir \$0 (Federal) + \$664,063 (Other) = \$664,	-	-		
	FY 2023 Construction phase with the fol $\frac{1}{2}$ (Federal) + $\frac{1}{2}$,003,125 (Other) = $\frac{1}{2}$,0	-			

November 24, 2020

TIP Action:	Revise project name, update open to pu	blic (OTP) dat		IP funding	
MTP Action:	Revise project name, update total estima			-	า
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Exempt Model Impact:		No chang	es to model	
Project Sponsor:	University of Louisville	KIPDA ID:	2150	State ID:	5-8805.00
County	Jefferson	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	Campus Improvements Floyd Street Roundabout, Cardinal Bo Brandies Arthur Street Intersection a Belknap Campus Improvemen	nd Other	Total Estimated Cost in MTP (i.e. CK 2040): Total Cost Programmed in TIP to Date:	\$ 2 \$1	7,037,500 4,000,000 4,687,500 1 ,650,000
Funding Source:	Surface Transportation Block Grant (ST	BG) - MPO	Open to Public Date:		2022 2021
Description :	multimodal improvements at the South 3 3rd Street and South 4th Street. Project 4 Belknap campus by the creation a multi- Street. The proposed multi-modal corric street crossing location and also include and thru lanes southbound at the W. Bra D&C for Multi-modal directional non-vel for roundabout at Floyd Street and Card pay upfront \$4.5M of \$22.5M (80/20) in CHAF IP20160278.	would provid modal corrid dor would im geometric im andeis Avenu hicle and vehi inal Boulevar 1st year. (14	e better connectivity between new or along W. Brandeis Avenue betwee prove pedestrian and bicycle safety provements to South 3rd Street wit e intersection. cle safety project at UofL Belknap. 1 d, and intersection at Brandeis and CCN).	university faciliti en South 3rd Str with the creatior th the straighteni th the straighteni Lst year to includ Arthur Street. Uc	es with the main eet and South 4th n of a designated ng of the turn lane e construction funds o fL Foundation will -
Purpose & Need:	The following needs have been identified Capacity for all vehicle types.			Improve Access	and Increase
FY 20-25 TIP Funding:	FY 2021 Design phase with the following \$180,000 (Federal) + \$45,000 (Other) = \$ FY 2022 Utilities phase with the following \$750,000 (Federal) + \$187,500 (Other) = FY 2022 Construction phase with the foll \$1,500,000 (Federal) + \$375,000 (Other)	225,000 (Tot g STBG-MPO \$937,500 (To	al) funds: otal)		

* This phase will only be shown in the TIP for illustrative purposes since it is outside of the first four active years of the FY 2020 - 2025 TIP.



Updated November 2020



KIPDA PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT PLAN

	TRANSIT					
REQUIRED BY:	PERFORMANCE MEASURE		BASELINE		TARGET	
	Ridership					
MPO	I	<u>1</u>	Transit Ridership	11,811,902	Boardings on TARC buses during FY 2017	Increase by 20% by 2040 to 14,174,282 boardings
	AGE OF FLEET					
	<u>12</u>	<u>(a)</u>	Percent of non-revenue vehicles exceeding the useful life benchmark (ULB)	<mark>27%</mark>	of TARC's non-revenue service vehicle fleet (equipment) exceed the ULB	≤ 25% of non-revenue service vehicles exceed default ULB of 8 years
				<mark>53%</mark>	of TARC's trucks and other rubber tire vehicles exceed the ULB	≤ 50% of truck and other rubber tire vehicle fleet exceeds default ULB of 10 years
FTA				<mark>29%</mark>	of TARC's revenue bus fleet (rolling stock) exceed the ULB	≤ 20% of bus fleet exceeds ULB of 15 years
		<u>(b)</u>	Percent of revenue vehicles exceeding the useful life benchmark (ULB)	<mark>3%</mark>	of TARC's revenue cutaway bus fleet (rolling stock) exceed the ULB	0% of cutaway bus fleet exceeds ULB of 10 years
				<mark>0%</mark>	of TARC's revenue van fleet exceed the <mark>ULB</mark>	≤ 10% of van fleet exceeds ULB of 8 years
			TRANSI	T ACCESS T	O CLUSTERS AND SCHOOLS	
	<u>T3</u>	<u>(a)</u>	Community Access Clusters served by transit	91.03%	of land area within these clusters are within ¼ mile of a transit route	Increase to 100% by 2040
мро		<u>(b)</u>	High Density Medical Clusters served by transit	100%	of land area within these clusters are within ¼ mile of a transit route	Maintain at current levels in 2040
		<u>(c)</u>	High Density Shopping Clusters served by transit	100%	of land area within these clusters area within ¼ mile of a transit route	Maintain at current levels in 2040
		<u>(d)</u>	High Density Housing Clusters served by transit	TBD	of land area within these clusters are within ¼ mile of a transit route	Increase by 20% by 2040
MPO	I	<u>'4</u>	Enhance transit access to schools	230	Schools are within ¼ mile of a transit route	Increase by 20% by 2040 to 276 schools

KIPDA PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT PLAN

	TRANSIT (CONTINUED)					
REQUIRED BY:	Performance Measure		BASELINE		TARGET	
	HEADWAY TIME					
мро	<u>T5</u>	Reduce average headway time on TARC's defined Title VI routes	1:04	Average weekday headway time on TARC Title VI Routes	Reduce by 40% by 2040 to 0:38 average weekday headway time	
	PARK AND RIDE LOTS AND RIDESHARE					
мро	<u>т6</u>	Number of Park and Ride lot spaces occupied during peak hours	TBD	# of Park and Ride lot spaces that are occupied during weekday business hours	Increase by 40% by 2040	
мро	<u>(a)</u>	Number of Park and Ride lots with pedestrian access	24	Park and Ride lots have pedestrian access	Increase by 20% by 2040 to 29 lots	
WITO	<u>T7</u> (b)	Number of Park and Ride lots with dedicated bicycle access	3	Park and Ride lots have dedicated bicycle access	Increase by 10% by 2040 to 4 lots	
MPO	<u>T8</u>	Number of commuters in the Ticket to Ride program	1,377	Active commuters in the Ticket to Ride program	5,000 commuters in the Ticket to Ride program by 2040	
	TARC FACILITIES					
FTA	<u>79</u>	Transit Facilities	<mark>11%</mark>	of admin/maintenance facilities rated under 3.0 on the TERM scale	0% of admin/maintenance facilities rated under 3.0 on the TERM scale	

FTA-REQUIRED MEASURES

This section includes all four FTA performance measures that are required per federal regulation <u>49 CFR</u> <u>Part 625 and 49 CFR Part 630</u>.

<u>T2a - Percent of Non-Revenue Service Vehicles Exceeding ULB - 625.43(a)</u> <u>T2b - Percent of Revenue Vehicles Exceeding ULB - 625.43(b)</u> *Detailed Description*

These performance measures seek to reduce the percent of TARC's transit fleet, both non-revenue service vehicles (equipment) and revenue vehicles (rolling stock), that are classified as above the useful life benchmark (ULB). These measures are primarily a maintenance component, ensuring transit vehicles are in a state of good repair.

Data Sources and Review Frequency

- Age of transit fleet, percent above the useful life: TARC
 - This data is available on an ongoing basis, thus it will be updated yearly.

Historical Data

The availability of historical data of this type varies. Data regarding the age and mileage of the transit fleet exists, but the percentage of all types of vehicles below the ULB was not calculated historically.

Baseline Data

KIPDA Staff use fleet data provided by TARC Staff to calculate the baseline condition.

Transit Agency Targets

• TARC: Transit Authority of River City

Class	Performance Target
Automobile	Solution 25% of non-revenue service vehicles exceed default ULB of 8 years
Buses	Solution 20% of fleet exceeds default ULB of 15 years
Cutaway Buses	0% of fleet exceeds default ULB of 10 years
Trucks and Other Rubber Tire Vehicles	50% of fleet exceeds default ULB of 10 years
Vans	10% of fleet exceeds default ULB of 8 years

Target (as required by FTA)

These are the targets that are established in TARC's Transit Asset Management Plan (TAM) which was last updated in July 2020.

Target-Setting Methodology

TARC set targets that could be reasonably attained.

T9 - Percent of Facilities Rated Under 3.0 on the TERM Scale - 625.43(d)

Detailed Description

This performance measure seeks to reduce the percent of TARC's facilities that are rated below condition 3 on FTA's <u>Transit Economic Requirements Model (TERM)</u> scale. Transit agencies are required to report the overall condition of each administrative, maintenance, and passenger facility that is listed in the NTD Asset Inventory Module. The overall condition of a facility is specified using the following scale: 5— Excellent, 4—Good, 3—Adequate, 2—Marginal, 1—Fair. A facility is deemed to be in good repair if it has a condition rating of 3, 4, or 5 on this scale and is deemed to not be in good repair if it has a rating of 1 or 2.

Data Sources and Review Frequency

- Facility condition rating: TARC
 - Transit agencies must update facility conditions every three years at a minimum, thus this will be updated every 3 years.

Historical Data

Data regarding the historical condition of transit facilities is unlikely to exist.

Baseline Data

KIPDA Staff used data provided by TARC

Transit Agency Target

• TARC: Transit Authority of River City

Class	Performance Target	
Admin/Maintenance	0% of facilities rated under 3.0 on the TERM scale	
Facilities	0% OF Tacilities fated under 5.0 OF the TERM Scale	

Target (as required by FTA)

This is the target that is established in TARC's Transit Asset Management Plan (TAM) which was last updated in July 2020.

Target-Setting Methodology

TARC set targets that could be reasonably attained.

AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY

The Louisville, KY-IN transportation planning study area consists of Clark and Floyd counties and 0.1 square miles of Harrison County in Indiana, and Bullitt, Jefferson, and Oldham counties and approximately 4 square miles of Shelby County in Kentucky. Much of this area coincides with the local ozone nonattainment area. In the past, a portion of the planning study area also coincided with a local PM 2.5 nonattainment area, but that standard was revoked in April, 2015. The Louisville, KY-IN maintenance area for the 1997 8-hour ozone standard consisted of Clark and Floyd counties, IN, and Bullitt, Jefferson, and Oldham counties, KY. It was designated as a basic nonattainment area in June, 2004 and redesignated as an attainment area with a maintenance status in July, 2007. The 1997 8-hour ozone standard was revoked for the local area in April, 2015, and at that time, it was not necessary for the local area to determine conformity. (However, the local area was still eligible to receive Congestion Mitigation/Air Quality funding). In June 2018, the former Louisville, KY-IN 1997 ozone maintenance area was designated as a marginal nonattainment area for the 2015 8-hour ozone standard. One of the requirements of this designation as a nonattainment area is that it will once again be necessary to determine conformity for the local area.

KIPDA is amending *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040*, the metropolitan transportation plan (MTP) and the FY 2021 – FY 2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). This conformity analysis will support conformity determinations by the metropolitan planning organization and the U. S. Department of Transportation agencies for both documents. This analysis is intended to support determinations of conformity under both the 1997 and 2015 8-hour ozone standards.

CONFORMITY UNDER THE 1997 AND 2015 8-HOUR OZONE STANDARDS

When an area such as the Louisville area becomes nonattainment, the area must undertake a process known as conformity. This process provides a linkage between transportation planning and air quality planning. One of the key activities of conformity is to quantify the level of emissions of the air pollutant(s) and/or precursor(s) for certain analysis years and compare those levels to the motor vehicle emission budgets (MVEBs)—if they exist. The MVEBs limit the amount of a pollutant or precursor that can be emitted. If MVEBs do not exist, the area must rely on interim tests, such as comparing the emissions to the level of emissions in a baseyear, to determine conformity. The baseyear would be set by US EPA when the standard is promulgated.

Subsequent to being designated as nonattainment of the 1997 8-hour ozone standard and prior to being redesignated as attainment of the standard, the Louisville area relied on the use of interim tests to demonstrate conformity. These tests had been established during a 2004 update to the federal conformity rule. When the Louisville area was designated as nonattainment of the 2015 8-hour ozone standard, there were no MVEBs for that standard. However, there were MVEBs for the 1997 8-hour ozone standard, and they were used in the process of determining conformity to both the 1997 and 2015 standards.

When the local area was designated as nonattainment of the 1997 8-hour ozone standard, the air quality agencies with responsibility for the local area were charged with the additional responsibility to develop a set of actions that could be taken to reduce pollutant/precursor emissions. These actions were to be included in air quality plans known as State Implementation Plans (SIPs). Since the Louisville nonattainment area is a bi-state area, these sets of the actions to reduce precursor emissions were to be incorporated into the Indiana and Kentucky SIPs. It was during this process that MVEBs were established. Originally, the SIPs were to include sets of actions to bring the local area into attainment of the ozone standard. This type of SIP is known as an attainment demonstration. However, while these SIPs were being developed, the data from the air quality monitors in the area indicated that the 1997 8-hour ozone standard had been met. With this data in hand, the air quality agencies were able to submit a SIP known as a redesignation request instead. The establishment of the MVEBs was one of the components of the redesignation request. Since the SIPs were redesignation requests for ozone, the MVEBs were established for the precursors of ozone -- volatile organic compounds and oxides of Nitrogen.

CONSULTATION FOR CONNECTING KENTUCKIANA 2040

The first step in determining conformity of *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* was to consult with the interagency consultation (IAC/ICG) group concerning matters not explicitly determined by the conformity rule. Conformity under the 1997 8-hour ozone standard had been previously determined. Therefore, many of the issues normally arising in conformity had undergone consultation previously. Since these issues were not raised during consultation this time, the portions of the analysis involving those issues were accomplished consistent with established practice.

A consultation zoom meeting was held on October 6 to discuss issues relative to the amendment of the MTP. It involved a review and discussion of the following items:

(a) important dates in the schedule for the amendment;

Regional Emissions (Air Quality) Analysis completed
Public Review begins
Action by the Transportation Technical Coordinating
Committee
Action by the Transportation Policy Committee
 Documentation sent to review agencies for the federal conformity determination;

- (b) a draft list of projects—sent to the IAC/ICG with consultation notice—included in accompanying documentation;
- (c) the horizon year of the transportation plan—2040;
- (d) the proposed conformity test methodology/ies and analysis years—see the discussion of issues and ESTABLISHED PRACTICE sections below;

- (e) the pollutant(s)/precursor(s) of concern and the motor vehicle emissions budget(s), if applicable—see table 2 at the end of the report;
- (f) information concerning the inputs for the travel demand model and the approved emissions model—see the issues section below, the list of projects included in accompanying documentation, and the items concerning the travel demand model and emissions model under Other Planning Issues; and
- (g) a listing of any transportation control measures (TCMs) in SIPs, if applicable there are none.

<u>Issues</u>

Discussion of Schedule

KIPDA staff discussed the schedule for amendment 2. There were no questions concerning the amendment schedule.

Discussion of Projects

KIPDA staff had provided the IAC/ICG with a list of 28 projects that will be amended in *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040.* The projects are a mix of new projects and projects already in the MTP that were being amended. Key details about the projects were presented in the list, including recommendations on whether each project was exempt or non-exempt and how the projects were included in or excluded from the regional travel demand model.

KIPDA staff pointed out to the IAC/ICG that the project list was in a new format. A question was asked about including a date indicating when each project was amended into the MTP or TIP. KIPDA staff indicated that amendments are currently documented on KIPDA's website. There were no other comments concerning the project sheet format.

KIPDA staff noted that the Sherman Minton Bridge Maintenance project, KIPDA IDs 2533 and 351, is part of an accompanying Administration Modification. The changes in the project are limited to the schedule and funding, which makes it unnecessary to have those changes in this amendment.

Other points of discussion of the projects included:

• Galene Dr/Sprowl Rd Collector Extension, KIPDA ID 2774: A clarification was requested about the increase in capacity associated with this project. KIPDA staff indicated that the project involved aligning two offset intersections and a minor extension of Sprowl Rd to Watterson Trail via Shelby St and/or Bluebird Ln. Therefore, the increase in capacity is minor. There were no other comments or questions concerning this project.

 Baxter/Bardstown Premium Transportation Corridor – Section 1, KIPDA ID 1353: A question was asked about how changes in modeling were done for this project. KIPDA staff indicated that the KIPDA model does not include a robust transit component that models changes in transit directly. It also was noted that the funding for this project was not for transit. The questioner indicated that she was aware of the funding situation but reiterated the importance of continuously improving the travel demand model to measure the effects of transit projects.

Conclusion: The IAC/ICG members, after discussing the details of the projects listed above, accepted the recommendations of KIPDA staff concerning the incorporation of these projects and the other projects described in the documentation into the regional emissions analysis.

Discussion of the Conformity Analysis

KIPDA staff discussed the key components of the conformity analysis that are expected to be presented to the KIPDA TPC in November. The analysis years will be the ones that were used when the existing MTP was previously amended.

The Budget Test utilizing the Year 2020 Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets created for the 1997 8-Hour Ozone Standard will continue to be used until a new set of budgets are established. By not exceeding these budgets in the year 2020, 2025, 2030, 2035, and 2040 travel model scenarios, *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* will demonstrate conformity to both the 1997 and 2015 8-Hour Ozone Standards.

The pollutants of concern are the precursors of Ozone, volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and oxides of Nitrogen (NOx). The emission budgets for these pollutants are 20,793 kg/day and 26,726 kg/day, respectively.

Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District (LMAPCD) staff reported that he had recently prepared the 2017 Indiana fleet data for use in the MOVES model. He made a PowerPoint presentation concerning his analysis of the new Indiana fleet data and the results of that analysis. He will be using that data in the upcoming analysis. MOVES 2014b will be used for the analysis.

NOTE: (See also the "Analysis Years and Conformity Tests" portion of the "ESTABLISHED PRACTICE" section below for more information on these issues.)

KIPDA Staff offered the opportunity for any other business or questions to be brought to the IAC/ICG. There was no other business discussed.

ESTABLISHED PRACTICE

In addition to the issues discussed during consultation, there were several issues which were not explicitly discussed or received little discussion during the consultation zoom meeting of October 6, but which had impacts on the analysis. Many of these issues had been discussed during previous consultations. These issues were handled in a manner

consistent with the previous established practice. The more prominent issues are discussed below.

Relationship of MTP and TIP for Conformity Purposes

The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is maintained as a subset of the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP). Therefore, the conformity determination for the MTP will serve as the conformity determination for the TIP.

Conclusion: The IAC/ICG members are informed of this from time to time in order to clarify the conformity determination for the MTP also serves as the conformity determination for the TIP.

Issues related to the KIPDA travel demand forecasting model

During recent changes to the MTP, there were three changes of note to the KIPDA travel demand forecasting model.

(1) First, the census urbanized area now includes a small area in northwest Shelby County, KY. The metropolitan planning area was updated to reflect the 2010 census urbanized area. This area was added to the KIPDA travel demand forecasting model in order to be consistent with the census urbanized area. Because this section of Shelby County is not in the local nonattainment, the vehicle-miles-traveled calculated for this area are not included in the regional emissions analysis.

(2) Second, the proposed toll structure for the Louisville Southern Indiana Ohio River Bridges project changed earlier this year. Changes were made to the KIPDA travel demand forecasting model to reflect the changes in the toll structure.

(3) During recent years, KIPDA staff have updated and calibrated the travel demand forecasting model. This activity involved updating the inputs to the model and developing new values for the parameters of the model. The resulting model was considered calibrated when the model outputs matched observed data (e.g. HPMS VMT), within reason, for the baseyear. This update established 2015 as the baseyear (the year on which calibration was based) for the model.

Conclusion: The IAC/ICG members have been informed that the KIPDA travel demand forecasting model has been updated and calibrated and that 2015 is now the baseyear for the model.

Analysis Years and Conformity Tests

Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets (MVEBs) for the 1997 8-hour ozone standard were approved by EPA in July, 2007. The MVEBs were for the precursors of ozone, volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and oxides of Nitrogen (NOx), The Federal Register notice can be found at 72 FR 36601. The budgets are shown in Table 2 at the end of this document. Since there are MVEBs for the ozone precursors, the conformity rule requires that ozone analyses be done for the attainment year and the last year of the transportation plan. In addition, other intermittent year(s) are required such that no two analysis years are more than ten years apart. The maintenance plan established when the local area was redesignated established MVEBs for VOCs and NOx for 2003 (the attainment year) and 2020 (the last year of the maintenance plan). Since the attainment year is now in the past, that year is no longer included in the analysis.

In order to have the required analysis years, several changes were made in recent years. During an amendment of the MTP in 2013, it was necessary to replace 2012 as an analysis year because it was in the past, and 2015 was chosen. When the MTP was updated in 2020, the horizon year of the plan was being changed to 2040, and that year had to be added to the analysis years. At the same time, in order to allow for more orderly transition as time progressed, 2025 and 2035 have been added as analysis years, allowing for analysis years every five years. By having the analysis years five years apart throughout the life of the MTP, it was noted that there would always be an analysis year within five years of the time of the analysis. Further, when the horizon year of the MTP is extended, that year will be added as an analysis year. Otherwise, the analysis years can remain constant except for the removal of an analysis year when it occurs in the past. Recently, 2015 was removed because it is in the past. Because of the previous practice to have analysis years five years apart, it was not necessary to add another analysis year. 2020 was already an analysis year and within five years of the present.

Conclusion: The established practice is that the analysis years and conformity tests for the regional emissions analysis are as shown in the tables below. Years prior to the present year have been removed from the list.

1997 8-hour Ozone Standard		
Analysis Year	Conformity Test(s)	
2020	Budget test using the 2020 MVEBs for the 1997 8-hour standard	
2025	Budget test using the 2020 MVEBs for the 1997 8-hour standard	
2030	Budget test using the 2020 MVEBs for the 1997 8-hour standard	
2035	Budget test using the 2020 MVEBs for the 1997 8-hour standard	
2040	Budget test using the 2020 MVEBs for the 1997 8-hour standard	

Vehicle Registration (Fleet Mix) Data

At various times in the past, new vehicle registration data has been provided for use in developing pollutant emissions. This vehicle registration data has been reviewed and accepted by the IAC/ICG. As discussed above, the vehicle registration data now being used for the Indiana counties has been updated to 2017, and the registration data now being used for the Kentucky counties is for 2018. This data represents the most recent information available for this issue.

Conclusion: Based on a consensus of the IAC/ICG members, vehicle registration data for 2017 for the Indiana counties and for 2018 for the Kentucky counties is now being used in developing emission estimates.

CONFORMITY OF CONNECTING KENTUCKIANA 2040

The MTP, *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040*, was examined to determine if it met the requirements of the conformity rule under the 1997 and 2015 8-hour ozone standards. In general, the process leading to a conformity determination has two major components:

- (1) a regional emissions (air quality) analysis to determine that air pollutant emissions do not exceed the budgets set in the SIPs, if applicable, or the emission levels for a given base year; and
- (2) a monitoring of the progress in implementation of the Transportation Control Measures (TCMs) contained in the SIPs.

In the past, consultation with the state and local air quality agencies and EPA had determined that there are no approved TCMs in the SIPs of Indiana and Kentucky. Therefore, it is possible to show conformity of *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* simply by determining that the air pollutant emissions do not exceed the budgets in the SIPs or the base year emissions.

ANALYSIS PROCESS

The process of calculating the regional emissions for *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* involved three main procedures. The first procedure was a review of the projects to determine which projects needed to be included in the regional emissions analysis. The second procedure was to perform the calculations necessary to quantify the certain measures of travel behavior. The third procedure was to calculate the pollutant / precursor emissions. These activities are discussed below in greater detail.

Project Review

The first procedure was to review the projects to determine which projects were exempt or non-exempt and which projects were "regionally significant." The combination of these two considerations was the basis for determining which projects were recommended for inclusion in the regional emissions analysis. During the amendment of *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040*, a group of projects had been proposed for the plan. These projects were reviewed by KIPDA staff, who prepared a list of the projects with information about the projects and a staff recommendation concerning the project's status relative to being exempt, non-exempt, etc. There is usually a straightforward explanation for why projects are included in or excluded from the analysis and why they are analyzed as they are. Most of the projects which were excluded were exempt projects as defined in the Code of Federal Regulations in 40 CFR 93.126 and 40 CFR 93.127.

During consultation, this list was reviewed and accepted by the IAC/ICG as described under the section entitled "CONSULTATION FOR CONNECTING KENTUCKIANA 2040." (please see above.) Those projects in *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* which were not changed were analyzed as they had been previously. The projects which were newly added to the MTP or had been changed in *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* were analyzed as indicated on the list provided to IAC/ICG.

In addition, there were several projects which could not be analyzed using the travel model. In the past, most of these projects had been evaluated using spreadsheet methods factors. Since the MOVES emissions model was being used in the inventory mode, emission factors were not available for this analysis. However, experience had shown that the emission impacts for these projects were always small and positive (i.e. emission reducing). Therefore, it is reasonable to predict that the emission impacts of these projects—if they could be quantified—would decrease the emissions shown in the tables at the end of this document.

Also, there was one project affecting Bullitt County that could not be included in the travel model. Unlike the projects described in the paragraph above, this project could have the potential to increase emissions. Therefore, a special effort was made to include its impacts in the analysis of travel behavior impacts and, consequently, in the regional emissions analysis. This project is the relocated (southern) section of US 31E. This project, which had been discussed during consultation in the past, involves the relocation of a small (approximately 0.2 mile) section of US 31E from Nelson County (outside of the nonattainment area) to Bullitt County (inside the ozone nonattainment area) during the reconstruction of that road. Estimates of the VMT for this project were developed using a spreadsheet approach. The VMT estimates were the product of the estimated traffic volumes for each of the analysis years and the length of the relocated section in Bullitt County. The VMT estimates for this project were then added to other Bullitt County VMT estimates of the same functional class. Consequently, the VMT estimates from this project were included with the other Bullitt County VMT, and the emissions in Bullitt County associated with this project were included in the overall emission estimates for Bullitt County.

Calculation of Travel-Related Information

The analysis of the travel behavior impacts for the nonattainment area primarily involved using the KIPDA travel demand forecasting model to determine measures of travel such as vehicle-miles-traveled (VMT) and speed. The method for determining these measures was to input the appropriate roadway and transit information into the model and to run the model using the appropriate socioeconomic information for a given analysis year. This analysis is explained below in further detail in the sections concerning the KIPDA travel demand forecasting model and adjustment factors for travel model output.

KIPDA Travel Demand Forecasting Model

The KIPDA travel demand forecasting model is a mathematical model which relates travel to the transportation system and basic socioeconomic information. The domain of the model is a study area which includes the Louisville (KY-IN) Metropolitan Planning Area. The Louisville (KY-IN) Metropolitan Planning Area consists of Clark and Floyd counties, and 0.1 square miles in Harrison County in Indiana, and Bullitt, Jefferson, and Oldham counties and approximately 4 square miles in Shelby County in Kentucky. This area is divided into 984 smaller units called traffic analysis zones.

As previously mentioned, the KIPDA regional travel demand forecasting model was updated and calibrated recently. This update established 2015 as the new base year for the model. The model update utilized the information incorporated into the travel model during previous updates. In particular, information from the 2000 KIPDA Household Travel Survey had been previously incorporated. Information from 2010 Census, the 2012-2016 American Community Survey, the 1990 and 1995 National Personal Transportation Surveys, and the 2001 and 2009 National Household Travel Surveys was incorporated to update the previous source data, particularly the 2000 KIPDA Household Travel Survey. During the update, the model parameters were adjusted such that the model output matched—within reason—three main calibration criteria based on measured data. These criteria were: (1) the total daily VMT for all highway facilities except local roads for the region; (2) the distribution of trip lengths (duration in time) for each of the main trip purposes used in the model; and (3) highway traffic volumes crossing the Ohio River screenline. The result of the update was a travel model which generally replicated travel in the Louisville area for 2015. The updated travel model was used in the regional emissions analysis.

The KIPDA travel demand forecasting model uses the standard four steps of modeling: trip generation, trip distribution, mode choice, and trip assignment. In addition, it considers travel by vehicles entering, leaving, and crossing the study area. These types of trips are known as external-internal, internal-external, and external-external, respectively. The internal ends of these trips are determined by the methods described below for internal-internal-internal ends are determined from the volume of traffic crossing the study area boundary at any of the 46 external stations.

Trip generation is the process of determining the number of unlinked trip ends--called productions and attractions--and their spatial distribution based on socioeconomic variables such as households and employment. Trip rates used to define these relationships were derived from the travel data collection efforts described above. This information was supplemented by use of the *National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report #365* and the Institute of Transportation Engineers' *Trip Generation Report*. The KIPDA travel demand model uses three internal-internal trip purposes and utilizes different trip rates for each. Internal-internal trips are those which have both ends inside the modeling domain. The three purposes are home-based work, home-based other, and non home-based.

Trip distribution is the process of linking the trip ends thereby creating trips which traverse the area. The KIPDA travel model uses a gravity model to link all trips except the externalexternal ones. The gravity model is based on the principle that productions are linked to attractions as a direct function of the number of attractions of a zone and as an inverse function of the travel time between zones. This inverse function of travel time is used to generate parameters called friction factors which, in turn, direct the gravity model. The friction factors used in the gravity model were developed as part of the calibration effort performed during the model update. In addition, information from a study which investigated the behavior of travelers crossing the Ohio River and traffic count information from years near 2015 were utilized to develop additional parameters called K-factors. The K-factors are used by the model to ensure that it is predicting the correct volume of traffic crossing the Ohio River. Mode choice is the process used to separate the trips which use transit from those which use automobiles. It is also used to separate the auto drive-alone trips from auto shared-ride trips. In some previous KIPDA travel demand models, mode choice was based primarily on information provided by the *TARC Travel Forecasting Study* from some time ago. In that model, the user's benefit or utility was calculated for each mode based on zonal socioeconomic characteristics and the cost and time of the trip using the various modes. A nested logit model was used to determine the probability of the trip being made by each of the modes. This probability was then multiplied by the number of trips between zones to determine the number of trips by each mode.

As previously stated, the conformity analysis for *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* utilizes transit information from the previous travel demand model. The results of the 2004 TARC on-board survey had been used to factor the data in the previous transit files. This was deemed acceptable for several reasons. The primary reason was that the transit network envisioned by *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* is essentially the same as the existing one. In addition, the number of total trips from the two models was similar. Therefore, the use of the factored transit trip information from previous travel models did not significantly change the proportion of trips allocated to transit. Finally, the proportion of trips utilizing transit is less than 2% of the total trips. So small differences in the number of transit trips should provide a negligible effect on overall travel.

Trip assignment is the process used to determine which links of the network a trip will use. There are several assignment schemes which may be used. Two of the more common schemes are All-or-Nothing (AON)--in which all trips between two zones follow the shortest time path--and Stochastic--in which trips between two zones may be assigned to several paths based on their impedances or travel times. It is not uncommon for travel models to use several assignment schemes in sequence to converge to a better assignment. A sequence commonly used involves using several AONs with the traffic volumes reported at the end of each scheme being a weighted average of the volumes from the most recent scheme and the volumes from the previous schemes. A capacity restraint provision is used to adjust travel times between assignment schemes. This sequence is called an equilibrium assignment. The KIPDA travel model uses an equilibrium assignment which converges when the change in system-wide travel time over successive iterations is estimated to be within 0.0001 or less.

Tolls are being used as a means of providing for a portion of the cost of the Louisville Southern Indiana Ohio River Bridges project. To reflect the effect of the tolls in the KIPDA travel model, time penalties have been used in the model on the bridges where tolls are being collected. As mentioned above, the toll structure was recently changed. To reflect this in the MTP update, the time penalties used in the KIPDA travel model were likewise changed to reflect the effect of the new toll structure.

The output from the KIPDA travel model is in the form of a series of links with each link having certain associated data such as number of lanes, capacity, facility type, area type, functional class, and volume. This data allows for the calculation of other link information such as vehicle-miles-traveled (VMT). The VMT can be calculated as the product of the volume of traffic using a link times the distance (length) of the link.

Adjustment Factors for Travel Model Output

The VMT and speeds from the travel demand model were adjusted before being used in the calculation of regional emissions. The purpose of these adjustments was to reconcile the model output with travel estimates from other sources, such as the Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) estimates of VMT. To perform this adjustment, factors were developed for the baseyear of the model using HPMS or other estimates and applied to model output for other years.

The development of the VMT adjustment factors involved comparing the VMT outputs of the travel demand model to the HPMS VMT estimates for 2015. Factors were developed to adjust the model output to account for variation between the model and HPMS within each of the counties. To do this, the VMT from the 2015 model run was tabulated by county and functional classification. The VMT estimates derived from the model were then compared to the HPMS VMT estimates for 2015 to develop adjustment factors to be applied to the model output for subsequent years. The 8-hour ozone analysis is based on a level of traffic and the accompanying emissions expected on a typical summer weekday. For that analysis, the adjustment factors were increased by 2.9% to reflect the higher volume of traffic that can be expected on a typical summer weekday relative to the annual average daily traffic. The adjustment factors for VMT were developed on a functional classification basis for each county.

The development of the speed adjustment factors involved a similar process. The outputs of the travel demand model were compared to estimates of speed based on the equations of the Highway Economic Reporting System (HERS).

The HERS equations were used to estimate speeds on 6239 sections for five functional classifications of urban roadways and 2278 sections for five functional classifications of rural roadways. The speeds from these roadway sections were used to determine the average speed for each of five rural and urban functional classes. The speeds used in the travel model were also averaged for each of the five rural and urban functional classes for which HERS estimates had been developed. The speed adjustment factor for each of these functional classes was calculated as the ratio of the average speed using the HERS equations to the average speed using the travel model data.

There were not many HPMS minor collector and local roadway sections with data that allowed for the calculation of adjustment factors. Since the model contained the minor collector roadways in the area and these roadways were similar to the major collector roadways in the area, the adjustment factor for the rural major collectors was used for the rural minor collector roadways, and the adjustment factor for the urban major collectors was used for the urban minor collector roadways.

The procedures described above produced speed adjustment factors for all functional classes except rural and urban local roads and ramps. (Ramps are not officially a separate functional class, but the speed behavior of traffic on ramps is not expected to be like that of any other functional class. Therefore, the ramps were treated as a separate "functional class.") There was not sufficient data to estimate speeds for the roadways of these classes.

For rural and urban local roads and ramps, the speeds in the travel model were used without adjustment (i.e. the speed adjustment factor for rural and urban local roads and for ramps = 1).

Calculation of Pollutant/Precursor Emissions

The calculation of the pollutant/precursor emissions for the nonattainment area involved using the adjusted output data from the KIPDA travel demand forecasting model as input to the MOVES model. KIPDA staff provided adjusted travel model output data in the form of vehicle-miles-traveled (VMT), VMT by speed bin, and VMT fractions by speed bin by county and by MOBILE 6 facility type to the staff of the Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District (LMAPCD). LMAPCD staff utilized this data along with other necessary inputs to run the MOVES model and develop emission estimates for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and oxides of Nitrogen (NOx). They then provided these estimates to KIPDA staff. This analysis is explained below in further detail in the section below.

MOVES Emissions Model

As previously mentioned, the Louisville region is a nonattainment area for the pollutant ozone and must therefore control the precursors of ozone, VOCs and NOx. The emission estimates for VOCs and NOx were determined using the MOVES emissions model. The staff of the Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District (LMAPCD) produced the emissions for all of the counties in the nonattainment area. The methodology used in calculating these emission estimates is discussed below.

There are a number of factors affecting the emission estimates developed from the MOVES model. In the past, these factors included the presence of inspection/ maintenance (I/M) programs in some of the counties. During that time period, the VMT generated in Clark, Floyd, and Jefferson (KY) counties came from some vehicles subject to an I/M program and from some vehicles not subject to an I/M program. The I/M program in Clark and Floyd counties was discontinued at the end of 2006. The I/M program in Jefferson County (KY) was discontinued in 2003. Therefore, these programs are no longer a factor in estimating emissions.

One of the other factors is the fuel used by the vehicles in the various counties. The fuels which are used in Clark, Floyd, and Jefferson counties include reduced Reid vapor pressure gasoline (RVP) and reformulated gasoline (RFG). While RFG is used in some portions of Bullitt and Oldham counties, unregulated gasoline is used in the other portions of those counties as well as the areas adjacent to the nonattainment area. Vehicles from these other areas can be expected to travel in the Clark, Floyd, and Jefferson (KY) counties also. In the past, the emission factors (from the MOBILE 6 model) for Clark, Floyd, and Jefferson (KY) counties used in the air quality analysis varied by county because they represent a VMT-weighted composite based on an estimate of travel in each county by vehicles from the various portions of the region. For this analysis, the MOVES model was used in what is known as the inventory mode. Using the inventory mode, it is possible to define the fuel characteristics and the presence of an I/M program for each county, but it is not possible to represent the effect of travel in a county by vehicles from other counties. Therefore, the use of composite emission factors was not possible. Other than that, the assumptions used in

the analysis were consistent with those of the appropriate air quality agency for each of the counties. For Clark and Floyd counties, the assumptions of the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) were used. Some assumptions of LMAPCD were also used for Clark and Floyd counties. For Jefferson County (KY), the assumptions of the LMAPCD were used. These assumptions had been previously reviewed and accepted by the IAC/ICG partners.

The assumptions used in developing the emissions for Clark, Floyd, and Jefferson (KY) counties were the same as those that were used in developing the ozone budget update (for VOCs and NOx) in 2003 with a few exceptions where newer data was incorporated. The changes which affected the VOC and NOx emissions included:

- (1) improved consistency and completeness of gasoline data provided with the new MOVES model,
- (2) the incorporation of newer vehicle registration data (for 2017) for Clark and Floyd counties (provided by INDOT),
- (3) the development and use of newer vehicle registration data (for 2018) for Jefferson County (KY), and
- (4) improvements in internal model calculations to account for emission controls, driving profiles and engine characteristics.

The emissions for Bullitt and Oldham counties were also developed by LMAPCD. Most of the inputs to the MOVES model were defaults and/or data used that was consistent with previous SIPs. As mentioned above, RFG is used in some portions (the "original" portions) of Bullitt and Oldham counties, and unregulated gasoline is used in the other portions (the "new" portions) of those counties as well as the areas adjacent to the nonattainment area. The "original" portions and "new" portions refer to whether a portion of these counties had originally designated as a nonattainment/ maintenance status for the 1-hour ozone standard or had only been designated under the 8-hour ozone standard. Neither portion of either county had an I/M program. So it was not necessary to have I/M input information for MOVES. However, it was possible that the gasoline formulation in the different portions of these counties could be different.

It was determined—based on data provided by US EPA for the MOVES model—that the gasoline formulation for Bullitt and Oldham counties is essentially the same as that for Jefferson County with respect to the use of RFG. Since the use of the MOVES model in the inventory mode does not allow for the characteristics of different blends of gasoline within the same county, the gasoline formulations of Bullitt and Oldham counties was modeled the same as for Jefferson County.

The assumptions used for Bullitt and Oldham counties were consistent with those for the 2003 ozone budget update with the following exceptions:

- (1) improved consistency and completeness of gasoline data provided with the new MOVES model,
- (2) the characterization of gasolines described in the previous paragraph
- (3) new 2018 vehicle registration data for Bullitt and Oldham counties, and
- (4) improvements in internal model calculations to account for emission controls, driving profiles and engine characteristics.

LMAPCD developed emission estimates of VOCs and NOx using the MOVES model. To review, the following steps were undertaken.

- (1) LMAPCD staff received (from KIPDA staff) the adjusted travel model output in the form of VMT, VMT by speed bin, and VMT fractions by speed bin, all by county and by MOBILE facility type by analysis year.
- (2) LMAPCD reformatted the data from KIPDA to prepare it as input to the MOVES model. Other necessary data was also prepared.
- (3) The MOVES model was run in inventory mode to determine emission estimates of each precursor for each county for each analysis year.
- (4) LMAPCD staff provided the emission estimates to KIPDA staff.

RESULTS OF THE ANALYSIS

The transportation plan, *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040,* has been examined to determine if it is in conformity with the SIPs of Indiana and Kentucky and fulfills the criteria in the federal conformity rule (found in 40 CFR 93). The examination has been based on an air quality analysis to determine that air pollutant emissions of the appropriate areas did not exceed the VOC and NOx motor vehicle emission budgets.

As previously mentioned, the other criterion for determining conformity would have been the progress in implementation of the Transportation Control Measures (TCMs) contained in the SIPs. However, since previous consultation had determined that there were no approved TCMs, that criterion did not affect the determination of conformity. The results of the regional emissions analyses for ozone precursors are discussed below.

8-hour Ozone Analysis

The eight-hour ozone maintenance SIPs of Indiana and Kentucky contain emission budgets for the precursors of ozone, volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and oxides of Nitrogen (NOx). The regional emissions analysis was conducted to provide estimates of the levels of emissions of VOCs and NOx for the various analysis years. These emission levels were then compared to the budgets in the SIPs to determine if the conformity tests were passed.

The results of the regional emissions analysis are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. Table 1 shows the summer weekday vehicle-miles-traveled from the analysis. Table 2 shows that for 2020, 2025, 2030, 2035 and 2040, the summer weekday VOC and NOx emission levels for the 2015 8-hour nonattainment area are less than the emission budgets established in the 1997 8-hour ozone maintenance SIP.

Conclusions – 8-hour Ozone

The regional emissions analysis of *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* indicates that the Metropolitan Transportation Plan is consistent with the goals and emission budgets

established in the State Implementation Plans of Indiana and Kentucky. The cumulative effect of the results shown in Table 2 indicates that *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* has met the requirements of conformity under the 1997 and 2015 8-hour ozone standards. In summary, it can be concluded that *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* conforms to the SIPs and meets the requirements of the federal conformity rule.

TABLE 1

SUMMER WEEKDAY VEHICLE-MILES-TRAVELED (VMT) ESTIMATED FOR THE 8-HOUR OZONE NONATTAINMENT AREA (in 1000's of vmt/day)						
YEAR	INDIANA	KENTUCKY	TOTAL			
2020	7346	25934	33280			
2025	7888	27299	35187			
2030	8427	28715	37142			
2035	8962	30052	39014			
2040	9442	31183	40625			

TABLE 2

SUMMER WEEKDAY EMISSIONS FOR THE 8-HOUR NONATTAINMENT AREA (kg/day)						
	EMISS	ION LEVELS FO	R VARIOUS YEARS			
YEAR	Area	VOCs	NOx	PASS		
2020	Regional	13054	25586	YES		
2025		8845	16368	YES		
2030		5912	10852	YES		
2035		4739	8680	YES		
2040		4503	8351	YES		

NOTE: The criteria for conformity are as follows:

2020, 2025, 2030, 2035, and 2040 Regional emission levels for VOCs must be below the maintenance plan emission budget of 22.92 tons/day or 20,793 kg/day.

2020, 2025, 2030, 2035, and 2040 Regional emission levels for NOx must be below the maintenance plan emission budget of 29.46 tons/day or 26,726 kg/day.



Connecting Kentuckiana 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan Amendment 2 FY 2020-2025 Transportation Improvement Program Amendment 2 Interagency Consultation Group Conference Call Meeting Minutes October 6, 2020 3:00 PM EDT

Participants:

- FHWA Bernadette Dupont & Erica Tait
- KYTC Tom Hall, Larry Chaney & Jahan Khan
- INDOT Jay Mitchell
- EPA Sarah LaRocca, Dianna Myers & Tony Maietta
- KYDAQ Anna Bowman & Ashlee Smither
- IDEM Shawn Seals
- LMAPCD Michelle King & Craig Butler
- FTA Cecilia Godfrey
- TARC Aida Copic
- KIPDA Elizabeth Farc, David Burton, Randy Simon, Nick Vail, Andy Rush, Amanda Spencer, Mikaela Gerry, Greg Burress, & Dane Hoskins

Welcome/Roll Call:

A total of 25 participants, representing ten local, state, regional, and federal agencies participated in the IAC Conference Call for Amendment 2 of KIPDA's *Connecting Kentuckiana 2040* Metropolitan Transportation Plan and the FY 2020-2025 Transportation Improvement Program. The meeting began shortly after 3:00 PM EDT on October 6, 2020.

Schedule Discussion:

Andy Rush discussed the anticipated schedule for the amendment. The amendment is tentatively scheduled to be presented to KIPDA's Transportation Policy Committee (TPC) for adoption on November 24th. The public review period is currently scheduled to run from October 24th through November 7th, with a (virtual) public meeting scheduled for October 26th. There were no comments or questions from other agencies.

Project Discussion:

KIPDA Staff developed a new format for presenting projects and project changes to the IAC. Bernadette Dupont asked if KIPDA would continue to include a picture or map for each project. Andy Rush indicated that having a map or picture was not commonly included in the list of projects provided to the IAC. David Burton explained that a website would be available (as was included for Amendment 1) where the public will be able to view and comment on each project via an interactive map.

Ms. Dupont asked about including a date for when each project is amended in the MTP and TIP. KIPDA Staff explained how Administrative Modifications and Amendments are currently documented on KIPDA's website and shared that improvements for the future will be examined. There were no other comments about the new project sheet format.

Andy Rush noted that the Sherman Minton Bridge maintenance project (KIPDA ID 2533 and KIPDA ID 351) is part of Administrative Modification 9. The changes that are proposed at this time are limited to the schedule and funding, and therefore have been included in Administrative Modification 9, which is scheduled to proceed in advance of Amendment 2. This was brought to the attention of the IAC to note that despite the high project cost and its significance the proposed changes are considered relatively minor. When asked for questions or concerns, the group had none.

Andy Rush presented the list of 28 projects that are included in Amendment 2 and asked if there were any project-specific questions or concerns. Bernadette Dupont asked a question about how the Galene Dr/Sprowl Rd project (KIPDA ID 2774) was modeled. Andy Rush explained that the project will align offset intersections and that a connection on the north side of Taylorsville Road will likely be made to Watterson Trail via other streets (e.g. Shelby St. and/or Bluebird Ln.) He stated that the additional capacity/widening was envisioned to be a center turn lane and not major widening. He also noted that the amendment is not introducing changes to the travel model.

2

Aida Copic asked how changes in modeling were done for the Baxter/Bardstown Premium Transportation Corridor (KIPDA ID 1353). Andy Rush shared that the KIPDA model does not include a robust transit component. Nick Vail mentioned that the funding for the project in question was not for transit. Ms. Copic was aware of the funding and project intent but reiterated the importance of continuously improving the travel demand model to capture/measure transit projects.

There were no additional comments or discussion about the other projects.

Analysis Details:

Andy Rush noted that the key components of the analysis for Amendment 2 will remain the same as they were for Amendment 1. These include:

- a. Horizon Year of Connecting Kentuckiana Metropolitan Transportation Plan: 2040
- AQ Conformity Test: Budget Test utilizing Year 2020 Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets created for the 1997 8-Hour Ozone Standard
- c. Analysis years: 2020, 2025, 2030, 2035, 2040
- Pollutants/Precursors of concern and related budgets: 2020 regional MVEB for 8-Hour Ozone
 Standard:
 - i. VOCs: 22.92 tons/day (20,793 kg/day)
 - ii. NOx: 29.46 tons/day (26,726 kg/day)

There were no comments or questions.

Additional Travel Model Discussion:

Andy Rush indicated that aside from the changes to the travel model mentioned in the project information sheets, nothing else changed for Amendment 2. Those changes have been incorporated in the series of scenarios to be analyzed in the MOVES Model.

MOVES Model Discussion:

Craig Butler presented his recent work to bring the 2017 Indiana fleet mix into the analysis. Andy Rush asked Mr. Butler about the probable impact of this change on the emissions for the Regional Emissions Analysis. Mr. Butler stated that this new fleet mix data will not likely change the emissions much.

Other Discussion:

There was no other business discussed. The conference call adjourned at approximately 3:45 PM EDT.



Agenda Item #10

MEMORANDUM

TO: Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee

FROM: Elizabeth Farc

DATE: November 3, 2020

SUBJECT: Active Transportation Plan Development

At the beginning of the year, a TTCC working group had been discussing a draft outline of ideas for a Complete Streets policy. The working group has been put on hold temporarily due to COVID-19. In the meantime, KIPDA staff have started scoping and collecting data for a regional Active Transportation Plan. A focused plan for bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure will allow a deeper assessment of existing needs and priority areas that are important to consider for a Complete Streets policy.

The working group is anticipated to expand its role to serve as the steering committee for the Active Transportation Plan and the accompanying policy. A virtual kick-off meeting with the working group will be scheduled in early December. The aim is to deliver a complete Active Transportation Plan by June 2021 and a Complete Streets Policy shortly after in August 2021.

Future information regarding the Active Transportation Plan will be posted on the <u>Bicycle and Pedestrian</u> <u>Planning</u> page of the KIPDA website. Questions or suggestions can be sent to <u>elizabeth.farc@kipda.org</u>.

11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 Phone: 502.266.6084 Fax: 502.266.5047 TDD: 800.648.6056

www.kipda.org





Agenda Item #11

MEMORANDUM

TO: Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee

FROM: Amanda R. Spencer

DATE: November 2, 2020

SUBJECT: SHIFT 2022

The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC) has initiated the Strategic Highway Investment Formula for Tomorrow (SHIFT) Prioritization Process for development of the 2022 Recommended Highway Plan. The MPO will play a key role in this data-driven prioritization process, including sponsoring projects for consideration/scoring and "boosting" the highest priority projects in the MPO area.

The first step in the process will be sponsorship of projects for consideration/scoring in SHIFT. MPO Committee members should begin to consider what projects they would like to have the opportunity to sponsor.

For more information about, please visit: <u>http://kipdatransportation.org/shift2022/</u>. KIPDA staff will update this website as additional details are available.

11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 Phone: 502.266.6084 Fax: 502.266.5047 TDD: 800.648.6056

www.kipda.org

